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ABSTRACT
The aim of this research was to describe the effects of altering the composition of a modified remineralizing agent (MRA) and the osmotic pressure on tooth color by using spectrophotometric analysis. One hundred and four (104) human premolars and molars were randomly divided into 2 groups of 52 specimens each. Group 1 was treated with the remineralizing agent MRA 55, (remineralizing agent 1), a 50% - 50% by weight mixture of coarse-grain and fine-grain generating minerals, and group 2 was treated with the remineralizing agent MRA 91 (remineralizing agent 2), containing the same minerals in a 90% - 10% proportion. Each group was divided into 2 subgroups with 26 specimens each, which were stored as follows: subgroups A were stored in synthetic saliva with isotonic osmotic pressure (IP), and subgroups B in hypotonic osmotic pressure saliva (HP). The initial and final readings were taken with a Vita Easy Shade® spectrophotometer. Color parameters (L*, a*, b*) and whiteness indices (WIC, WIO, W) were calculated from the readings. The color changes (ΔL, ΔA, ΔB, and ΔE) and whiteness indices were compared and analyzed with descriptive analyses. The variables ΔL, ΔA, ΔB, ΔE, and the whiteness index W were analyzed with an analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the indices WIC and WIO were analyzed with a nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA. The results indicate that combination A2 (MRA 91 and IP) affected variables ΔB and ΔE, while combination B1 (MRA 55 and HP) affected variables ΔA, ΔB and the whiteness index WIO. Only MRA 91 affected the variable ΔL. The osmotic pressure of saliva and the remineralizing agent used affect the color of dental enamel.
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EFFECTO DE UN NUEVO BIOMATERIAL REMINERALIZANTE SOBRE EL COLOR DEL ESMALTE DENTAL

RESUMEN
El objetivo de este trabajo fue describir el efecto de la composición de una sustancia remineralizante (SRM) y de la presión osmótica sobre el color dental mediante espectrofotometría. Se tomaron 104 premolares y molares humanos repartidos aleatoriamente en 2 grupos, cada uno de 52 especímenes. El grupo 1 se trató con la sustancia remineralizante MRA 55, (remineralizante 1), mezcla de 50% - 50% de mineral de grano fino y otro mineral de grano grueso y el grupo 2 se trató con la remineralizante MRA 91 (remineralizante 2), conteniendo los mismos minerales en proporción 90% - 10%. A su vez cada grupo se dividió en 2 subgrupos, cada uno de 26 especímenes en los que se almacenaron así: subgrupos A se almacenaron en saliva sintética con presión osmótica isotónica (PI) y el otro con presión osmótica hipotónica (PH). Se tomaron registros iniciales y finales con el espectrofotómetro Vita Easy Shade®. Con las lecturas se calcularon los parámetros de color (L*, a*, b*) y los índices de blanqueamiento (WIC, WIO, W). Los cambios de color (ΔL, ΔA, ΔB, ΔE) y los índices de blanqueamiento se compararon y se trataron todos mediante un análisis descriptivo. Las variables ΔL, ΔA, ΔB, ΔE, e índice de blanqueamiento W se analizaron con análisis de varianza (ANOVA) y los índices WIC y WIO se analizaron con un análisis no paramétrico Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA. Los resultados indican que la combinación A2 (MRA 91 y PI) afectó las variables ΔB y ΔE, mientras que la combinación B1 (MRA 55 y HP) afectó las variables ΔA, ΔB y el índice de blanqueamiento WIO. Sólo MRA 91 afectó la variable ΔL. La presión osmótica de saliva y el remineralizante usado afectan el color del esmalte dental.
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INTRODUCTION
Color is the result of the incidence of light rays that interact with a body or the physiological response to physical stimuli¹. Color has also been defined as a psychophysical response to the physical interaction of light energy with an object and is thus the subjective experience of an observer. Color is a complex phenomenon in which several factors converge, such as lighting, translucency, opacity, light scattering, brightness, the eye, and the human brain, which influence the overall perception of color. The light source, the observer, and the observed object can all influence color perception². There are various phenomena associated with the interaction between teeth and the luminous flux, which include the following: light transmission
through the tooth, the specular reflection from the
tooth surface, the diffusion of light on the surface,
multiple refraction, and light absorption and scatter-
ing within dental tissues.

Concerning color, the 1976 International Commiss-
ion on Illumination (Commission Internationale
De L’Eclairage, CIE) introduced the 3-dimensional
representation of a perceived color stimulus (L*,
a*, b*). L* represents brightness as the difference
between light (L*=100) and dark (L*=0), a* repre-
sents the value on the red-green axis, and b* repre-
sents the value on the blue-yellow axis. The L*a*b*
color space provides a 3-dimensional
representation of color perception, and the corre-
sponding deltas (ΔL, ΔA, and ΔB) are a measure of
the color difference, which is the Euclidean dis-
tance between the 2 points in 3-dimensional space,
indicated as ΔE.

Some authors have described different color meas-
urement methods, such as the use of spectropho-
tometers, colorimeters, digital cameras, imaging
systems, and the Munsell system. The spectropho-
tometer is an instrument for color measurement that
measures the spectral reflectance components of a
color and converts them to an internationally recog-
nized numerical value. Spectrophotometric meas-
urement also includes spectral data and provides a
detailed definition of the color. The spectropho-
tometer is a highly accurate, useful, and flexible
instrument that measures color in general. In den-
tistry, a spectrophotometer allows for the determi-
nation of color in natural teeth and restorations, as
well as a wide range of other color measurements
including the analysis of whitened teeth.

In recent years, tooth whitening has become a pop-
ular new trend that many scientists and dentists
have investigated. Some authors report that the
color change in whitened teeth mainly occurs due
to changes in the subsurface dentin color, while oth-
ers argue that it is caused by a change in the translu-
cency of the enamel. Finally, it has been shown that
both enamel and dentin are altered by whitening
agents, with enamel being the most affected.

Whitening agents cause changes in enamel, such
as the formation of pores and microfractures, ero-
sion, crystallite disruption, decrease in hardness
and microhardness, increase in roughness and sus-
cceptibility to discoloration, demineralization
through protein denaturation, and loss of mineral
content.

There are 3 indices, W, WIC, and WIO, which use
the L*, a*, and b* values to measure tooth whiten-
ing and are defined as follows:
The whiteness index W is the distance between a
given value and the value of a nominal white point
and is defined as:

\[ W = 100 - \sqrt{(100 - L^*)^2 + a^*^2 + b^*^2}. \]

The whiteness index WIC is generally used in the tex-
tile, paint, and plastics industries and is defined as:

\[ WIC = Y + 800 (Xn-X) + 1700 (Yn-Y). \]

The whiteness index WIO is based on the values of
the Vita 3D-shade guide and is more specific for meas-
uring whitened teeth. The WIO is defined as:

\[ WIO = Y + 1075.012 (Xn-X) + 145.516 (Yn-Y). \]

The modified remineralizing agent (MRA) is a bio-
mimetic product composed of 2 agents: a modified
conditioner (MC) based on eggshell extract and a
remineralizing agent (RA) based on calcium and
phosphates.

Artificial saliva is prepared under established param-
eters and proves useful in research because collect-
ing natural saliva under the same conditions is
difficult. Artificial saliva differs from natural saliva
in that it lacks the protein content of natural saliva.

The aim of this study was to examine the effects of
the constitution of an MRA and osmotic pressure
on tooth color with spectrophotometry. The hypoth-
thesis is that the osmotic pressure of the conservation
medium for the specimens and the MRA composi-
tion affect the color of tooth enamel.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
One hundred and four (104) human premolars and
molars were collected after extraction for orthodon-
tic reasons. The study was approved by the Ethics
Committee of the School of Dentistry at the National-
University of Colombia, and each patient was
informed in writing of the procedure to be per-
formed on the specimens and signed an informed
consent. The study complied with the regulations
provided in Resolution No. 008430 of October 4,
1993 from the Ministry of Health of the Republic
of Colombia, which sets the scientific, technical,
and administrative standards for health research.

The collected samples were extracted from individ-
uals of either sex between 15 and 40 years of age.
Teeth were selected according to the following cri-
teria: the absence of developmental abnormalities, such as hypoplasia or amelogenesis imperfecta, a non-history of fractures or treatments with adhesive systems, whitening, rehabilitation, or endodontic procedures, and the absence of any carious lesions in the teeth.

After the cleaning and disinfection phase, the remaining periodontal ligament was detached, and the tooth was immersed in a solution of 0.5% chloramine-T. The teeth were then washed and dried with paper towels, and 2% formaldehyde was applied to the apical foramen with an endodontic file with the tip coated with cotton. The apex was sealed with self-curing acrylic, and the root was covered with red nail enamel and allowed to air dry. The samples were then stored again in 0.5% chloramine-T at 4°C.

Four marks, at the corners of an imaginary square, were carved in the middle third of the vestibular surface of each tooth with a round ¼ carbide bur with a high-speed NSK® handpiece to define the work area.

The initial color of the 104 wet specimens was measured with a previously calibrated Vita Easy Shade® Spectrophotometer.

All of the specimens underwent a very smooth surface sanding with 1000 grit tungsten carbide sandpaper, after which they were washed with a toothbrush, water, and sodium lauryl sulfate for 5 seconds. The specimens were then divided into 4 subgroups of 26 specimens each for treatment with the MRA prepared with 2 compositions. The first (MRA 55) consisted of 50% RA and 50% MC, and the second (MRA 91) was composed of 90% RA and 10% MC. The MRA 55 or MRA 91 agents were applied manually within the 4 marks of the designated area, and allowed to act for 6 hours. The teeth were then washed again with a brush and water to remove the non-adhesive material. Four subgroups were defined as follows: subgroup 1 had hypotonic saliva and MRA 55, subgroup 2 had hypotonic saliva and MRA 91, subgroup 3 had isotonic saliva and MRA 55, and subgroup 4 had isotonic saliva and MRA 91 (Table 1).

The specimens were polished with fine-grain pink colored Shofu® disks with a low speed NSK® handpiece, and a second color measurement was performed on these surfaces with the Easy Vita Shade® Spectrophotometer.

The values obtained for ΔA, ΔL, ΔB, ΔE, and the whiteness indices W, WIC, and WIO were calculated according to the formulas reported in the article published by Wen Luo3. A statistical analysis was later performed using the Shapiro test, which evaluated the hypothesis of normality of the data to establish which variance analysis should be performed. All of the values were analyzed using multiple comparison t-tests, which determined the most effective combination using a graph of interactions.

RESULTS
The variables analyzed in this study were ΔA, ΔL, ΔB, ΔE, and the whiteness indices W, WIC, and WIO, and for statistical purposes, the results were handled according to Table 1.

A descriptive analysis was performed for all of the data. A parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for ΔA, ΔL, ΔB, ΔE, and whiteness index W readings. A nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA was performed for the whiteness indices WIO and WIC because the data did not meet the assumptions of normality and constant variance.

In the descriptive analysis, subgroup B1 had the largest change in variables ΔA (Fig. 1) and ΔB. Subgroup A2 had a greater change in variables ΔL and ΔE (Fig. 2).

DELTA A
The ANOVA analysis (Table 2) indicated the significant effects of the type of agent, the osmotic pressure, and the type of agent-osmotic pressure interaction on enamel color (ΔA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup Classification</th>
<th>50% -50% (MRA 55)</th>
<th>90% - 10% (MRA 91)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isotonic osmotic pressure (IP)</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotonic osmotic pressure (HP)</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>B2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>F-Value</th>
<th>P &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>18.36</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of agent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmotic pressure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of agent-osmotic pressure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The ANOVA treatment of the data was followed by a multiple comparisons analysis, and it was determined that all combinations had a similar effect, except combination B1 corresponding to HP and MRA 55, which was significantly higher.

**DELTA L**
The ANOVA indicated that the interaction between the type of agent and the osmotic pressure was not significant. By adjusting the model, it was observed that MRA 91 had a significant effect on brightness (see Table 3).

**Table 3: ANOVA for the variable ΔL.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>F-Value</th>
<th>Pr &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.0734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of agent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DELTA B**
The ANOVA (Table 4) indicated that MRA 55, MRA 91, IP, and HP separately had no significant effect on ΔB (the blue-yellow balance). An analysis of multiple comparisons was performed, and the results indicate that the most effective combinations were B1 (HP and MRA 55) and A2 (IP and MRA 91), and the combination with the least effect was A1 (IP and MRA 55).

**Table 4: ANOVA for the variable ΔB.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>F-Value</th>
<th>Pr &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>21.22</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of agent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.3043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmotic pressure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.2435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of agent-osmotic pressure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DELTA E**
An ANOVA of the variable ΔE indicated that the interaction between the type of agent and the osmotic pressure had a significant effect on dental enamel color (Table 5). An analysis of the combinations revealed that the combination that had the greatest effect was A2 (IP and MRA 91), and the combination that had the least effect was A1 (IP and MRA 55).

**Table 5: ANOVA for the variable ΔE.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>F-Value</th>
<th>Pr &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of agent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.0402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmotic pressure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.7203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of agent-osmotic pressure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11.81</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHITENESS INDEX W
The ANOVA indicated that the interaction between osmotic pressure and type of agent had a significant effect on the whiteness index W (Table 6).
An analysis of the combinations was then performed, and the combination that had the greatest effect on the whiteness index W was A1 (IP and MRA 55). The combination with the least effect on the whiteness index W was B1 (HP and MRA 55).

WHITENESS INDEX WIC
The Kruskal-Wallis test (Table 7) was performed to observe the within-group and inter-group interaction, and the null hypothesis of equal treatment was rejected.
An analysis was performed on the interaction between type of agent and osmotic pressure (see Table 8) and indicated that neither the osmotic pressure nor the type of agent has an effect on the whiteness index WIC.

WHITENESS INDEX WIO
The Kruskal-Wallis test (Table 9) was performed for the whiteness index WIO, and the hypothesis of equal treatment was rejected because the P value was equal to 0.0042.
The combinations of treatments were analyzed to determine which had the greatest effect on the whiteness index WIO, and B1 (HP and MRA 55) was found to be the most effective treatment.

DISCUSSION
The results indicate that type of agent and osmotic pressure affected tooth color in ΔA, ΔB, ΔE, and the index W. For ΔA, the combination B1 (HP and MRA 55) generated more change in the red-green balance, tending more toward red. For ΔB, the combination B1 (HP and MRA 55) and the combination A2 (IP and MRA 91) generated more change in the blue-yellow balance, tending more toward yellow. MRA 91 was the composition that increased brightness (ΔL) the most. ΔE, which represents the Euclidean distance between the initial and final L*, a*, and b* values, revealed that the combination A2 (IP and MRA 91) had greater variation; however, this does not indicate the quality of the change, but the magnitude. For the whiteness index W, the combination A1 (IP and MRA 55) resulted in the most change. The whiteness index WIC revealed no significant result. The combination with greatest effect on the whiteness index WIO was B1 (HP and MRA 55).
Because the chemical composition of the MRA is similar to enamel, it contains no oxidants or products that could destroy organic materials, nor does it have any detrimental effects on the enamel. This lack of reactivity contrasts with conventional bleaching agents—all of which are based on peroxides, which cause protein denaturation and the loss of mineral content. This agent forms deposits of calcium and phosphorus minerals and produces a color change on the tooth surface, in brightness, and in the blue-yellow and red-green balances. This was verified by obtaining changes in the tristimulus values L*, a*, b* and measuring the whiteness indices.
The more intensely white appearance of MRA 55 may be explained because it has a smaller particle size, which promotes refraction and therefore enhances the perception of whiteness. Another side effect of the reduction of particle size is that the finest mineral particles are packed more densely, which increases the number of refractive particles per unit volume, thereby enhancing the appearance of whiteness.

Comparing the results of the CIE Lab tristimulus values and the different indices, we can see that they are partly discordant, which may indicate that some of these indices are not applicable to the condition of the tooth, as is possibly the case with WIC. This is consistent with the findings of Luo et al.3,10, who report that the index WIC recommended by the CIE is not an effective index for measuring tooth whitening and that preferably, the indices WIO and W should be used, which are more specific for measuring changes in tooth color. Comparing only the results obtained with the indices W and WIO, we would expect better concordance, but we found that for W the combination A1 (IP and MRA 55) and for WIO the combination B1 (HP and MRA 55) was the most effective for whitening. This shows that the numerical values of the indices alone do not provide an objective evaluation, because they are the result of various important factors. Using independent tristimulus values is easier and contains more information, which is lost when calculating a single value for each index. Another possible explanation for this apparent discrepancy is that it may arise from any uncontrolled experimental variable.

Through the results of this study we have demonstrated that a biomimetic remineralizing agent may have an effect on tooth color over all the variables proposed in the CIE Lab scale including ΔA (red-green), ΔB (blue-yellow), ΔL (brightness), AE (interaction L*, a*, and b*), the whiteness index W, and the whiteness optimized index WIO. Currently, the process and material conditions should be studied and guided so that the changes occur in a specific fashion.

The remineralizing agent is a material in development and can be viewed as an alternative, nondestructive bioactive agent for use in tooth whitening treatments.
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