RED CLAY ROOF AND NDVI DRIVE CHANGES IN BIRD SPECIES COMPOSITION AND FUNCTIONAL EVENNESS IN HOUSING AREAS OF SÃO PAULO MEGACITY, BRAZIL
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ABSTRACT.- Urbanization creates the most irreversible and human-dominated form of land use, often resulting in species losses and functional homogenization of bird communities. Here we investigate how housing areas in a Neotropical megacity may drive bird species occurrence and functional diversity in high densely inhabited areas. Using three local scales (radius of 50, 100, and 200 m), we tested how bird species richness, functional groups, and functional diversity indices may be affected by the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI, a proxy for primary productivity) and the cover area (m^2) of red clay roofs (RCR, likely urban nesting sites) in São Paulo megacity, Brazil. We recorded 40 bird species, mostly nesting on trees. Few and high abundant (native and exotic) birds may use roofs and other urban structures as nesting sites. The GLM models showed that functional evenness increased with synchronized increases of NDVI 200 m and RCR 200 m covers and decreased with increase of NDVI 50 m. Most of the bird indices were not affected by neither NDVI nor RCR at local scales. However, bird species composition and functional evenness were sensitive to NDVI and RCR. Our findings suggest that synchronized high values of NDVI (vegetation-linked resources) and RCR cover (likelihood of nesting) may improve the regularity of bird functional traits and ecosystem functions (e.g., frugivory and insectivory) in housing areas of a Neotropical megacity.
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# RESUMEN.- LA CUBIERTA DE ARCILLA ROJA Y EL NDVI IMPULSAN CAMBIOS EN LA COMPOSICIÓN DE LAS ESPECIES DE AVES Y EL EQUILIBRIO FUNCIONAL EN ÁREAS DE VIVIENDAS DE LA MEGACIUDAD DE SÃO PAULO, BRASIL. La urbanización crea la forma de uso de la tierra más irreversible y dominada por el ser humano, lo que a menudo resulta en la pérdida de especies y la homogeneización funcional de las comunidades de aves. Aquí investigamos cómo las áreas de vivienda en una mega ciudad Neotropical pueden impulsar la aparición de especies de aves y la diversidad funcional en áreas densamente habitadas. Usando tres escalas locales (radio de 50, 100 y 200 m), probamos cómo la riqueza de especies de aves, los grupos funcionales y los índices de diversidad funcional pueden verse influenciados por el índice de vegetación de diferencia normalizada (NDVI, un proxy de la productividad primaria) y la cobertura (m^2) de superficie de techos de arcilla roja (RCR, un sitio de anidación urbano potencial) en la megaciudad de São Paulo, Brasil. Registramos 40 especies de aves, la mayoría anidando en los árboles. Pocas especies muy abundantes (autóctonas y exóticas) pueden utilizar los techos y otras estructuras urbanas como sitios de anidación. Los modelos GLM mostraron que la uniformidad funcional aumentó con aumentos sincronizados de las cubiertas NDVI 200 m y RCR 200 m y disminuyó con el aumento de NDVI 50 m. La mayoría de los índices ecológicos de las aves analizados aquí no se vieron afectados ni por el NDVI ni por el RCR a escala local. Sin embargo, la composición de especies de aves y la uniformidad funcional fueron sensibles a NDVI y RCR. Nuestros hallazgos sugieren que los altos valores sincronizados de NDVI (recursos vinculados a la vegetación) y la cobertura de RCR (probabilidad de anidación) pueden mejorar la regularidad de los rasgos funcionales de las aves y las funciones del ecosistema en áreas habitacionales de una mega ciudad neotropical.
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Urbanization is a continuous process that creates the most irreversible and human-dominated form of land use (Seto et al. 2012), changing land cover, hydrological systems, biogeochemistry, climate, and biodiversity – including birds, a well-studied group on the urban ecology perspective (Grimm et al. 2008, Marzluff 2017, Murgui and Hedblom 2017). These changes are very fast, and most aimed to attend the human's primary needs (McKinney 2006, Fontana et al. 2011). As the human population grows at high rates (Grimm et al. 2008, UNDP 2020), rural and pristine sites are often replaced by buildings, roads, and
other human-made structures (MacGregor-Fors and Schondube 2011, Shanahan et al. 2013), and a few, disconnect, and small-sized remnants of natural and semi-natural areas persist (Fontana et al. 2011, Fagg and Caula 2017). This process creates a complex environmental mosaic composed of built areas and greenspaces, varying in size, form, and levels of human-interference and occupation (McKinney 2006, MacGregor-Fors and Schondube 2011). Gardens, road strips, and street trees often play an important role in maintaining habitat and resources for birds and their ecosystem functions (Fernández-Juricic 2000, Juri and Chani 2009, Carbó-Ramírez and Zuria 2011, Pena et al. 2017) (e.g., pollination, seed dispersal, insect and rodent control, and nutrient cycles, Sekercioğlu 2006, Nyffeler et al. 2018).

Functional diversity is a critical facet of biodiversity and has been linked to ecosystem functioning (Diaz and Cabido 2001). Functional richness reflects the volume of multidimensional space occupied in the community within the functional space (Villéger et al. 2008). Functional evenness is the uniformity of abundance distribution in the functional trait space ( Mouillot et al. 2005, Villéger et al. 2008). Functional divergence represents niche differentiation in a biological assemblage (Villéger et al. 2008). The knowledge of the relationships between urban characteristics, and species and their functional traits may help urban planners, decision-makers, and conservationists to take most parsimonious decisions for preserving both species diversity and ecosystem functions they provide ( Oliveira Hagen et al. 2017, Cadotte and Tucker 2018). Ecologists have measured some urban environment characteristics by remote sensor spatial tools, to understand how birds are spatially spread across cityscapes (Bino et al. 2008, Leveau et al. 2018, 2020). The use of the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), has been growing, playing a role as an indicator of primary productivity, and predicting the potential of urban habitats to preserve the ecological relations (Pettorelli et al. 2005, Bino et al. 2008, Bae et al. 2018, Leveau et al. 2018).

Urbanization reduces the availability of vegetation cover, resulting massive native local extinction of birds (Leveau and Leveau 2004, van Rensburg et al. 2009, Beninde et al. 2015, Sol et al. 2017), and benefit few native and exotic species, which increase in abundance and thrive in the cities (Bonier et al. 2007, Fischer et al. 2015, Møller et al. 2015). Urban filters strongly select some pre-adapted avian functional traits (Croci et al. 2008, Hensley et al. 2019) which find new opportunities for feeding and/or nesting (Sol et al. 2011, Mainwaring 2015, Reynolds et al. 2019), compared to countryside surrounding habitats (Clereau et al. 1998, 2001, Leveau and Leveau 2004). Native habitat simplification (e.g., increase of gray structures over natural areas) also represents a limiting factor for many diet and foraging-strategies of specialized native birds to persist within the cities (Aronson et al. 2014, Sol et al. 2014, Melo et al. 2022). A global review has found that urbanization may mostly benefit omnivorous and granivorous species (Chace and Walsh 2006), and Neotropical cities may also favor insectivores (Ortega-Álvarez and MacGregor-Fors 2011). Those functional groups deserve attention because they provide population control of arthropods and seed dispersal, which are central to the maintenance of ecosystem functioning (Díaz and Cabido 2001, Sekercioğlu et al. 2004) and human welfare within the cities (Tratalos et al. 2007, Oliveira Hagen et al. 2017).

As the urban fringe increases (Cincotta et al. 2000, Seto et al. 2012), the natural resources become scarce (Emlen 1974, Hill and Neto 1991, Mainwaring 2015). However, some bird species can use a great variety of anthropogenic materials and urban structures for foraging, roosting, and nesting (Emlen 1974, Pellissier et al. 2012, Mainwaring 2015, Pike et al. 2017, Batisteli et al. 2019a, Reynolds et al. 2019). In the Neotropical region, few attention has been given by researchers to how birds breed within the cities, and the most published data are dispersed as grey literature. There are reports of hummingbirds breeding over electric cables (Escobar-Ibáñez and MacGregor-Fors 2015), woodcreepers and wrens in varied types of artificial holes (Pizo 2018, del Hoyo et al. 2019), tyrants on power transformers and pole lamps (Sandoval and Barrantes 2009), raptors on tall telecommunication towers (Maurício et al. 2013), swifts in chimneys (Sick 1997), and both passerines and non-passerines using buildings (Sick 1959, 1997, Stewart 1974, Hill and Neto 1991, Sigrist 2009, Batisteli et al. 2019b). Thus, the potential of anthropogenic structures as buildings, house roofs and attics, bridges, and metal pipes to provide crevices/hole for cavity-nesters (Emlen 1974, Pellissier et al. 2012, Mainwaring 2015, Reynolds et al. 2019), and high-rise buildings to mimic cliff habitats for cliff-nester birds (Fisk 1978, Evans et al. 2011, Symes et al. 2017) have been few investigated. On the other hand, cliff-nesters, which reproduces on rooftops and other urban structures, have been well studied in South American cities (Vermeer et

Roofs give support for many birds in temperate regions (Emlen 1974, Pellissier et al. 2012, Mainwaring 2015), and the large roof availability in most populous South American cities also should offer central nest-sites for birds. Although different roof types may be found in Brazilian cities, roofs built-up with red clay tiles, herein named as red clay roofs (RCR), are very popular in cities of southeastern Brazil. Different from other ordinary Brazilian roof types (e.g., asbestos or fiber cement roof), RCR may provide suitable attic in its structure, and this should be related to a better thermal comfort than asbestos (Furtado et al. 2003, Machado et al. 2016). Attic of RCR has been used by native psittacines (e.g., Brotogeris spp., Red-shouldered Macaw Diopsittaca nobilis, and others) and exotic passerines (e.g., House Sparrow Passer domesticus) for roosting and breeding (Matarazzo-Neuberger 1992, Sick 1997, Saiki et al. 2009, Simões 2010, del Hoyo et al. 2019), and RCR may be used as a proxy to evaluate a potential influence of this type of roof on urban bird communities. Many avian species traits (e.g., omnivorous, granivorous, and insectivorous; and tree- and roof-nesters, and others) may be strongly associated with urban green (NDVI from urban vegetation) and gray characteristics (buildings and house roofs). This can influence species persistence and the ecological functions provided by birds in urban ecosystems (Pauw and Louw 2012, Sekercioğlu 2012, Pena et al. 2017, Leveau et al. 2020), driving distinct management and conservation strategies (Chace and Walsh 2006, Chávez-Zichinelli et al. 2010, Pike et al. 2017).

Here we test how the balance between primary productivity of housing areas (represented by mean NDVI values) and the cover of red clay roofs (as a nesting site broadly available in Brazilian cities) influence species traits, relative abundance, and functional diversity indices of birds living in a Neotropical megacity. Based on previous studies (Bino et al. 2008, Leveau et al. 2018, 2020), we predict that (1) high mean NDVI, i.e., high primary productivity, and balance between urban infrastructures (including RCR values) and vegetation (NDVI) may provide both breeding sites and resources availability, thus supporting high species and functional richness, due to high occupancy of diverse functional groups (i.e., frugivores-nectarivores, insectivores, scavengers, carnivores, lighter to medium biomass, and tree nesters); and (2) both high NDVI and RCR values should significantly increase birds abundance and functional evenness, and decrease functional divergence – associated to high dominance of synanthropic bird guilds (i.e., roofs-, chimneys-, and pole lamp nesters, aerial and ground foragers, and heavier biomass).

**METHODS**

**Study area**

We conducted this study in urban dweller areas of São Paulo (23°32'56"S, 46°38'20"W, 12.2 million inhabitants), 7 400 people per km²) and Guarulhos (23°27'46"S, 46°31'58"W, 1.3 million inhabitants, 3 800 people per km²) municipalities, which encompass a set of 39 municipalities called São Paulo metropolitan region (hereafter São Paulo megacity), in southeastern Brazil (23.54°W, 46.63°S; Fig. 1). São Paulo megacity is the most populous urban area of the southern hemisphere (~22 M inhabitants), creating a large territory (2 100 km²) densely urbanized across the Atlantic Forest biome - one of the biodiversity hotspots of the world (Cincotta et al. 2000, Myers et al. 2000, IBGE 2020). São Paulo and Guarulhos municipalities harbor 60% of the human population of the São Paulo megacity and represent the main South American financial center (IBGE 2020).

The regional climate is humid subtropical with mild, dry winters, and rainy summers with moderately high temperatures (Alvares et al. 2013). The native vegetation includes dense rainforest, a typology of Atlantic Forest Biome (Veloso et al. 1991). São Paulo and Guarulhos territories originally were floodplains of “Várzea do Tietê”, fields, and native forests. Since the 1940s, the region has faced intense urbanization process and rapid population growth, leading to the removal of massive amounts of native vegetation (Braga et al. 2006, Instituto Florestal 2020). Currently, the region is highly densely habited (housing areas) with urban infrastructure as builds (and their roofs), roads and streets, light and telecommunication structures (e.g., pole lamps, cables, towers), traffic cars, and citizens (IBGE 2020). This caused the suppression of large native forest patches, which today are found around cities (> 1 000 ha, i.e., Serra do Mar and Serra da Cantareira state parks). These sites conserve an important pool of Atlantic Forest bird diversity (Tonetti et al. 2017, Schunck et al. 2019), globally recognized as important bird areas – IBAs by Bird Life International (Bencke et al. 2006).
Environmental data

We measured NDVI and red clay roof cover from three buffer zones (50, 100 and 200 m) (Table 1). For each variable, we used ArcGIS tools and Google Earth images to build buffer zones from the center of each 55 sampled point-count sites settled across housing areas in the São Paulo megacity. Our samples were composed from horizontal one-two floor single-family houses to vertical multifamily tall buildings, both highly densely habited from low to middle socio-economic levels (IBGE 2020). Streets are poorly arborized, with low or null amounts of gardens and urban parks, which - when present - are far at least 1 km far from each point-count.

We capture NDVI on November 2017 to avoid extreme rainy season (i.e., December to March) and negative impacts of clouds on NDVI values; and when the deciduous trees have already high development of foliage cover (Souza et al. 2019). The use of only one NDVI sampling follow the same proceeds on previous studies performed in others urban settlements (e.g., Ren et al. 2017, Nguyen et al. 2020, Salata et al. 2020).

Bird data

Birds were surveyed in eleven blocks of housing areas scattered across São Paulo and Guarulhos municipalities, in southeastern Brazil. Each housing block was sampled by five fixed point-counts, adding 55 point-count sites (Fig. 1). For attending the independence of sampling, a minimum distance of 200 m between each point-count (Ralph et al. 1993) and 1 km distant to each other housing block was observed. We recorded every specimens heard and/or seen (8x42 mm binoculars) in each point count sampling, along 10 minutes 50 m limited radius (Bibby et al. 1993). We counted birds flying over only when foraging (e.g., aerial insectivores: Blue-and-white Swallow Pygochelidon cyanoleuca and Sick’s Swift Chaetura meridionalis). To avoid bird count bias, (1) only the first author performed all bird counts; (2) all point-count sites were equally surveyed three times in a randomized order, and never revisited in the same month; (3) we conducted bird surveys from September to March (2017-2018), when birds are more conspicuous due to the reproductive season (Sick 1997) and when the migratory species have already arrived (Somenzari et al. 2018); (4) we performed surveys at the first three hours of the day on sunlight enough to perform bird counts and avoided rainy, windy, and foggy days.

Bird functional traits

We classified bird species based on (1) the most common functional traits used to describes their ecological roles within an avian community (diet type, foraging strata, biomass, Petchey and Gaston 2006, Wilman et al. 2014); (2) nesting sites, due to the ability of the avian species to persist in an
ecosystem (Tomasevic and Marzluff 2017, Reynolds et al. 2019) (Table 2).

Other classifications were used only to characterize the bird community. Migratory status (Somenzari et al. 2018): (a) migrant species have populations that regularly and seasonally move away from their breeding sites and return every breeding season; (b) partially migratory are species that only part of their populations has migratory behavior; (c) resident species stay in the same areas throughout the year. Distribution range: (a) Atlantic Forest endemic species, bird species with geographic distribution restricted to the biome (Vale et al. 2018); (b) wide-spread species, occurring in more than one biome (Sick 1997); (c) exotic species, non-native birds introduced by humans (Sick 1997); and (d) allochthonous species, native birds introduced by anthropogenic actions (Schunck 2008). Bird conservation status was based on regional (São Paulo 2018) and global (IUCN 2019) red lists, and species were categorized as following: (a) threatened, taxa classified in some threaten level (vulnerable, endangered, or critically endangered); (b) near-threatened, species clo-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Buffer zone</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>50 m</td>
<td>NDVI 50</td>
<td>The NDVI values were generated using the satellite images (30 m resolution) of the study areas obtained from the Landsat satellite 8 of 15th November of 2017 obtained from the Earth Explorer website (<a href="https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/">https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/</a>). Using bands 4 (red) and 5 (near-infrared) from these images and the following equation from the NDVI values: “NDVI = (Band 5 – Band 4) / (Band 5 + Band 4)”. This step was made in the ArcGIS Software Version 10.2.1. Next, NDVI values were generated for each buffer of the 50, 100, and 200m of the fixed-point. This procedure was performed using the option “Extract by mask”, where only the NDVI raster values were left for each buffer. Next, the mean NDVI for each buffer was calculated using the option “Zonal Statistics”, with the value in the option “Statistic type” of “mean” (to calculate the NDVI with the averages). This step was made in the ArcGIS Software Version 10.2.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 m</td>
<td>NDVI 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 m</td>
<td>NDVI 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCR</td>
<td>50 m</td>
<td>RCR 50</td>
<td>The values of the RCRs were obtained using public satellite images from Google Earth (Google 2020). We performed a digital image classification to recognize spectral patterns of RCR pixels from these images, converted in ”.tiff”. For this, we used the tool ”Image Classification”, and through it we used the tool ”Training Sample Manager”. Using the ”Draw Polygon” option, we created distinctive regions of interest including areas of Red clay roofs. These polygons served as the basis for the supervised classification of RCRs. All these steps were made using the ArcGIS Software Version 10.2.1. We use the Kappa statistic to validate the accuracy of the digital image classification. For this we used 70 ground truth points that were located in areas of RCRs. As a reference, the Kappa statistic ranges from 0 to 1, and 1.0 indicating a perfect agreement of the digital image classification (van Vliet et al. 2011). The Kappa value of the brick areas was n = 1.0, classified as a perfect agreement for the RCRs in the digital image classification. Next, we checked the area of the RCRs in m² for each point-count separated in buffers of 50, 100, and 200m respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 m</td>
<td>RCR 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 m</td>
<td>RCR 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Percentage of red clay roof (RCR) and NDVI measured in three buffer zones from point-counts in housing areas of São Paulo megacity, Southeast Brazil. The selection of the variables and measurement methods were based on the effect on birds in previous studies (McClure et al. 2015, Leveau et al. 2020). To our knowledge, the influence of RCR cover on urban birds is here first time evaluated.

Table 2. Bird functional traits used in the functional diversity analyses performed in São Paulo megacity, Southeastern Brazil. Sources: 1, Wilman et al. (2014); 2, Reynolds et al. (2019); Sick (1997); Sigrist (2009); Tomasevic and Marzluff (2017).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional group</th>
<th>Trait classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diet ¹</td>
<td>six categories: 1) plant/seed, 2) frugivores-nectarivores, 3) omnivores, 4) insectivores, 5) carnivores, and 6) scavengers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foraging strata ¹</td>
<td>four categories: 1) ground, 2) canopy, 3) mixed, and 4) aerial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nest site ²</td>
<td>six categories: 1) buildings, 2) roofs, 3) chimney, 4) artificial hole, 5) tree/post, and 6) trees - includes shrubs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass (g) ³</td>
<td>four classes: (1) 0-49g, lighter; (2) 50-99g, lighter-medium; (3) 100-199g, medium; and (4) &gt;200g, heavier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
se to being at high risk of extinction soon; and (c) least-concern, species unlikely to become extinct in near future. Nomenclature follows the International Ornithologists’ Union (Gill et al. 2022).

Bird indices

We used bird species richness and abundance as taxonomical indices. First, we considered the total accumulated of the number of species observed in each site (Gotelli and Colwell 2011). Next, the maximum number of contacts from each species in one of the three samplings were adopted (Sandström et al. 2006), thus avoiding oversampling caused by repeated sampling in each point-count.

To calculate functional diversity (FD) indices, the protocol proposed by Laliberté and Legendre (2010) was adopted. Functional distance between each bird species pair was calculated according to their trait values using Gower’s distance (Gower 1966, Podani 1999). A functional distance matrix was then submitted to a principal coordinate analysis (PCoa) to obtain a subset of PCoa axes for use as new “traits”. For this, we used the PCoa function of the ape package (Paradis and Schliep 2018). Finally, FD indices were calculated using the new “traits” data and relative abundance data. Bird indices as species richness, abundance, and five functional diversity (FD) indices were calculated for each site, using the dbFD function of the FD package (Laliberté and Legendre 2010, Laliberté et al. 2014).

Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted in the R program version 3.5.2 (R Core Team 2018). The multicollinearity problems in the environmental variables were checked using the vif function of the usdm package (Naimi et al. 2014). Due to the high variance inflation factor (VIF > 5), RCR 100 was excluded, and five uncorrelated and suitable variables were adopted (Suppl. Mat. 1). Data normality was checked by the Shapiro-Wilk test. The residuals of generalized linear models (GLMs, see more below) were assessed for checking heteroscedasticity and absence of extreme outliers – which did not occur in our analysis. Moran’s I test was calculated to investigate the absence of spatial autocorrelation for all bird indices (Moran’s I: 0.02, p-value: >0.1).

To test the relation between bird indices (i.e., species richness and abundance, and functional richness, functional evenness, and functional divergence) and environmental characteristics of housing areas (mean NDVI 50, 100, and 200, and RCR 50 and 200), we fitted GLMs with Gaussian distribution for FD indices, because all dependent variables were continuous. We used Poisson distribution for species richness, and negative binomial for abundance because we detected overdispersion. For each bird indices, we used glm function of the stats package (R Core Team 2018) to create a global model including all environmental variables and possible combinations (i.e., NDVI100: RCR 100, and others). We included a null model representing the absence of effects of the predictor variables; thus, checking whether the models were “better” than would be expected by chance. We computed the Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC) corrected for small sample size (AICc, Burnham and Anderson, 2002) and the difference in AICc between each model and the model with the lowest AICc (ΔAICc). Models with ΔAICc < 2.0 were selected as “better” because they provide substantial support (Burnham and Anderson 2002). In the presence of model competitors, we adopted the full model averaging approach to select a set of variables with high explanatory power (Zuur et al. 2009). The best models were plotted using visreg2d function of the visreg package (Breheny and Burchett 2017).

To investigate the relationships between bird species’ traits/functional groups and urban environmental variables (NDVI and RCR categories), we used three matrices: species abundance data (L), environmental variables (R), and species traits
(Q) in a fourth-corner analysis (Brown et al. 2014). The fourth-corner model is useful to reveal how species traits and environmental variables are associated. It provides coefficient values that quantify strength and direction (positive, neutral or negative) of associations. For this, the traitglm function was used from the mvabund package with a negative binomial distribution (Wang et al. 2012), considering the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) penalty via the glm1path method. This was used to remove all interactions that failed to improve the fitness of the model, arising in the most parsimonious model. The same analysis was repeated without specifying a trait matrix (Q) to fits a multivariate species distribution model, achieving a different environmental response for each species (Coetzee and Chown 2016).

RESULTS

Avifauna characterization

A total of 2,428 contacts from 40 bird species were recorded (Suppl. Mat. 2, Fig. 2), representatives of 20 families and eight orders. The most recorded birds were Rock Dove Columba livia (15.3% of contacts), Plain Parakeet Brotogeris tirica (11.8%), Blue-and-White Swallow (8.4%), House Sparrow (7.8%), and Sayaca Tanager Thraupis sayaca (7.6%). Exotic birds were represented by Rock Dove, House Sparrow, and Common Waxbill Estrilda astrild (0.5%), and allochthonous were Red-shouldered Macaw (2.2%), Yellow-Chevroned Parakeet (Brotogeris chiriri) (0.1%), and Turquoise-fronted Parrot Amazona aestiva (0.5%). The latter is a regional near-threatened bird. Thirty-two species have wide geographic distribution, and Plain Parakeet is the unique Atlantic Forest endemic bird restricted to part of Serra do Mar ecoregion. Sick’s Swift (2.8%) and Tropical Kingbird Tyrannus melancholicus (1.6%) are migrant and partial migratory birds, respectively (see Suppl. Mat. 2, Fig. 2).

Most of bird species (n = 29, 72.5%, e.g., mainly Tyrannidae, Thraupidae, and Turdidae) depends on trees as nesting sites. However, the more abundant birds (e.g., Plain Parakeet, House Sparrow, White-eyed Parakeet Psittacara leucophthalmus, and others) have the potential to nest under house's roofs made of red clay tiles, followed by those that use buildings (i.e., Rock Dove and Blue-and-White Swallow), artificial holes (House Wren Troglodytes musculus), and chimneys (Sick’s Swift). The richest feeding groups were insectivores (12 spp.), plant/seedeaters (10), and omnivores (8), followed by frugivores-nectarivores (5), carnivores (3), and scavengers (2). Most species (26) forage in mixed strata; a few on the ground (8), ca-

Figure 2. Bar plots showing (decrescent order) the number of contacts of the bird species recorded in São Paulo megacity’s housing areas, Southeast Brazil. Red dashed line represents the mean number of contacts (60.7). Species acronyms are available in Suppl. Mat. 2.
nopy (4), and aerials (2). Lighter-weighted birds were the richest group (0-49 g, 21 spp.), with fewer heavier (> 200 g, 8 spp.), lighter-medium (50-99 g, 6 spp.) and medium (100-199 g, 5 spp.) species (Suppl. Mat. 2).

**Bird taxonomic and functional diversity in function of NDVI/RCR**

Our best rank-model showed strong relation only between functional evenness and mean NDVI and RCR, at distinct buffer scales (Table 3). Functional evenness increased with high values of both RCR 200 m and NDVI 200 m ($\Delta$AIC = 0, wAICc = 0.667, Fig. 3a, Table 3). On the other side, high NDVI 50 m decreased bird’s functional evenness ($\Delta$AIC = 0, wAICc = 0.667, Fig. 3b, Table 3).

Bird species richness, abundance, functional richness and functional divergence models were not distinct from the respective null models (Tables 3 and 4).

**Species composition and NDVI/RCR associations**

We found associations between birds (species and functional groups) and housing environmental characteristics (mean NDVI and RCR cover) measured over distinct buffer zones (Figs. 4 a-b). For example, high NDVI 100 and low RCR 200 allowed the presence of a greater number of species. Some species (e.g., Southern Caracara *Caracara plancus*, Bananaquit *Coereba flaveola*, Ruddy-ground Dove *Columbina talpacoti*, Palm Tanager *Thraupis palmarum*, Sayaca Tanager, Rufous-bellied Thrush *Turdus rufiventris*, Tropical Kingbird) were benefitted by high NDVI. Lesser RCR 100 cover had positive associations with Sick’s Swift, Swallow-tailed Hummingbird *Eupetomena macroura*, Rufous Hornero *Furnarius rufus*, House Sparrow, and House Wren (Fig. 4b).

The increased coverage of NDVI 50 and RCR 50 supported diverse functional groups in the functional niche space, such as roof-nesters (Plain Parakeet and House Sparrow), chimney-nesters (Sick’s Swift), aerial insectivores (Blue-and-White Swallow), frugivore-nectarivores (Bananaquit and Palm Tanager), and lighter-medium to medium biomass birds (Rufous-bellied Thrush and Smoothed-bill Ani *Crotophaga ani*). Increased in NDVI 50 and RCR 50 also converged for the presence of ground foragers (Common Waxbill, Rufous Hornero, and Rock Dove), and insectivorous (Common Tody-Flycatcher *Todirostrum cinereum*), and Picazuro Pigeon *Patagioenas picazuro* and Turquoise-fronted Parrot (Figs. 4 a-b).

High values of NDVI 200 and RCR 200 were related to great bird’s trait abundance. Large biomass birds (>200 g, e.g., Rock Dove, Southern Caracara) were positively related to RCR 200, and negatively influenced by NDVI 100 (i.e., Picazuro Pigeon). A similar and weak relation was observed for birds that nest on trees and pole lamps (e.g., Great Kiskadee *Pitangus sulphuratus*). Roof nesters, carnivores, and aerial foragers (e.g., Blue-and-white Swallow and Sick’s Swift) had a strong and negative relationship with NDVI 100. Both scavenger (Southern Caracara) and insectivorous (Sick’s Swift, Smooth-billed Ani, Yellow-bellied Elaenia *Elaenia flavogaster*, and Rufous Hornero) groups increased, respectively, with NDVI 100 and 200, and with RCR 200. Plant-seed (Picazuro Pigeon and Ruddy Ground-Dove) strongly decreased with NDVI 200. Birds that nest on buildings (Blue-and-white Swallow) and canopy foragers (Red-shouldered Macaw and Yellow-bellied Elaenia) decreased with RCR 200. This latter also was negatively related to omnivorous and lighter-medium (50-99 g) weight birds. Birds that nest under roofs (i.e., Plain Parakeet and House Sparrow) also were positively correlated to RCR 200 (Figs. 4 a-b).

**DISCUSSION**

We found that only a synergic increase between NDVI 200 m and RCR 200 m have significantly increased bird’s functional evenness. Bird species richness, abundance, functional richness, and functional divergence have not responded significantly to NDVI and RCR variations.

We reject our first prediction that high mean NDVI values and low RCR cover could provide both breeding sites and resources availability, thus supporting high species and functional richness. As high NDVI is a proxy of greater amount of primary productivity, which may represent more resources for birds (Petorelli et al. 2005, Radeloff et al. 2019), we expected to find a positive correlations between increased NDVI and high bird species and functional richness, as in previous studies performed in urban and non-urban ecosystems (Bino et al. 2008, Coetzee and Chown 2016, Leveau et al. 2018, 2020). However, we showed that both species and functional richness have not varied significantly to high mean NDVI values and low RCR. This also could be related to the scale and habitat here studied. We focused on suburban areas (high densely habited), low presence of yards (poorly vegetated), and composed from zones predominantly horizontal (small) to vertical (tall) buildings, while other studies (e.g., Leveau et al. 2020) considered a gradient from the urban core (with presence of tall
Figure 3. Relationship between environmental characteristics (NDVI and RCR) from distinct buffered zones and functional evenness of birds recorded in housing areas of São Paulo megacity, southeastern Brazil. a) high functional evenness (FEve) with interaction between NDVI 200m and RCR 200m; b) decrease of functional evenness with increase of NDVI 50m. In a) hot and cold colors represent higher and lower values, respectively; in b) black line represents the fitted line and gray band represents 95% confidence interval.

Figure 4. The relationship among the environmental variables and a) bird functional traits and b) species identity. Colors represent the strength of the interactions (shading) and their direction (green, positive and purple, negative). The scaled bar represents the values of fourth-corner coefficients. Legend: NDVI, primary productivity, and RCR, red clay roof measured at varied buffer zones (50, 100, and 200 m) from each point-count (Suppl. Mat. 1). Species acronyms are available in Suppl. Mat. 2.
Table 3. GLM models showing the relationships between covers of red clay roof (RCR) and primary productivity (NDVI) and bird taxonomic and functional diversity indices in the housing areas of São Paulo megacity, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response variable</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>AICc</th>
<th>ΔAIC</th>
<th>wAIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*BSR – null model</td>
<td>rcr200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>256.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi200 + rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>257.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi100 + rcr200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>258.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>260.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>262.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>265.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>267.7</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>270.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>273.3</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*FRic – null model</td>
<td>rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-117.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rcr50 + ndvi200:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-116.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi200 + rcr50 + ndvi200:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-114.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi50 + ndvi200 + rcr50 + ndvi200:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-112.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi50 + ndvi200 + rcr50 + ndvi200:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-110.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi50 + ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-107.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi100 + ndvi200 + ndvi50 + rcr50 + ndvi200:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-106.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-103.0</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*FEve – null model</td>
<td>ndvi50 + ndvi200:rcr200</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-144.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi50 + rcr200 + ndvi200:rcr200</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-142.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi50 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi200:rcr200</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-140.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi50 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi200:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-137.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>null model</td>
<td>ndvi50 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi200:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-137.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi50 + ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi200:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-135.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi50 + ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-131.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*FDiv – null model</td>
<td>rcr200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-183.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi200 + rcr200 + ndvi200:rcr200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-182.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rcr200 + ndvi200:rcr200</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-181.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi200 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi200:rcr200</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-180.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi200:rcr200</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-178.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi50 + ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi200:rcr200</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-175.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi50 + ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi200:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-173.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndvi50 + ndvi100 + ndvi200 + rcr50 + rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr200 + ndvi100:rcr50 + ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-170.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The terms in bold refer to significant better model. For the others*, there are multiple model competitors. Then, a set of variables was selected based on full model averaging method (Table 4). Legend: taxonomical = BSR, bird species richness; and functional indices = FRic, functional richness; FEve, functional evenness, and FDiv, functional divergence. Abundance null model was the better model (ΔAIC < 2.0, wAIC 0.638) and wasn’t shown in this table.

The second prediction, that both high NDVI and RCR values should significantly increase abundance and functional evenness, and decrease functional divergence, agreed for functional evenness and refuted for abundance and functional divergence. A recent study observed that functional dispersion showed unaltered even with strong associations be-

buildings) to the periurban areas (composed by a few houses with large gardens), and rural and protected areas (Coetzee and Chown 2016). Our findings reveal how some taxonomic and functional diversity indices may be conservative even with strong associations between species and functional trait composition, and NDVI and RCR covers.
Table 4. Full model-averaged parameter estimates and relative importance values for models with accumulated sum of weight of Akaike’s information criterion (wAIC > 0.95) of taxonomic and functional diversity indices of birds in housing areas of São Paulo megacity, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response variable</th>
<th>Explanatory variable</th>
<th>Parameter estimate</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>N models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bird species richness</td>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>2.509e+00</td>
<td>6.153e-02</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rcr200</td>
<td>-9.106e-06</td>
<td>7.929e-06</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ndvi100:rcr50</td>
<td>3.215e-06</td>
<td>2.363e-06</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional richness</td>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>1.154e-01</td>
<td>1.390e-02</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rcr50</td>
<td>-4.384e-05</td>
<td>2.644e-05</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ndvi50:rcr50</td>
<td>1.156e-06</td>
<td>8.389e-07</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ndvi200:rcr200</td>
<td>-2.826e-08</td>
<td>4.955e-08</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional divergence</td>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>8.445e-01</td>
<td>2.033e-02</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rcr200</td>
<td>-2.247e-06</td>
<td>2.170e-06</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ndvi200</td>
<td>-1.085e-03</td>
<td>6.180e-04</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ndvi200:rcr200</td>
<td>6.943e-08</td>
<td>6.184e-08</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: RCR, red clay roof; and NDVI, primary productivity obtained at three scales (50m, 100m, and 200m). n.s. = non-significant variables (P >0.05).

The relationship between bird functional traits in three urban habitats in Buenos Aires, Argentina (Curzel and Leveau 2021). These same authors described that a dominance of Rock Dove may have influenced their results. A possible exclusion of Rock Dove and House Sparrow from our analysis could be tested for changes in our previous analyses. However, the results could translate into management actions that are not consistent with the real urban scenario, where these synanthropic species regularly exist in huge abundances, and whose effective exclusion is not possible.

Functional divergence also was unaffected by NDVI and RCR variations. Although the addition of native bird species (e.g., Plain Parakeet - a endemic of Atlantic Forest, Rufous-bellied Thrush, and American Kestrel Falco sparverius) include novel functions, these were unable to significantly impact species richness, functional richness, and functional divergence in housing areas of São Paulo megacity. This means that changes between RCRs and NDVI covers at distinct local scales (50 - 200 m) failed to provide nest sites and resources neither for higher bird species richness nor for addition of unique functional traits. These results are related to low (or null) vegetation structure in our study sites. The increase on the vegetation structure is positively related to gain of functional richness in anthropic Atlantic Forest environments (Melo et al. 2020, 2022). Usually, habitat simplification from urbanization converges to abundant bird species with both low variation and specialization in functional traits (Coetzee and Chown, 2016; Croci et al., 2008; DeVictor et al., 2007; Pagani-Núñez et al., 2019).

We confirmed part of our second prediction when high functional evenness was positively correlated with synergic high mean NDVI 200 m and RCR 200 m cover. This indicates that functional evenness is more sensitive than abundance to detect environment changes. Thus, functional evenness could reveal changes in the primary productivity and red clay roofs as resources and nesting sites for birds (serve as a proxy), respectively. As functional evenness corresponds to how regularly species abundance is scattered in the functional space, its increase reflects better utilization of the entire range of resources available (Mason et al. 2013). This suggests a potential improvement in providing ecosystem functions (insectivory and frugivory) by birds in the anthropogenic habitats (Melo et al. 2020), which likely can be associated to high availability of RCR (nesting sites) and NDVI (green resources). Here, roof nesters, as House Sparrow (Peillissier et al. 2012) and Plain Parakeet (Simões 2010) were positively correlated with increase in RCR cover. Others roof nesters, such as White-eyed Parakeet and Red-Shouldered Macaw (Saiki et al. 2009, Sigrist 2009), were neutral or negatively correlated with high RCR cover, respectively. This likely reflects different dynamics of roof nest occupation by these species. In our study site, abundant colonies of House Sparrow and couples of Plain Parakeet utilize RCR as breeding sites (M.A.M., pers. obs.). On the other hand, populations of White-eyed Parakeet (Tonetti et al. 2017)
and Red-shouldered Macaw (pers. obs.) are growing in São Paulo megacity and may find wide vacant territories with great presence of RCR. We reported for the first time an Atlantic Forest endemic bird - Plain Parakeet (Vale et al. 2018) leading advantages in urban ecosystems, probably influenced by the roof nest availability.

Other abundant bird’s functional groups (e.g., aerial forager and chimney nester, Sick’s Swift; treelamp post nester, House Wren) have also positively responded to both high RCR and NDVI at 200 scales, contributing to high functional evenness in the housing areas. These species may take advantages on forage and reproductive behaviors in urban environments (Leiveau and Leiveau 2005, Batisteli et al. 2021), and increment trait regularity in Neotropical urban settlements (Pena et al. 2017, Melo et al. 2022). Aerial insectivores (e.g., Sick’s Swift and Blue-and-white Swallow) can be benefited in the most urbanized sites (Cruz and Piratelli 2011, Sacco et al. 2015), because their ability to exploit open aerial space next to house’s roofs and trees for capturing flight insects and by the use of house’s chimneys and attic of roofs as nesting sites (Matarazzo-Neuberger 1992, Argel-de-Oliveira 1995, Sick 1997, del Hoyo et al. 2019). Sick’s Swift can use the attic of roofs as nest site (Sick 1959). House Wren also breeds on a broad other human-made crevices and cavities under roof of houses (del Hoyo et al. 2019). We also observed that some non-cavity nester species showed associations with NDVI and RCR variations. We recognized their strong associations with NDVI metric; however, some of them have used other urban structures as nesting sites. Rufous Hornero can nesting on pole lamps and buildings (Marreis and Sander 2006); Great Kiskadee on pole lamps and energy transformers (Sandoval and Barrantes 2009); Crested Caracara over tall telecommunication towers (Maurício et al. 2013).

We found that high NDVI 50 m decreased functional evenness. The functional evenness values decrease either when abundance is less evenly distributed among species, thus decreasing functional evenness in housing areas of São Paulo megacity.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our study provides important comprehension of how interactions between NDVI and RCR can increment bird trait regularity and improve ecosystem functions (frugivory and insectivory) by birds in housing areas of Neotropical cities. We showed that the composition of bird functional traits and functional evenness respond to different spatial scales of availability of NDVI and RCR. We demonstrated the potential role of attics of RCR and NDVI on urban bird communities and suggested the need to apply distinct management strategies for achieving bird-friendly Neotropical cities. Therefore, to maximize biodiversity gains (Williams et al. 2014), our study stresses that urban planners, engineers, and architects should focus attention not only on street-tree diversity plantings (Sacco et al. 2015, Pena et al. 2017, da Silva et al. 2020), and also roof designs as a complementary strategy to provide nest sites and resources for birds (Jamska 2014) even in largest Neotropical cities.
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