INFLUENCE OF ALGINATE IMPRESSION MATERIALS AND STORAGE TIME ON SURFACE DETAIL REPRODUCTION AND DIMENSIONAL ACCURACY OF STONE MODELS
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ABSTRACT
This study compared the surface detail reproduction and dimensional accuracy of stone models obtained from molds prepared using different alginate impression materials (Cavex ColorChange, Hydrogum 5, or Jeltrate Plus) and with different storage times (1, 3, and 5 days) to models from molds that were filled immediately with no storage time. The molds were prepared over a matrix containing 50-μm line, (ISO 1563 standard) under pressure with a perforated metal tray. The molds were removed 2 minutes after loss of sticky consistency and either filled immediately or stored in closed jars at 100% relative humidity and 37°C for 1, 3, or 5 days. The molds were filled with dental plaster (Durone IV). Surface detail reproduction and dimensional accuracy were evaluated using optical microscopy on the 50-μm wide line, which was 25 mm in length, according to ISO 1563 standard. The dimensional accuracy results (%) were subjected to analysis of variance. The 50-μm wide line (ISO 1563 standard) was completely reproduced by all alginate impression materials regardless of the storage time. There was no statistically significant difference in the mean dimensional accuracy values of stone models made from molds composed of different alginate impression materials and with different storage times (p = 0.989). In conclusion, storing the mold for five days prior to filling did not change the surface detail reproduction or dimensional accuracy of the alginites examined in this study.
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INFLUÊNCIA DOS ALGINATOS E TEMPO DE ARMAZENAMENTO NA REPRODUÇÃO DE DETALHES DA SUPERFÍCIE E ESTABILIDADE DIMENSIONAL DE MODELOS DE GESSO

RESUMO
Este estudo comparou a reprodução de detalhes da superfície e estabilidade dimensional de modelos de gesso obtidos a partir de diferentes alginitos (Cavex ColorChange, Hydrogum 5, Jeltrate Plus) e com diferentes tempos de armazenagem (1, 3, e 5 dias) para modelos obtidos de moldes que foram preenchidos imediatamente sem tempo de armazenagem. Os moldes foram preparados sobre matriz contendo linha de 50 μm (norma ISO 1563) realizado sob pressão com moldeira de metal perfurada. Os moldes foram removidos 2 minutos após a perda de consistência pegajosa e preenchidos imediatamente ou armazenados em frascos fechados com temperatura (37°C) e umidade relativa (100%) controladas por 1, 3, ou 5 dias. Os moldes foram preenchidos com gesso dental (Durone IV). A reprodução de detalhes da superfície e estabilidade dimensional foram avaliadas usando microscopia óptica na linha 50 μm com 25 mm de comprimento, de acordo com a norma ISO 1563. Os resultados de estabilidade dimensional (%) foram submetidos à análise de variância. A linha de 50 μm (norma ISO 1563) foi completamente reproduzida por todos os alginitos, independentemente do tempo de armazenagem. Não houve diferença estatisticamente significativa nos valores médios de estabilidade de modelos de gesso obtidos de moldes com diferentes alginitos e diferentes tempos de armazenagem (p = 0.989). Em conclusão, o armazenamento do molde durante cinco dias antes do preenchimento não alterou a reprodução de detalhes da superfície ou estabilidade dimensional dos alginitos examinados neste estudo.

Palavras-chave: materiais de moldagem dentais, alginitos, estabilidade de mensuração dimensional.

INTRODUCTION
Impression materials are used in dentistry to make accurate casts of oral tissues2. They must be capable of recording the anatomic topography of the desired area and remain dimensionally stable3. Alginate impression materials have been used in dentistry since 19474. Alginates are commonly used as a two-component system of powder and water. The powder contains sodium or potassium alginates (soluble alginates), diatomaceous earth acting as
filler particles, calcium sulfate as a reactor, a fluoride as an accelerator, and sodium phosphate as a retarder.

In the alginate structure, gel fibrils are held together by primary bonds occurring due to the substitution of sodium ions by calcium ions on two neighboring molecules. The gel forms as a complex, entangled structure, which traps sodium alginate that has not reacted with the calcium salt, excess water, charged particles, and reaction byproducts. Under these conditions, the final alginate structure is very sensitive to conditions that can change the amount of water trapped in the fibrillar assembly. Consequently, the dimensional stability of an alginate mold is highly vulnerable to weather and moisture conditions during storage, before it is used to make the plaster model.

Surface detail reproduction and dimensional accuracy are necessary to make a true copy of the molded anatomical structures. Thus, these properties are used to analyze the quality of impression materials. A previous study reported that the dimensional changes of alginate impressions in 100% relative humidity varied with the brand of the impression material. However, molds are generally filled with plaster as quickly as possible, avoiding long exposure to air and the resulting syneresis and evaporation. If immediate casting is not possible, it is recommended that the mold be kept in an environment with 100% relative humidity to preserve the water balance within the material.

Many alginate manufacturers recommend that models be made within 12 h of casting because increased dimensional changes occur after 12-24 h. This study evaluated the surface detail reproduction and dimensional accuracy of stone models obtained from molds prepared using different alginate impression materials and with different storage times (1, 3, and 5 days) compared to stone models produced from molds that were filled immediately with no storage time. The null hypotheses tested were that the surface detail reproduction and dimensional accuracy of stone models are not affected by the alginate impression material or the storage time.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The following alginate impression materials were used in this study: Cavex ColorChange (batch number 120817, Cavex Holland BV, Haarlem, The Netherlands), Hydrogum 5 (batch number 161477, Zhermack, Badia Polessine, RO, Italy), and Jeltrate Plus (batch number 757944E, Dentsply Caulk, Milford, DE, USA). The dimensional accuracy and surface detail reproduction were evaluated in accordance with the ISO 1563 standard. The molds were prepared over a matrix (38 mm outer diameter and 29.97 mm internal diameter) containing three parallel lines that were 20, 50, and 75 μm wide and 25 mm in length and spaced 2.5 mm apart. Two additional lines marked X and X' were used to determine the dimensional accuracy and surface detail reproduction on the 50-μm wide line (Fig. 1).

Before performing the impression procedure, the matrix was ultrasonically cleaned and dried with compressed air. The alginate impression materials were prepared in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. A perforated metal tray (31 mm internal diameter, 5 mm high) was placed on a glass plate and filled with the molding material (Fig. 1). The tray was joined to the matrix, and a pressure of 2 kgf was applied using a pneumatic press to simulate the impression process and allow for leakage of excess material (Fig. 2).

The molds were removed 2 minutes after loss of sticky consistency. Then the molds were rinsed with 150 mL of distilled water and dried. For the control groups, the molds were immediately filled with gypsum plaster (Durone IV, batch number 821320F; Dentsply Caulk). In the other groups, the molds were sealed in closed jars at 100% relative humidity (humidifier) and stored at 37°C (greenhouse) for 1, 3, or 5 days and then cast.
Thus, the samples were divided into 12 groups (n = 5) according to storage time and alginate impression material: Group 1: no storage time (control group) + Jeltrate Plus; Group 2: no storage time (control group) + Cavex ColorChange; Group 3: no storage time (control group) + Hydrogum 5; Group 4: stored for 1 day + Jeltrate Plus; Group 5: stored for 1 day + Cavex ColorChange; Group 6: stored for 1 day + Hydrogum 5; Group 7: stored for 3 days + Jeltrate Plus; Group 8: stored for 3 days + Cavex ColorChange; Group 9: stored for 3 days + Hydrogum 5; Group 10: stored for 5 days + Jeltrate Plus; Group 11: stored for 5 days + Cavex ColorChange; and Group 12: stored for 5 days + Hydrogum 5. The stone models were separated from the tray containing the alginate 1 h after the start of stone mixing.

Measurements of surface detail reproduction were performed using an optical microscope (SZM; Bel Engineering SRL, MI, Italy). The stone models were examined under low-angle illumination at magnifications of ×4 to ×12 to determine whether the 50-μm wide line was completely reproduced over the full 25 mm length between the intersecting reference lines (X and X’), in accordance with the ISO 1563 standard (13). Dimensional accuracy measurements were performed on the stone models using an optical microscope (STM; Olympus Optical, Co., Ltd., Japan) with an accuracy of 0.0005 mm. The dimensional accuracy was expressed as a percentage (L) and was calculated in accordance with ISO 1563 standard using the equation: $L = \frac{(L_2 - L_1)}{L_1} \times 100$, in which $L_1$ is the distance between the lines on the matrix and $L_2$ is the distance between the lines on the stone model. Then, 100% was added to the results of the equation and the dimensional accuracy results were subjected to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality, and then to two-way ANOVA (material x storage time).

RESULTS
The surface details of all alginate impression materials were fully replicated regardless of storage time (100% of the 5 samples in the 12 groups). There was no statistically significant difference in the mean values of dimensional accuracy in combinations among the storage times and alginate impression materials ($p = 0.989$) or independent factors (material and storage time) (Table 1).

DISCUSSION
Irreversible hydrocolloids are hydrophilic materials that can capture the details of hard and soft tissues in the presence of moisture. These water-based materials...
are inexpensive and can easily be manipulated by following the manufacturer’s instructions. Concerns regarding their performance include their low tear strength, dimensional instability when pouring is delayed, and inability to produce accurate casts upon repouring. Thus, it is not surprising that the dimensional stability of various brands of irreversible hydrocolloids decreases with increased storage time. This decrease in dimensional stability is caused by the gain or loss of water from the impression after setting. Impibition (absorption of fluid by a colloid that results in swelling), evaporation, and syneresis (expulsion of a liquid from a gel) result in dimensional changes. The effects of water evaporation and imbibition can be minimized by pouring the impression as soon as possible. Moreover, irreversible hydrocolloid impressions may be wrapped in a damp paper towel for shipment to the dental laboratory, rather than pouring the casts immediately in the dental office. Thus, it would be interesting to compare the dimensional accuracy of casts made from irreversible hydrocolloids (i.e., Cavex Color Change and Hydrogum 5), which manufacturers say work better if the cast is poured within 5 days, with a conventional hydrocolloid (Jeltrate Plus). To examine this, we delayed pouring the gypsum to simulate routine clinical procedures, it is necessary to conduct additional procedures, it is necessary to conduct additional tests using different methodologies, such as those described in the aforementioned study, with the materials used in the present study. Acceptable methods of measuring the dimensional accuracy of casts include measuring calipers, micrometers, dial gauges, and measuring stone models made from molds within 5 days. Similar results were observed in another study which showed that two irreversible hydrocolloid substitutes (Alginot FS and Position PentaQuick) were dimensionally stable for up to 7 days.

Moreover, a previous study, in which impressions were rinsed with water and stored in a sealed plastic container that was maintained in an environment of 100% humidity, showed that the cast surfaces poured after storage were better than those poured immediately after rinsing. Tan et al. reported that this was because the exudates from syneresis, which retard the setting of stone and affect the cast surface, decreased during storage. The decrease in exudates during impression storage was reported to decrease the scratch depth of stone models. This was not observed in the present study. However, the syneresis phenomenon did not have a negative effect on the surfaces of the plaster models. The setting expansion of gypsum plaster might have compensated the contraction in the alginate caused by syneresis. Torassian et al. compared the dimensional stability of typodont and plaster models cast from molds made from two alginates (Identid and imprEssix) at 72 h, 120 h, and 168 h. Measurements were made in several directions, including the anterior-posterior (measured from the central pit of the first molar to the midline face of the respective central incisor), transverse (measured from the central pit of the first molar to the central pit of the contralateral first molar), and vertical (measured from the incisal edge at the midline of the maxillary right and left central incisors to the gingival margin) dimensions. The Identic alginate exhibited shrinkage in all dimensions, and the intercanine width and vertical measurements of the imprEssix alginate decreased over time. In the present study, there was no statistical difference in dimensions when different methodologies and alginate materials were used. In this study, the ISO 1563 standard was used because dimensional changes could clinically affect dental work involving alginate molds with different storage times. Thus, before carrying out clinical procedures, it is necessary to conduct additional tests using different methodologies, such as those described in the aforementioned study, with the materials used in the present study.
The latter device was used in the present study due to its high accuracy (0.5 µm). The largest dimensional deviation between the matrix and stone models was 0.16% in Group 5 (stored for 1 day + Cavex ColorChange), which did not differ statistically from the other material/storage combinations. Alginate impression materials are typically recommended for prosthetics and orthodontic purposes where the level of accuracy is perceived as less critical. However, our results suggest that they have sufficient dimensional accuracy for other uses as well. Furthermore, it should be noted that the study was conducted in the laboratory using a strict protocol for all sample preparation steps. To extrapolate these results to the clinical reality, this strict protocol should be performed and other properties, which were not examined in this study, should be tested in future.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the results indicate there is no difference in surface detail reproduction and dimensional accuracy in plaster models made from alginate molds, regardless of differences in storage time or alginate used. Thus, storing the mold for five days prior to filling did not change the surface detail replication or the dimensional accuracy in this study. However, further studies are needed to confirm these findings clinically.
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