Study of epithelial rests of Malassez in an experimental periodontitis model
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ABSTRACT
The aim of this study was to evaluate the morphological alterations of epithelial cell rests of Malassez (ERMs) and their relationship with root resorption, in an experimental periodontitis (EP) model at 4 and 11 days. EP was induced in 14 male Wistar rats by placing a cotton thread ligature around the neck of the first lower right molar, for 4 (n=7) and 11 (n=7) days. The contralateral molar (left) was used as control. Following euthanasia, jaws were extracted and processed histologically to provide mesio-distal sections which were subject to H&E stain and histochemical detection technique with tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP). The following histomorphometric parameters were evaluated on micrographs: bone area (BAr./TAr)(%), number of ERMs/mm², number of cells/ERM, ERMs area (µm²), and percentage of root resorption surfaces (%RR). The results were analyzed statistically by ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc (p≤0.05). Significant bone loss was observed in molars with EP compared to their controls. In the EP 4-Day group, no change was observed in the parameters with relation to the ERMs; however, in the EP 11-Day group, there was significant root resorption (%RR) (C: 3.21±3.07, EP-4D: 3.91±3.17, EP-11D: 23.67±11.40; p≤0.05) and increase in ERMs area (µm²) (C: 455.87±145.42, EP-4D: 577.6±156.1, EP-11D: 1046.3±582.9; p≤0.05). No TRAP+ ERM was found in either group. ERM hypertrophy may be related to ERM participation in mechanisms tending to establish periodontal homeostasis, inhibiting resorption and contributing to periodontal regeneration.

Key words: periodontitis, root resorption, periodontal ligament, alveolar bone loss, epithelial rests of Malassez.

INTRODUCTION
The insertion periodontium consists of three highly specialized connective tissues: periodontal ligament, root cementum and alveolar bone. These three structures constitute a topographic and functional unit that keeps the teeth in their respective jaws and buffers the mechanical forces exerted on them. The periodontal ligament is a fibrous connective tissue with a large number and variety of cells, including fibroblasts (main cells responsible for remodeling...
the ligament), osteoblasts, cementoblasts, osteoclasts, macrophages, mastocytes, epithelial cell rests of Malassez (ERMs) and undifferentiated ectomesenchymal stem cells. Of all the above, fibroblasts and perivascular ectomesenchymal stem cells play an important part during the development and homeostasis of periodontal tissues. Several signaling factors modulate the activity of these cells, which provide the machinery for tissue growth and regeneration. The fibrillar organic component consists of two types of fibers: collagenous fibers, mainly type I, inserted in the cementum and the alveolar bone, and collagen types III and XII and elastic fibers, which include three types: elastin, oxytalan and elaunin.

Within the study of periodontal components, it is worth highlighting the presence of the epithelial rests of Malassez (ERMs), which are groups of cells that appear during the formation of root hard tissues when the Hertwig epithelial root sheath breaks down. They persist in the periodontal ligament space throughout the lifetime of the tooth. They are unique in that they are the only odontogenic cells of epithelial nature within the periodontal structure.

Studies on human ERMs have reported their characteristics: an irregular nucleus with dense heterochromatin and a halo of peripheral cytoplasm, small and scarcely distinguishable, and a high nucleus/cytoplasm ratio. A previous report describes that in rat molars, ERMs undergo increase in size, signs of apoptosis and cell proliferation with age. The authors conclude that ERMs are maintained in the periodontal ligament space by cell turnover throughout the lifetime of the tooth.

Regarding ultrastructure, different studies have shown that a basal membrane separates the islands of ERMs from the connective tissue. The presence of tonofilaments, desmosomes and hemidesmosomes has also been demonstrated, all of which anchor ERMs in the basal membrane. These characteristics provide evidence of the epithelial nature of these cells.

Rincón et al. reported the average distance from the cementum to the ERMs in three regions: apical 21 microns, middle radicular: 33 microns, and cervical: 41 microns, indicating a coronal migration of ERMs away from the root surface towards coronal in human teeth.

ERMs have been shown to express different types of proteins and macromolecules, including cytokeratins and neuropeptides. With regard to the expression of cytokeratins, CK 17 could be a marker to identify them. Other studies also report the expression of cell surface proteins, including epidermal growth factor receptors. Despite their ectodermal origin, ERMs can synthesize components frequently associated to cells of mesenchymal origin, such as glycosaminoglycans, hyaluronic acid, dermatan sulfate and chondroitin sulfate, as well as osteopontin (OPN), bone sialoprotein (BSP) and osteoprotegerin (OPG), and can also degrade collagen by synthesis of collagenases and proteinases. It has therefore been suggested that they may make a considerable contribution to periodontal regeneration by synthesizing a series of proteins related to bone and root cementum. The importance of ERMs in the etiopathology of odontogenic cysts and tumors is well known. Yet there is still much to learn regarding their role in periodontal diseases, especially periodontitis, which is the most frequent oral inflammatory pathology.

Current scientific evidence suggests that the possible roles of ERMs in the adult periodontal ligament include maintenance of homeostasis of the periodontal medium, thereby preventing ankylosis; maintenance of the periodontal ligament space, thereby inhibiting root resorption; and contributing to repairing periodontal cementum. The aim of this study was to conduct morphological evaluation of the epithelial rests of Malassez and to evaluate their relationship with root resorption in an experimental periodontitis model.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the School of Dentistry 012/2016 CICUAL-ODONTO-FOUBA of Buenos Aires Argentina, and is in keeping with the National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Experimental Periodontitis

Experimental periodontitis (EP) was induced in 14 male Wistar rats with body weight 280 g. On Day 1, all animals were anesthetized by intraperitoneal administration of 5% Ketamine (50 mg/Kg body weight) and 2% xylazine (5mg/kg body weight). Experimental periodontitis was induced by placing a cotton thread ligature around the cervical region of the
first lower right molar\textsuperscript{27} (Fig. 1). Two experimental times were used: 4 days (n=7) and 11 days (n=7). In both groups, the contralateral molar was used as a control, thereby determining 3 groups: Control Group, EP Day 4 Group and EP Day 11 Group. The animals were euthanized by intraperitoneal injection of sodium thiopental solution (150 mg/kg body weight) (Pentovent, 49 Laboratorios Richmond, Buenos Aires, Argentina) and acepromazine maleate (3 mg/kg body weight) (Acedan, Holliday-Scott S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina).

**Histology and Histomorphometry**

The section of each hemimandible corresponding to the three lower molars was fixed 10% buffered formalin (pH 7.4), decalcified in 10% EDTA solution, pH 7.0 for 25 days, and embedded in paraffin to prepare mesiodistally oriented histological sections of the first lower molar. The sections were a) stained with Hematoxylin-Eosin and b) subjected to histochemical determination with tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) to evaluate whether this enzyme, which is characteristic of cells that resorb mineralized tissues, is expressed in ERMs.

The following histomorphometric parameters were evaluated:

- Using 40x digital micrographs and Image Pro Plus software (Fig. 2):
  - **Bone Area (BAr/TAr)(%):** Percentage of total interradicular area occupied by bone tissue.
  - **ERMs area (µm\(^2\)):** of the ERMS in the interradicular space.
  - **Root resorption (% RR):** Percentage of surfaces in resorption, with or without presence of odontoclasts on the root surface.

- Using direct 100x bright field microscopy:
  - **ERMs number/mm\(^2\):** count of the number of ERMs in the interradicular space (Fig. 2).
  - **Cell number / ERM:** count of cell nuclei observable in each ERM.

Results were analyzed statistically using ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc, considering \(p \leq 0.05\) as significant.

**RESULTS**

Bone loss in the interradicular space was observed at 4 and 11 days after induction of periodontitis, in comparison to the contralateral molar (Fig. 3, Table 1). In 4-day experimental periodontitis, no statistically significant change was observed in the other study parameters. However, at 11 days of periodontal disease, there was a statistically significant increase in root resorption (%RR) and ERMs area (Fig.4). Table 2 shows the values recorded for histomorphometric measurements. No TRAP-positive ERM was found in either of the study groups.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study show that at both 4 and 11 days after periodontitis was installed, there was bone loss at the level of the root furcation. In addition, at 11 days there was marked root resorption accompanied by a significant increase in the size of the ERMs. This morphological change
occurred at a result of an increase in volume, not a greater number of cells. The data suggest an association between the onset of root resorption and the morphological changes detected in the ERMs.

To date, there is no published paper reporting ERM behavior as a result of an infectious/inflammatory stimulus such as experimental periodontitis. The question is whether ERMs are involved in the induction of root resorption or whether their persistence and hypertrophy are related to the expression of signaling factors associated to their potential participation in the regulation/inhibition of said resorption, as part of the homeostasis in the periodontal ligament.

In this regard, the current study performed histochemical determination of tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) with the aim of ascertaining whether ERMs express that enzyme, which characterizes cells that resorb mineralized tissues. These ERMs were found to be negative to histochemical marking, suggesting that they do not participate directly in the root resorption observed in the group with experimental periodontitis at 11 days.

Another study on rats subjected to in vivo orthodontic forces found that ERMs responded to experimental orthodontics by proliferating and increasing in size. These findings partially agree with the current study, which found ERM hypertrophy but no increase in number. Other
papers have reported that orthodontics can stimulate ERMs to secrete different factors, contributing to maintaining normal periodontal structure and function. Consolaro reported that ankylosis occurs when cementoblasts and ERMs are absent. Studies on mechanical forces in vitro have reported that ERMs express heat shock proteins, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), osteopontin (OPN) and HSP 70. The expression of these proteins may contribute to the maintenance of cementogenesis and osteogenesis, and in addition, particularly HSP 70 may play a part by protecting against different factors, including oxidants, inflammation, hypoxia, hyperthermia and mechanical stimuli such as orthodontic forces.

Hasegawa et al. used Nakane’s root resorption model (by mechanical injury) to study ERMs 7 days after injury, and observed ERMs adjacent to resorbed surfaces. Immunohistochemical tests revealed that the ERMs expressed BMP-2, osteopontin and ameloblastin, suggesting that they may participate in periodontal repair. All of the aforesaid suggests that ERM hypertrophy in the current study at 11 days after induction of experimental periodontitis may be related to ERM participation in mechanisms that tend to maintain periodontal homeostasis.

As mentioned above, ERMs can express different proteins of epithelial nature and typical of the enamel matrix. Nishio et al. identified two novel proteins, apin (APIN) and amelotin (AMTN), produced by ameloblasts and junctional epithelium, and assessed whether ERMs express them under normal conditions and under disruption of periodontal integrity. They found that after a lesion, ERMs increased in size and they only obtained immunodetection of APIN, suggesting that its expression may lead to the activation of ERMs during periodontal healing and regeneration. More recently, new findings suggest that within ERMs there is a cell population with stem cell-like characteristics and that in an adequate microenvironment, this cell population can differentiate into cells that produce mineralized matrices.

Takahashi et al. examined the expression of amelogenin and ameloblastin, metallopeptidase (MMP 20) and kallikrein (KLK-4) in ERMs and fibroblasts in culture, from samples of human periodontal ligament. Immunohistochemical analysis revealed that those proteins were expressed weakly by ERMs and were not detected in periodontal fibroblasts. Previous studies suggest that amelogenin and ameloblastin may both have activity as growth factors, as well as participating in cell bonding, proliferation, migration and differentiation of fibroblasts of the periodontal ligament. Given the reported capacity of amelogenin and its clinical use, it is important to conduct further studies on ERMs, considering their potential to induce regeneration of periodontal tissues by synthesizing proteins such as amelogenins.

CONCLUSIONS
This study found that the ERMs present in the periodontium of rat teeth with experimental periodontitis with 11 days’ evolution reacted to root resorption in those teeth, with evident increase in size. Taking into account the information currently available in the literature, this behavior may be related to ERM participation in mechanisms that tend to maintain periodontal homeostasis. Further studies are needed to learn about the behavior of these cell groups in response to stimuli of various origins, and their impact on periodontal regeneration.


