Self-reported dentin hypersensitivity in south brazilian adolescents: occurrence and risk indicators
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ABSTRACT
The aim of this study was to assess the occurrence of self-reported dentin hypersensitivity (DH) and its risk indicators in adolescents from a southern Brazilian city. 736 students (15-19 years old) were randomly selected from 20 public and private schools in the city of Passo Fundo, Brazil. DH was assessed through the answers on a Likert scale to the question: “Do you have tooth sensitivity?” Participants underwent a clinical examination in which present teeth were counted, and answered an interview based on a structured questionnaire on sociodemographic information, history of dental bleaching, orthodontic treatment and oral health habits. The dependent variable (DH) was dichotomized at the point where hypersensitivity occurs fairly often or always. Data were analyzed by multivariable logistic regression, including demographic, health history, socioeconomic and behavioral variables. Results: 556 (75.5%) subjects reported having sensitive teeth never, rarely or sometimes, while 180 (24.5%) reported having sensitive teeth fairly often or always. In the final model, number of present teeth, age, skin color, flossing, smoking, bleaching and orthodontic history were not associated with self-reported DH. Females showed significantly greater chance of having DH than males [odds ratio (OR)=1.91; 95% confidence interval (95%CI) 1.34-2.72]. The likelihood of DH in students at public schools was 63% higher than in those at private schools (OR=1.63 95%, CI 1.01-2.75). DH is a common perception among adolescents and is associated with female gender and studying at public schools.
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in the occurrence rate of DH may be related to the
target populations, the methods used to measure it,
and lack of representativeness in most studies.
The condition tends to be most evident in patients
aged 20–40 years, and occurs about equally in males
and females. It may affect any tooth, though
the most common (50%) are canines and first
premolars. It occurs most frequently (95%) on
buccal surfaces at the cervical margin. The most
widely accepted explanation of its cause is the
hydrodynamic theory, which states that after an
external stimulation on the exposed root surface,
the fluid inside dentinal tubules moves, stimulating
dental pulp neural fibers and causing pain.
It is well known that all kinds of pain ultimately
affect quality of life, and DH is no exception. DH is
considered chronic pain, since it is present
practically all the time. People who suffer from DH
are known to have worse oral health-related quality
of life (OHRQoL), which directly influences
overall health, especially in adolescents. Representa-
tive studies assessing the occurrence of DH are
not available from most countries, though there are
studies on adults from China, India and, more
recently, Brazil. Costa et al. reported the occurrence
of DH in a representative sample of adults and
elderly people in Brazil, with prevalence higher
than 30%. DH was associated with gender, age,
smoking, gingival recession and periodontal
therapy.
However, no representative studies have reported
the occurrence of DH in adolescents. It is important
to emphasize that during adolescence, some of the
reported associated factors might not have acted for
long enough, with other factors potentially being
responsible in the etiological chain. It is essential to
know the prevalence of DH and its associated
factors in order to establish strategies for its
adequate prevention and control. The aim of this
study is to determine the occurrence of self-reported
dentin hypersensitivity and its risk indicators in
adolescents from southern Brazil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Study design and target population
The present study is a cross-sectional observational
survey, carried out in the city of Passo Fundo,
located in the south of Brazil. The target population
for the study comprised adolescents aged 16–19
years, enrolled at public or private high schools in
the city in 2012. Passo Fundo has a population of
about 190,000, and is considered to be a medium-
sized city. In 2012, there were a total 23 high
schools, including 7 private and 16 public, and a
total 7,558 students enrolled, of whom 6,256
(82.78%) attended public schools and 1,302
(17.22%) attended private schools.

Ethical Aspects
The study was approved by The Institutional
Review Board of the University of Passo Fundo
(protocol 066/2012), and all selected students
provided informed consent signed by parents or
guardian.

Sampling strategy
The study coordinator visited and invited all high
schools to participate in the survey, of which 20
(87%) agreed to participate. The student population
was 6,122. It was arbitrarily determined that 30%
of the students from each school would comprise
the study sample. These students were randomly
selected by draw from the lists of students enrolled
at each school.
After the selection, the research team visited the
classrooms to explain the study and its objectives.
In case of absence of the selected individual, an
extra contact was made.

Interview and clinical examinations
Students were interviewed and examined by a
trained team. A structured questionnaire, including
demographic information, socioeconomic data,
history of dental bleaching, orthodontic treatment,
and oral hygiene habits was applied to all
respondents. DH was assessed through the answers
to the question: “Do you have tooth sensitivity?”
indicated by selecting an option on a Likert scale
(never, rarely, sometimes, fairly often and always).
These answer options were constantly visually
available on a card.
Clinical examination to determine the number of
present teeth (excluding third molars) was
performed after the interview with the aid of a
wooden spatula, under standard room illumination.
Teeth that could be rehabilitated in some way were
considered present in the count. Teeth or roots
suitable for extraction were considered absent.
Training was conducted among team members and
with non-selected students, using the field conditions.
Reproducibility of the clinical examination was conducted in 10% of the examined individuals, randomly selected, revealing an agreement rate of 98%, which implies adequate reproducibility of the method for counting present teeth.

**Statistical analysis**

Occurrence of DH, the primary outcome of this study, was analyzed as a dichotomous variable. Respondents were categorized as having DH if they answered fairly often or always to the question applied in the questionnaire. Independent variables included age, gender, skin color, use of dental floss, private/public school, smoking, past and current orthodontic treatment, tooth bleaching and number of present teeth. Age was analyzed using three categories (15, 16, and ≥17 years of age). Skin color was dichotomized into white or non-white (black, yellow, brown or indigenous). Self-reported use of dental floss was analyzed as a dichotomous variable (yes/no). Smoking habit was defined using two groups: adolescents without any history of smoking and adolescents who were either current or former smokers at the time of the interview. Orthodontic treatment was dichotomized into never and past/current treatment. Respondents were divided into those that reported having undergone any type of tooth bleaching (toothpaste, dental office or home-bleaching) and those that had never been exposed. They were classified according to whether they attended private or public schools, as a proxy for socioeconomic status. Number of present teeth was dichotomized into 28 and less than 28 teeth. Univariable associations between occurrence of DH and other variables were assessed by either the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test when appropriate. Binary logistic regression models were fitted to assess risk indicators for DH. Models were built using a purposeful selection of variables. Univariable regression models were fitted and all variables with p-values <0.25 were included in a multivariable model. Variables that did not significantly contribute to the multivariable model (p≥0.05) were assessed for confounding before being eliminated. Confounding was defined as a >20% change on other variable coefficients. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) were reported.

The significance level was set at 5%. Data analyses were performed using statistical package Stata 10 for Macintosh (STATA, College Station, TX, USA).

**RESULTS**

A total 1,836 students were randomly selected and invited to participate in the study, of whom 736 accepted, yielding a response rate of 40.1%. Of these, 323 (43.9%) were male and 413 (56.1%) were female. Non-participation in the study was due to either not signing the consent form, school dropout of selected individuals or non-acceptance. Of the 736 participants, 620 (84.2%) were from public schools and 116 (15.8%) from private schools (Fig. 1).

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the study sample. Data parallel the distribution of demographics in the schools and in the city, including the 84.2% of adolescents enrolled in public schools. Most of the students had never smoked, had not undergone or were not undergoing orthodontic treatment and had not undergone tooth bleaching procedures. Approximately half the students reported using dental floss and the majority (approximately 80%) had 28 teeth.
Table 2 presents the occurrence of DH and its association with independent variables. Overall, 556 (75.5%) subjects reported sensitive teeth never, rarely or sometimes, while 180 (24.5%) reported having sensitive teeth often or always. Of all risk indicators assessed, gender was significantly associated to greater dentin hypersensitivity occurrence in females. Students from public schools tended to present higher occurrence of DH.

Table 3 shows the univariable and multivariable logistic regression models. In the univariable models, gender was significantly associated with the occurrence of DH. In the final multivariable model, gender and type of school had statistically significant association. Females showed a significantly greater chance of having sensitivity than males (OR=1.90 95%CI 1.33-2.71), and attending public schools increased the chance of sensitive teeth by 63% compared to students from private schools (OR=1.63 95%CI 1.01-2.75).
DISCUSSION
The aim of present study was to assess the occurrence and risk indicators of DH in Brazilian adolescents. Epidemiological studies that assess prevalence of DH are extremely heterogeneous, so the methodology used in each of them needs to be taken into account in order to understand the estimates. The uniqueness of the present study is that it assesses the condition in adolescents. To the best of our knowledge, there are few studies on prevalence of DH in adolescents. The only study conducted with adolescents found a prevalence of 19%, but it was conducted in Piaui, where the climate and socioeconomic situation differ from those in Rio Grande do Sul\(^1\).

The study was performed in a medium-sized city in southern Brazil. All the schools in the city were invited to participate, and 86% of them agreed to do so. In these schools, 30% of the enrolled students were randomly selected to be invited to participate in the survey, of whom 40% accepted. The response rate is similar to that found in other epidemiological studies in the literature\(^2\). Non-participants did not differ from participants in terms of demographic and socioeconomic characteristics and the reasons for non-participation were related to school drop-out or parents/guardians not signing the informed consent. These reasons are relatively common in studies with adolescents\(^3\). Considering that the percentages of participants in terms of gender and type of school resemble the percentages of students in the city, some degree of representativeness can be claimed in the present study.

DH was assessed by means of self-report. There is ongoing profound discussion about the strengths and limitations of using self-reported pain in different studies. There is no doubt that self-reported pain is a true outcome variable\(^1\) that has to be understood differently from surrogates. Even though there is some degree of subjectivity involved in assessing self-reported pain, there is no uncertainty that it is considered impacting by the study volunteer. Studies with DH have been performed worldwide and used different measurements. Air stimulation, probing and self-reported DH are the most commonly used outcomes in published studies\(^4\,16\). Studies that use self-reported DH and another type of stimulation in the assessment of DH suggest that self-reported DH tends to overestimate the occurrence of the disease\(^17\,18\).

The present study shows occurrence of DH in 25% of high school adolescents, i.e., 1 out of 4, 15 to 19-year-olds. The answers “fairly often” or “always” on the Likert scale were considered to indicate presence of DH. This cutoff point infers more impact and has been widely used in the literature\(^10\). In terms of prevalence of different diseases/conditions, 25% is considered a relatively high occurrence\(^3\,20\).

### Table 3: Univariable and multivariable logistic regression models of the association between DH and risk indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Univariable</th>
<th>Multivariable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 years</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.71-1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥17 years</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.69-1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.34-2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.82-1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of dental floss</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.68-1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of school</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.99-2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoker</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current/former smoker</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.89-3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orthodontic treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past or current</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.54-1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tooth bleaching</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.82-1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of teeth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 28</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.87-1.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of present teeth, age, skin color, flossing, smoking, bleaching and orthodontic history were not associated with self-reported sensitivity.
of an air blast and probing, reporting estimates of 33% and 34%, respectively. Considering that the region of the country is the same and that gingival recession increases with age\textsuperscript{21,7}, the figures reported in the present study are probably not overestimated. It should also be noted that there is a cycle in DH, related to biological factors. Even though gingival recession increases with age, dentin also forms over the years. A study by Costa et al. found that the elderly tend to present lower estimates of DH than do younger adults\textsuperscript{8}. This should be taken into account when analyzing data from adolescents. Although gingival recession in adolescents may not be as extensive as in adults, nevertheless the pulp chamber is larger, and therefore closer to external stimulation\textsuperscript{22}.

In addition to determining prevalence, it is important to understand the associated factors that can be considered risk indicators for DH. The present study conducted univariable and multivariable analyses to assess which factor(s) may be associated to self-reported DH in adolescents. Age, ethnicity, oral hygiene habits, smoking, orthodontic and bleaching experiences were not associated to DH in the present study. Although these variables have been shown to be risk indicators for DH in different studies\textsuperscript{20,22-25}, it should be highlighted that the age range in this survey is 15-19 years, a relatively short period of the lifespan. In addition, the low occurrence of some of the possible risk indicators – smoking, dental treatment and tooth loss – might have contributed to the lack of association. These variables were not associated with DH in this age range. It should be kept in mind that the information in the literature from other age ranges should not be dismissed, and that these adolescents will grow older, exposed to these risk indicators, which could lead to an increase in DH.

The results of the present study showed that female gender and studying in public schools are associated with DH. Other studies with different populations have also reported higher rates in women. There are various possible explanations for this. All kinds of self-perceptions have greater impact in women than in men\textsuperscript{20,26}. In addition, women present both higher quality of oral hygiene and more gingival recession. This leads to higher chances of DH among females. A study by Costa et al. showed an odds ratio of more than 2 for female:male adults for DH\textsuperscript{8}. In the present study, females had a 90% greater chance of reporting DH than males. This will probably increase over time. Especially in adolescence, there is an opportunity for informing females of these chances in order to limit the consequences of DH.

The type of school that the adolescent attended was also associated with higher chances of DH. Studying in a public school increases the chances of reporting DH by 67%. Although this was a borderline significance, it should not be disregarded as an opportunity for implementing preventive strategies. In the context of this study, the type of school and ethnicity were used as a proxy of socioeconomic status\textsuperscript{27}. Skin color was not associated with DH. One of the reasons for this is the low percentage of non-whites in the study. However, students from public schools tended to present higher degrees of self-reported DH. Other studies that assessed gingival recession found higher occurrence in subjects from lower socioeconomic levels. This should be taken into account to focus on educational strategies at public schools, where students could benefit.

The strengths and limitations of this study should be highlighted. In terms of strengths, studying the epidemiology of DH in adolescents is important in view of the lack of literature on the subject and the opportunity it provides for creating preventive strategies. Moreover, the representativeness of the study increases its external validity, providing information on disease occurrence, which is limited in non-representative studies. One of the possible limitations of the study is the lack of a second DH measurement, which could increase the internal validity of the study –probably confirming the self-reported outcomes–, and might enable the extension of DH to be determined, providing interesting information. The present study, understanding its methods, shows that DH is a condition that impacts subjects as from adolescence, so the opportunity to apply preventive strategies as from this age should not be missed.

DH has direct impact on both oral and systemic health, affecting quality of life. It is important for adolescents to learn health-related attitudes and behaviors that will last through adulthood\textsuperscript{28}. One of the World Health Organization (WHO) strategies to improve oral health for the twenty-first century, in addition to the effective use of fluoride and a healthier diet, is to improve oral health for children.
and adolescents through health promotion in schools\textsuperscript{29}. Because adolescents are establishing their independence from the influence of their parents in relation to various aspects of their development\textsuperscript{30}, they are a challenging group in terms of oral health. The vulnerability of their permanent teeth often exposes them to major chronic oral diseases, such as caries and periodontal diseases, with early onset and progressive, cumulative effect, manifesting in childhood, adolescence and in all age groups of adult life\textsuperscript{31}.

In conclusion, DH is a prevalent condition in adolescence. It is associated with female gender and lower socioeconomic status. Preventive strategies for DH need to begin in adolescence; taking into consideration these risk indicators.
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