The aim of this study was to evaluate whether digitized images obtained from occlusal radiographs taken with low or over dose of radiation could be improved with the aid of computer software for digital treatment. Thirteen occlusal radiographs of a dry skull were taken employing 13 different exposure times. The radiographs were digitized and then manipulated with the program for image editing. 143 evaluations were performed by specialists in dental radiology who classified radiographs as appropriate or not appropriate for interpretation. Test Z was used for statistical analysis of the data and the results showed that it is possible to manipulate digitized radiographic images taken with 75% of the ideal exposure time and to make them suitable for interpretation and diagnosis. Conversely, it was concluded that the over exposed images, 57.50% above the standard exposure time, were inadequate.
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contour edge’s enhancement, color application, image inversion, determination of the optic density (expressed as the mean value of shades of grey corresponding to the pixels in the selected area), attach comments, let in third dimension, correct sub or over-exposure, and show graphs which depict the distribution of the shades of grey. Moreover, the reduction in x-ray dose given to the patients is also mentioned as an advantage of this system.

With the improvement of the manipulation resources for digital and digitized images, research is necessary to establish limits to improve the accuracy of diagnosis. However, the indiscriminate use of these resources must be avoided.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate whether it is possible to manipulate digitized occlusal radiographic images, dealing with sub and over-exposures to guarantee that the quality of the images will be suitable for diagnosis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The radiographic images were obtained employing a dry skull of the Division of Dental Radiology of São José dos Campos Dental School. A 1.8-cm-thick acrylic attenuator was placed between the collimator and the object to simulate the radiation scattering effect of soft tissues. This method was used by Mol and Dunn.

The skull was radiographed employing the cross-sectional maxillary occlusal projection. The skull was placed on a flat bench, in such a way that the sagittal plane was perpendicular to the floor and the occlusal plane was horizontal. Utility wax was placed at the base of the skull to fix the position. Thirteen Kodak Insight 57 mm x 76 mm films (Rochester, New York) were employed. The long dimension was placed perpendicular to the sagittal plane in agreement with principles reported by White and Pharoah.

A gendex 765 DC x-ray generator was used at 65kVp, 7mA.

The standard radiograph was established by experts in dental radiology before image manipulation. These professionals compared the images obtained with exposures times between 0.2s and 0.5s and chose the radiograph obtained with an exposure time of 0.4s as the standard. Detail visualization was maximum in this radiograph and density and contrast were average. Based on this standard exposure time, 6 sub-exposure times (0.32s, 0.25s, 0.20s, 0.16s, 0.125s and 0.1s) and six over-exposure times (0.5s, 0.63s, 0.8s, 1.0s, 1.25s and 1.60s) were established. These times were determined in accordance with the equipment Gendex 765 DC. The longest exposure time (1.6s) used was 4 times above the standard time (0.4s) and the minimum time (0.15s) was 4 times shorter then the standard time.

The radiograph was digitized with the scanner EPSON Perfection 4990 Photo (Epson America Inc., Long Beach, California, USA) with the transparency scanning option and its respective software SilverFast® SE 6. The digitalization was performed at a resolution of 300 dpi and the images were saved as TIFF (Tagged Image File Format).

The manipulation was carried out by one of the authors with experience in image manipulation programs. The tools of image, set up, brightness and contrast of the images edition program Adobe Photoshop CS version 8.0.1 were employed. Only the radiographs obtained with the exposure time of 0.4 seconds (standard) were not manipulated. The figures 1 and 2 show radiographs obtained by sub and over-exposure before and after manipulation.

Fig. 1: Sub exposure radiograph before and after image treatment.

Fig. 2: Over-exposure radiograph before and after image treatment.
The radiographs were numbered at random and the examiners were blind to the exposure time corresponding to each image. The monitor used (14.0” WXGA High-Definition Bright View Widescreen (1280 x 768) Display) was the same for all the evaluations. Dim lighting was employed to avoid interfering with image evaluation.

Thirteen sets of radiographs were evaluated by 11 specialists in dental radiology in a total of 143 exams. The specialists analyzed the images, individually, assigning a score of 1 when the image was suitable for interpretation and diagnosis and 2 when the radiograph was not suitable for diagnosis. Graphs and tables were constructed to show the adequacy of image quality for diagnosis for each of the exposure times. Statistical analysis of the data was performed with test Z.

RESULTS
Table 1 shows the image number corresponding to each exposure time. The time of 0.4s was considered standard.

The Z test was employed to check the examiner’s answers at 5% level of significance and yielded the following results (Figure 3). The hatch columns in this graph represent the answers of the examiners with a statistically difference at 5% level of significance.

When the quality of the digitized and improved images was evaluated to determine whether they were suitable or not for interpretation and diagnosis, two distinct sets of results were obtained for the sub-exposure and over-exposure groups. In the cases of sub-exposure, the images exhibited a value of \( p \geq 0.132 \). The over-exposure group of images, \( 0.63s \ (p=0.007) \); \( 0.8s \ (p=0.001) \); \( 1.0s \ (p=0.035) \); \( 1.25s \ (p=0.001) \); and \( 1.6s \ (p=0.001) \), were considered unsuitable even after file manipulation using the tools of brightness, contrast and sharpness.

Table 2 shows the statistical analysis (Z test) and the percentage of the examiners’ answers.

Figure 4 shows a radiograph obtained with an exposure time of 0.2 seconds (image 5), before and after image treatment, \( P=1.000 \) indicating better image interpretation according to the examiners.
DISCUSSION
Since the end of the 70’s, when some of the devices for image capture were created, it became possible to manipulate, store, restore and change radiographic information using the digitized images. The advantages of digitized radiographic images are incontestable, as reported by many studies\(^3,5,10,12\), and revealed by their widespread use in dental practice nowadays. However, it is necessary to verify alteration limits for physical factors such as the exposure time. These factors influence the image quality that in turn guarantees the acquisition of a satisfactory image for radiographic diagnosis.

The occlusal radiographic technique was chosen to obtain a larger examination area. Physical features of the patient such as biotype, age, and anatomy can influence the ideal exposure time\(^2,3\). Therefore, to exclude these variations, we used a single object for analysis.

Subjective analysis was chosen for being closer to clinical dental practice than the quantitative analysis in accordance with Oliveira et al.\(^13\). The scanning settings and film position were carefully selected and standardized before each scan in agreement with Chen e Hollender\(^10\).

In the present study, we used the TIFF format for image storage; 300 dpi of resolution and 8 bits were selected based on the results of other studies\(^3,5,7,14,15\). Although the Adobe Photoshop 8.0 program is not specifically tailored for processing radiographic images, it has the necessary resources and was very useful for image manipulation. Similar tools (brightness and contrast) in other image edition programs were used in a similar way by different investigators\(^4,8,9,10\).

The quality of the manipulation process can be confirmed by the results of the image obtained with exposure 0.2s (image 5). Following manipulation, this image was considered suitable for diagnosis by 100% of the researchers. The standard radiograph was not subjected to manipulation. These results can be considered beneficial because they imply that the individuals may be exposed to lower doses of radiation without deteriorating diagnostic efficacy, given that the sub exposure can be corrected digitally. Furthermore, the results obtained in this study suggest that the professional can predict how the conventional radiographs obtained with inadequate exposure times or defective processing can be improved without the need to re-expose the patient to X-rays.

In the present study, radiographs obtained with low exposure showed lack of contrast, prior to manipulation, when compared to exposure time of 0.4 seconds (standard). However, we conclude that images obtained by sub-exposure (up to 75% of the standard time) can be manipulated to render them adequate for diagnosis (Table 1). In a similar study, Kerbaur\(^8\) obtained radiographs by sub exposure only and concluded that a 60% reduction in the time of exposure can still yield radiographic image quality suitable for diagnosis in approximately 80% of the images after treatment. However, the method for scanning of the images used by this author was composed of a system of digital image camera attached to the controller and the microcomputer software and had fewer resources than the one used in this study. The use of an appropriate scanner and updated software may have contributed to rendering sub-exposed radiographic images adequate for diagnosis in terms of density and contrast.

This explanation is based on the study of Attaelmanan et al.\(^16\) in which the authors report that the use of high resolution optical scanners can avoid the use of interpolated resolutions which would impair the quality of the final image.

Authors of other studies\(^7,12\) showed that although over-exposed radiographs result in a greater proportion of accurate diagnosis, they are also responsible for a greater number of false positives. In these studies, the radiographs were obtained with exposure times that were 2.5 times longer than the middle time of 0.45 seconds but proved unsuitable for diagnosis.

Sarmento\(^17\) suggests excessive exposure times tend to reduce the quality of the image. The present over-exposure data confirm this statement. When the
radiograph is obtained with an exposure time 57.50% in excess of the ideal time of exposure, the manipulation of the scanned image is not enough to make it suitable for diagnosis.

The present data allow us to conclude that it is preferable to use sub-optimum exposure times. None of the sub-exposed images proved inadequate for interpretation.

The present study involved the assessment of image quality by trained professionals, i.e. subjective evaluation. Further studies are warranted to validate subjective analysis employing quantitative evaluation.
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