INFLUENCE OF THE HYBRID LAYER THICKNESS AND RESIN TAG LENGTH ON MICROTENSILE BOND STRENGTH
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ABSTRACT
The purpose of this study was to evaluate the correlation between the hybrid layer thickness/resin tag length and the microtensile bond strength of conventional two-step adhesive system, when applied to healthy dentinal tissue.

After performing the restorative adhesive procedures and tooth extractions, ten specimens were sectioned in the mesio-distal direction. One section was used for microscopic analysis of the resin tag lengths and the hybrid layer thickness, while the other was used for the microtensile bond strength test (0.5 mm/min). The fractured surface was classified according to the fracture pattern, under a stereoscopic microscope at 40× magnification. Data obtained were submitted to analysis using one-way ANOVA and Pearson’s Correlation test (α=0.05).

The means corresponding to the hybrid layer thickness, resin tag lengths and the microtensile test were 2.68 µm, 6.43 µm and 16.23 MPa, respectively. There was no correlation between the means of the values obtained for the microtensile test, and those presented by the hybrid layer (r²=0.40, p>0.05) and resin tags (r²=0.21, p>0.05). The microtensile bond strength of the conventional two-step adhesive system Adper Single Bond 2 did not depend on the thickness of the hybrid layer and length of resin tags.
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INFLUÊNCIA DA ESPESSURA DA CAMADA HÍBRIDA E COMPRIMENTO DOS TAGS RESINOSOS NA RESISTÊNCIA ADESIVA A MICROTRAÇÃO

RESUMO
A proposta deste estudo foi avaliar a correlação entre a espessura da camada híbrida e comprimento dos tags com a resistência adesiva à microtração de um adesivo convencional de dois passos, quando aplicado em dentina hígida. Depois da realização dos procedimentos restauradores e extrações dentárias, dez espécimes foram seccionados no sentido mesio-distal. Uma das secções foi utilizada para análise microscópica dos tags resinosos e da espessura da camada híbrida, e a outra secção foi utilizada para o teste de microtração (0.5 mm/min). A superfície fraturada foi classificada de acordo com o padrão de fratura, sob lupa estereoscópica em 40×. Os dados obtidos foram submetidos ao ANOVA e teste de correlação de Pearson (α=0.05).

As médias correspondentes à espessura da camada híbrida, comprimento dos tags e do teste de microtração foram 2.68 µm, 6.43 µm e 16.23 MPa, respectivamente. Não houve correlação entre as médias dos valores obtidos para o teste de microtração e camada híbrida (r²=0.40, p>0.05) e microtração e tags resinosos (r²=0.21, p>0.05). O teste de microtração do adesivo convencional de dois passos Adper Single Bond 2 não apresentou correlação com a espessura da camada híbrida e o comprimento dos tags resinosos.


INTRODUCTION
The clinical success of adhesive restorations in dentin is directly related to the physical and mechanical properties of the adhesive system to be used, which contribute to the bond strength of adhesive materials in the face of the action of masticatory forces1-3. Similarly, it has also been observed and reported4,5 that the quality of the bond interface formed in dentin is variable and dependent on various factors,
Influence of hybrid layer and tags on bonding

Among them, the hybrid layer and resin tags. In line with these surveys, the quality of the bond interface formed in clinical and laboratory studies is known with regard to the most diverse adhesive systems, such as those that advocate total acid etching prior to their application or self-etching systems.

One of the ways to observe the effectiveness of the dentinal bond of an adhesive material is by means of common optical microscopy, which provides consistent information in larger areas of the adhesive interface, according to the reports of some authors. On the other hand, the bond effectiveness may also be obtained by the microtensile test, which enables greater distribution of forces on the bond interface, resulting in reliable tensile strength values.

With the use of this method, various studies have shown that bond strength depends, among other factors, on the quality of the dentinal tissue and the adhesive system used; however, few have discussed the correlation between the hybrid layer thickness, resin tag lengths and tensile strength. It should be pointed out that at the moment, although the available literature emphasizes that dentinal acid etching enables high bond strength values to be obtained, the correlation of bond strength with the capacity of the bonding agent to penetrate into dentinal tissue and formation of the hybrid layer, still requires further explanations.

Therefore, the proposal of this study was to analyze the correlation of the length of resin tags and the thickness of the hybrid layer, with the microtensile bond strength of a conventional two-step adhesive system, Adper Single Bond 2, when applied to dentinal tissue in vivo. The null hypothesis tested was that the bond strength was not influenced by the hybrid layer thickness and resin tag length.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Specimen Preparation and Restorative Procedures*

After the project had been submitted to and approved by the appropriate Research Ethics Committee, ten third molars indicated for extraction were selected.

After dental prophylaxis, local anesthesia of the operative field was performed and wide Class I restorations were made, involving practically the entire occlusal surface, to a depth of 4 mm. The diamond bur 1094 (KG Sorensen Indústria e Comércio Ltda., Barueri, São Paulo, Brazil) was mounted in a high-speed handpiece, and used under water and air cooling (MS 350 - Dabi-Atlante, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil).

Before performing the restorative procedures, whenever possible the teeth received rubber dam isolation, however, for those that presented difficult access, due to the patient’s buccal anatomy, careful relative isolation of the operative field was performed.

A conventional two-step adhesive system Adper Single Bond 2 (3M/ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA) was used, as well as resin composite Z-250 (3M/ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA), whose specifications are described in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Product Name</th>
<th>Lot</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional 2-step Adhesive System</td>
<td>Adper Single Bond 2</td>
<td>5FC</td>
<td>Silica Nanoparticles, Bis-GMA, HEMA, dimethacrylates, ethanol, water, an innovative photoinitiator system and a functional copolymer of methacrylate of polyacrylic and polyalkenoic acids.</td>
<td>3M /ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etching Agent</td>
<td>Scotchbond Etchant</td>
<td>5EX</td>
<td>35% Phosphoric acid</td>
<td>3M /ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin Composite</td>
<td>Z-250</td>
<td>6CR</td>
<td>UDMA, Bis-GMA, TEGDMA and inorganic load particles</td>
<td>3M /ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 3M/ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA.

the excess water being removed with a small cotton wool ball. After this, the Adper Single Bond 2 adhesive (3M/ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA) was applied to the tooth surface with a microbrush (Microbrush Inc., Grafton, MA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After the application of the adhesive, smooth air jets were applied for 30 seconds to allow the solvents to evaporate. After this, the adhesive was light-activated for 20 seconds at 450 mW/cm² with a light polymerization appliance (Ultralux Lens – Dabi Atlante, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil), regularly checked with a radiometer (100, KERR Corporation, Orange, CA, USA). At this time, the cavities received the resin composite Z-250 (shade A2) (3M ESPE Dental Products, St Paul, MN, USA) inserted in oblique increments. Each layer was light-polymerized for 40 seconds. After polymerizing the layers of resin composite, all the restorations were finished with diamond burs of the Gold Series No.1190F (K.G. Sorensen Indústria e Comércio Ltda., Barueri, São Paulo, Brazil), followed by the use of Enhance siliconized burs (Dentsply - Indústria e Comércio Ltda., Petrópolis, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) for finishing resin restorations.

Immediately after this, the restored teeth were extracted, taking the necessary care not to traumatize the restored area. Afterwards, the teeth were cleaned and sectioned in the mesio-distal direction, using a diamond disk under constant irrigation, in a metallographic cutter, ISOMET 1000 (Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA), thus obtaining vestibular and lingual sections.

**Optical Microscopy Analysis**

One of the dental sections was used for optical microscopy, and was decalcified in a solution containing equal proportions of 50% formic acid and 20% sodium citrate. Complete decalcification of each specimen was verified by taking radiographs. After decalcification, the restorations were carefully removed, and the remaining organic material was carefully embedded in paraffin.

The specimens were sectioned in the longitudinal direction of the clinical crown to a thickness of six micrometers, and sequentially mounted on glass slides. Fifteen slides of each specimen were randomly selected to be stained with the Brown & Bren method. The best histological sections of each slide were analyzed using Axioskop optical microscopy (ZEISS DSM-940 A, Oberkochen, Baden-Württemberg, Germany) at 1000x magnification, with a microscopic eyepiece 100x/1.30 (Fig. 1). The measurements of the hybrid layer and resin tags were taken after meticulous analysis of the entire extent of the histological section using the computer program AxioVision Software Real (Version 4.3; Carl Zeiss MicroImaging Inc., Thornwood, NY, USA).

For each factor under analysis, three measurements were obtained in each

---

**Table 2: Study Groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adhesive System</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Adhesive</td>
<td>Hybrid Layer Analysis N=10</td>
<td>Resin Tag Analysis N=10</td>
<td>Microtensile Bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adper Single Bond 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strength Analysis N=10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Fig. 1: Methodology used in the study. (A) Restorative Procedure. (B) Cutting the teeth into two sections. (C) Optic Microscopy Analysis (D) Transversal sections to obtain stick-shaped specimens for the microtensile test. (E) Microtensile test (F) Analysis of the fracture surface by stereoscopic microscope.*
section, in which the resin tags analyzed and measured belonged to the same region of the hybrid layer analyzed and measured. Consequently, for each selected slide, the thickness of the hybrid layer and length of the resin tags corresponded to the mean of the three measurements taken. Therefore, for each specimen, fifteen measurements were obtained for the factor hybrid layer, as well as for the factor resin tags.

**Microtensile Tests**

The other tooth section was used for the microtensile bond strength test. For this purpose, a metallographic cutter ISOMET 1000 (Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) was used, under water cooling at a speed of 800 rpm and static load of 80 grams, to allow sections to be performed in planes transverse to the dentin bond/resin composite interface, in order to obtain stick-shaped specimens. These specimens were fixed to the Universal Test Machine Instron Model 4411 (Instron Inc., Canton, MA, USA), with cyanoacrylate adhesive (Super Bonder - Henkel Ltda., Itapevi, São Paulo, Brazil) and tested with a 50N load cell at a speed of 0.5 mm/min until they ruptured.

**Fracture Pattern Analysis**

The fracture pattern was analyzed under a stereoscopic microscope Stemi SV 11 (Carl Zeiss Company - DSM-940 A, Oberkochen, Baden-Württemberg, Germany) at 40× magnification. To reveal the fracture area of each specimen more clearly, the revealing solution proposed by Ohkubo et al., in 1982, was applied in the fractured region, which stained the resin-free dental structure. To analyze the fracture patterns the criteria proposed by Figueiredo Reis et al. were used (Table 3).

**Data Analysis**

The results obtained for hybrid layer thickness and tags length and also microtensile bond strength were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and to Pearson’s Correlation test, at a level of significance of α=0.05, to verify whether there was correlation between the resin tag lengths, hybrid layer thickness and the bond strength of the studied adhesive system. Moreover, percentage comparison between the fracture patterns was performed to illustrate and elucidate the failures occurred in the specimens used in micotensile bond test.

**RESULTS**

Analysis by optical microscopy showed uniform resin tags (Fig. 2) with a mean length of 6.43 µm and mean hybrid layer thickness of 2.68 µm, which was uniform and present throughout the full extent of the sections; the microtensile test showed a mean value of 16.23 MPa. Application of Pearson’s correlation test, between the means of the factors microtensile bond strength and hybrid layer thickness ($r^2=0.40$, $p>0.05$) and between those of microtensile bond strength and resin tag length ($r^2=0.21$, $p>0.05$), showed absence of statistically significant correlation between these factors (Fig. 3 and 4).

![Fig. 2: Resin tags analyzed by common optical microscopy (Group II – E1) (1000× magnification).](image-url)
Fracture Pattern Analysis

In the tested specimens 42% adhesive fracture pattern, 32% mixed fractures and 19% cohesive fractures in resin and 7% in dentin were observed (Fig. 5). This means that most of the failures occurred in the adhesive interface.

DISCUSSION

The analysis and measurement of the resin tags and hybrid layer by optical microscopy\(^4,8\), in addition to being a less expensive methodology, enabled a larger area of the bond interface to be analyzed, which is not usual in scanning electron microscopy\(^22-24\). During analysis of the histological sections, the contrast provided between the dentinal tissue and the resin structures intensely stained by the Brown & Brenn staining process, enables exceptionally good microscopic visualization of the desired resin structures\(^4,8\).

Certainly, the resin tags and hybrid layers found in this study were products of the complete removal of the dentinal smear layer and smear plugs, thanks to the previous etching with 35% phosphoric acid, which promoted exposure of the dentinal tissue collagen fibers\(^11,25,26\), and infiltration of the adhesive system.

After in vivo application of the conventional two-step adhesive system, mean hybrid layer thickness, resin tag length and microtensile bond strength values of 2.68 µm, 6.43 µm and 16.23 MPa, respectively were obtained, (Table 1); these values were not all that considerable, when compared with those obtained in vitro studies\(^4,8,27\). One of the reasons that could have contributed to the results obtained appears to be directly related to the internal pressure of the intratubular liquid, which probably made it difficult for the adhesive system to penetrate to a greater extent. Some studies have stated that the presence of intratubular pressure favors the obtainment of lower bond strength values than those obtained in situations in which there is no pulp pressure\(^28\).

We may also consider the viscosity of the adhesive system used which, due to containing load particles in its composition, could possibly have contributed to its penetrating into the dentinal tubules to a lesser extent. According to the reports of Can Say et al., in 2006\(^29\), the concentration of load particles in the dentinal tubule openings could reduce penetration of the adhesive system into them.

The non-correlation found between the length of resin tags and microtensile bond strength of the conventional adhesive system Adper Single Bond 2, was reported in a previous study\(^30\) and can be explained by the direction of the application of forces during the microtensile test, which could reduce the mechanical strength of the adhesive\(^31\).

The hybrid layer and resin tags formed in humid dentin have hydrophylic molecules of low molecu-
lar weight in their composition (HEMA), which migrate to the deeper regions, mixing with the dentinal fluids and solvents of the adhesive system itself, thereby being weakly polymerized32; this may explain the adhesive fractures found during the fracture pattern analysis for this adhesive system. In a recent study, Anchieta et al.4, for this same adhesive system, observed in vitro the existence of correlation between the hybrid layer thickness and microtensile bond strength, however, the results observed in the present study showed no significant correlation between these factors; possibly due to the fact that this adhesive system had been applied in vivo, which would result in the occurrence of difficulty of complete evaporation of the solvent, humidity of the oral cavity and dentinal fluids, the low degree of conversion due to the presence of water, the inability to hybridize all of the collagen exposed during acid etching, which would form a region with lower fracture strength, or even due to the occurrence of hydrolytic degradation of the adhesive material, commonly described in the literature33-36. This degradation has been proved by tests for nanoleakage at the bond interface37,38. The null hypothesis was not rejected, since the microtensile bond strength of the conventional two-step adhesive system Adper Single Bond 2 did not correlate with the thickness of the hybrid layer and length of resin tags.

According to the results observed in this study, it could be concluded that the microtensile bond strength of the conventional two-step adhesive system Adper Single Bond 2 did not depend on the thickness of the hybrid layer and length of resin tags.
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