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Abstract—In this paper the analytical solution of nonlinear ordinary differential systems is addressed. Some of the problems are classical in the related literature and exhibit chaotic behavior in certain ranges of the involved parameters despite being simple-looking deterministic systems. The solutions are approached by means of the old technique of power series to solve ordinary differential equations. The independent variable is time in all the illustrations and elementary recurrence algorithms are obtained. This is an alternative to the standard numerical techniques and ensures the theoretical exactness of the response. Several examples are included and trajectories diagrams, phase plots, etc. are shown. The desired numerical precision is attained using time steps several times larger than the usual ones. The availability of an analytical solution may be an additional tool within a standard qualitative analysis. The solution of higher order problems and governed by partial differential equations is under study.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Power series is an old technique to solve ordinary differential equations (ODE’s). A wide open literature is available on the subject. Simmons, 1972, Coddington, 1989, Kreyszig, 1999 may be useful as references in this methodology. The efficiency of this standard technique in solving linear ODE’s with variable coefficients is well known. Also an extension known as Frobenious method allows to tackle differential equations with coefficients that are not analytic. Numerical tools such as time integration schemes (e.g. Runge-Kutta, Newmark method, central difference, see for instance Bathe, 1995) are commonly employed to solve nonlinear differential problems. The authors have addressed similar problems with a variational method named WEM (Rosales and Filipich, 2000, 2002). The authors have applied power series numerical tools in various problems (Filipich and Rosales, 2001a, 2002).

A method to solve nonlinear differential problems governed by ordinary equations (ODEs) is herein employed. The solution is found with an analytical solution using algebraic series. A previous manipulation of the equations leads to very convenient recurrence algorithms which ensure the exactness of the solution as well as the computational efficiency of the method. The approach is straightforward and is illustrated with several problems, i.e. a) projectile motion; b) N bodies with gravitational attraction; c) Lorenz equations; d) Duﬃng equations and, e) a strong nonlinear oscillator. In all the cases the results are given in plots (state variables vs. time, phase plots, Poincaré maps). Neither divergence nor numerical damping was found in any case. The availability of an analytical solution may be also a helpful tool in the qualitative analysis of nonlinear equations.

In this section the general algebra of the approach is stated. The examples will be presented in the following sections. Let us consider an analytical function \( x(\tau) \) in \([0, 1]\). We will denote its expansion in power series as (with \( N \to \infty \), theoretically)

\[
[x] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_{1k} \tau^k
\]  

(1)

and for powers \( m \)

\[
[x^m] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_{mk} \tau^k
\]  

(2)

In order to fulfill an algebraic consistence (A.C.) condition the following relationships have to be satisfied

\[
[x^m] = [x^{m-1}] [x]
\]  

(3)

After replacing the series expressions in each factor of this equation, one obtains the next recurrence formula
(Cauchy products)

\[ a_{mk} = \sum_{p=0}^{k} a_{(m-1)p} a_1^{(k-p)} \]  \hfill (4)

or

\[ a_{mk} = \sum_{p=0}^{k} a_{(m-1)(k-p)} a_1^p \]  \hfill (5)

Now let us expand an analytical function \( f(x) = f(x(\tau)) = f(\tau) \) in Taylor series

\[ \hat{f}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} \alpha_m \ x^m \]  \hfill (6)

where \( \alpha_m \) are known and in particular, we denote

\[ [1] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_{0k} \ \tau^k \]  \hfill (7)

where \( a_{0k} = \delta_{0k} \) are the Kronecker delta's. If we substitute Eq. (2) in Eq. (6) we may write

\[ [f(\tau)] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \phi_k \ \tau^k \]  \hfill (8)

in which

\[ \phi_k = \sum_{m=0}^{M} \alpha_m \ a_{mk} \]

This expression will be used for any analytical function. Now if we have to deal with a rational function \( \hat{F}(x) \)

\[ \hat{F}(x) = \frac{\hat{g}(x)}{\hat{f}(x)} = \frac{g(\tau)}{f(\tau)} = F(\tau) \]  \hfill (9)

being \( \hat{g}(x) \) and \( \hat{f}(x) \) analytical functions and \( \hat{f}(0) \neq 0 \). Also \( \hat{g}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} \beta_m \ x^m \) and \( \beta_m \) are known. Then it is possible to write

\[ [g(\tau)] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \epsilon_k \ \tau^k \]  \hfill (10)

\[ \epsilon_k = \sum_{m=0}^{M} \beta_m \ a_{mk} \]

Let us denote

\[ [F(\tau)] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \lambda_k \ \tau^k \]  \hfill (11)

Now the A.C. must be applied

\[ [F(\tau)] \ [f(\tau)] = [g(\tau)] \]  \hfill (12)

(\[ \sum_{k=0}^{N} \lambda_k \ \tau^k \] \( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \phi_k \ \tau^k \) \( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \epsilon_k \ \tau^k \) \hfill (13)

where

\[ \epsilon_k = \sum_{p=0}^{k} \phi_p \lambda_{(k-p)} \]

The \( \lambda_k \) are unknowns and the sets \( \phi_k \) and \( \epsilon_k \) are known. It is apparent that \( \lambda_0 = \epsilon_0/\phi_0 \). Then the recurrence relationship for \( \lambda_k \) is

\[ \lambda_k = \frac{\epsilon_k - \sum_{p=1}^{k} \phi_p \lambda_{(k-p)}}{\phi_0} \]  \hfill (14)

where \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). It should be noted that \( \phi_0 \neq 0 \) in order for \( \hat{F}(0) \) to exist.

Equations (4), (5), (14) and similar ones are the basis of this proposal of the analytical solution. That is, the approach is based on the calculation of the series (2) for arbitrary powers of \( x(\tau) \) by systematically stating Cauchy products taken two by two.

**II. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES: Analytical solutions**

Five problems will be addressed with this technique: a) projectile motion; b) \( N \) bodies with gravitational attraction; c) Lorenz equations; d) Duffing equations and, e) a strongly nonlinear oscillator. The detailed algebra and some numerical examples will be shown in the next five subsections.

**A. Projectile motion**

Here the problem of the 3D trajectory of a ball fired out in the air (see for instance, Symon, 1979) is tackled by means of the statement of the analytical solution using algebraic recurrence as was shown in the Introduction. The effects of gravity, resistance of the air and wind action are taken into account. The governing equations are

\[ \begin{cases}
    x'' = -\beta [x' - TV_x(z)] e^{-z/h} \\
    y'' = -\beta [y' - TV_y(z)] e^{-z/h} \\
    z'' = -\beta [z' - TV_z(z)] e^{-z/h} - gT^2
\end{cases} \]  \hfill (15)

where \( x = x(\tau) \); \( y = y(\tau) \) and \( z = z(\tau) \). \( \tau = t/T \) is the dimensionless time, \( [0, 1] \). \( T \) is an interval of time to be chosen opportune. \( \beta \) is proportional to the air resistance, \( V_x(z) \), \( V_y(z) \) and \( V_z(z) \) represent the wind pressure distribution, and \( h \) is the height of the studied domain. The prime denotes the derivative with respect to \( \tau \). In order to state the algebraic recurrence let us expand the functions \( x, y, z \) according to the notation (1) with \( a_{1k} \equiv A_k \), \( a_{1k} \equiv B_k \) and \( a_{nk} \equiv C_{mk} \) respectively. Similarly we introduce the representation...
of \( x', y', z' \) with \( a_{1k} \equiv p_{1k}, a_{1k} \equiv q_{1k} \) and \( a_{1k} \equiv r_{1k} \) respectively. The following relationships are true

\[
p_{1k} \equiv (k + 1)A_{k+1} \; ;
q_{1k} \equiv (k + 1)B_{k+1} \; ;
r_{1k} \equiv (k + 1)C_{1(k+1)} \; .
\]

(16)

The left hand sides of the governing equations are written as

\[
[x''] = \sum_{k_0}^{N-2} \varphi_{2k} A_{k+2} \tau^k
\]

\[
[y''] = \sum_{k_0}^{N-2} \varphi_{2k} B_{k+2} \tau^k
\]

and

\[
[z''] = \sum_{k_0}^{N-2} \varphi_{2k} C_{k+2} \tau^k
\]

(17)

in which \( k_0 \) denotes \( k = 0 \) and \( \varphi_{kl} \equiv (k + l)!/k! \), \( k \) and \( l \) are integers. It will be necessary to represent the analytical function \( \hat{f}(z) = e^{-z/k} \) in terms of Taylor series (see Eq. (6)) with \( \alpha_m = (-1)^m m! \). Additionally the expression of this function in power series of \( \tau \) is given by

\[
[f] = [f(\tau)] = \left[e^{-z(\tau)/h}\right] = \sum_{k_0}^{N-2} \sigma_k \tau^k
\]

\[
\sigma_k = \sum_{m_0}^{M} \alpha_m C_{mk}
\]

The functions representing the wind velocity varying with the height \( z \), \( V_x = \hat{V}_x(z) \; ; \; V_y = \hat{V}_y(z) \; ; \; V_z = \hat{V}_z(z) \) are now expanded in Taylor series (Eq. (6)) where \( \alpha_m \equiv \Delta_{xm}, \beta_m \equiv \Delta_{ym} \) and \( \alpha_m \equiv \Delta_{zm} \), respectively. In turn these functions may be expanded in series of \( \tau \)

\[
[\hat{V}_x(\tau)] = \sum_{k_0}^{N} R_{zk+1} \tau^k
\]

\[
[\hat{V}_y(\tau)] = \sum_{k_0}^{N} R_{yk+1} \tau^k
\]

\[
[\hat{V}_z(\tau)] = \sum_{k_0}^{N} R_{zk+1} \tau^k
\]

(19)

\[
R_{zk} = \sum_{m_0}^{M} \Delta_{xm} C_{mk}
\]

\[
R_{yk} = \sum_{m_0}^{M} \Delta_{ym} C_{mk}
\]

\[
R_{zk} = \sum_{m_0}^{M} \Delta_{zm} C_{mk}
\]

(20)

If we now make use of the A.C. condition (3) it is possible to obtain the following recurrence equation

\[
C_{mk} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} C_{(m-1)p} C_{1(k-p)}
\]

(21)

with \( m = 2, 3, \ldots, M \) and taken two by two. Let us introduce a simplifying notation

\[
[X] \equiv [x'] - T[V_x] \equiv \sum_{k_0}^{N} \alpha_k \tau^k
\]

\[
[Y] \equiv [y'] - T[V_y] \equiv \sum_{k_0}^{N} \beta_k \tau^k
\]

\[
[Z] \equiv [z'] - T[V_z] \equiv \sum_{k_0}^{N} \gamma_k \tau^k
\]

(22)

\[
\alpha_k = p_{1k} - TR_{zk}
\]

\[
\beta_k = q_{1k} - TR_{yk}
\]

\[
\gamma_k = r_{1k} - TR_{zk}
\]

(23)

After the above definitions and notations we are able to find a recurrence algorithm to solve the differential system (15). First let us write the differential equations in terms of the series

\[
[x''] = -\bar{\beta}[X][f] \equiv -\bar{\beta}[U]
\]

\[
[y''] = -\bar{\beta}[Y][f] \equiv -\bar{\beta}[V]
\]

\[
[z''] = -\bar{\beta}[Z][f] - T^2[G] \equiv -\bar{\beta}[W] - T^2[G]
\]

(24)

where the following notation was introduced

\[
[U] = \sum_{k_0}^{N} u_k \tau^k ; \; [V] = \sum_{k_0}^{N} v_k \tau^k ;
\]

\[
[W] = \sum_{k_0}^{N} w_k \tau^k ; \; [G] = g \sum_{k_0}^{N} \delta_{0k} \tau^k ;
\]

\[
u_k = \sum_{p_0}^{k} \alpha_p \sigma_{(k-p)} ; \; v_k = \sum_{p_0}^{k} \beta_p \sigma_{(k-p)} ;
\]

\[
w_k = \sum_{p_0}^{k} \gamma_p \sigma_{(k-p)}
\]

The necessary recurrence relationships are given by

\[
A_{k+2} = -\bar{\beta}_{uk} / \varphi_{2k}
\]

\[
B_{k+2} = -\bar{\beta}_{uk} / \varphi_{2k}
\]

\[
C_{1(k+2)} = -\bar{\beta}_{uk} + T^2 g \delta_{0k} / \varphi_{2k}
\]

(25)

Then the algorithm is complete. Briefly the steps are the following

1. Data \( \alpha_m, \Delta_{xm}, \Delta_{ym}, \Delta_{zm}, C_{00} = 1 \) and \( C_{0k} = 0 \) are input;
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Table 1: Data of projectile motion examples. \( \mathbf{r} \) and \( \dot{\mathbf{r}} \) are the initial position and velocity vectors respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EX</th>
<th>I.C.</th>
<th>WIND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( (x, y, z) )</td>
<td>( (V_x, V_y, V_z) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(0, 0, 0)</td>
<td>(4, 0, 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(0, 0, 10)</td>
<td>(−4, 0, 0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Given the initial conditions, \( C_{10}, A_0, B_0, A_1, B_1 \) and \( C_{11} \) are found;

3. A value of \( T \) is chosen;

4. The calculation is thus performed during a number of steps which depend on the value of \( T \) and duration of the experiment;

5. The trajectories \( x, y \) and \( z \) are finally obtained.

Figures 1 and 2 show the 3D trajectories for data depicted in Table 1. For both examples \( M = N = 20 \), \( \beta = 0.01 \), \( g = 10 \, \text{m/s}^2 \), \( h = 1000 \, \text{m} \), \( T = 0.01 \, \text{s} \).

B. N-orbiting bodies

The behavior of \( N \) bodies attracted to each other in a gravitational force field is tackled by means of the statement of the analytical solution using algebraic recurrence. The forces are assumed varying inversely as the square of the separation among the bodies. Let us define the position vectors of each body in an inertial reference system as

\[ \mathbf{r}_i(\tau) = (x_i, y_i, z_i) \]  

(26)

where \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, NB \). \( NB \) is the number of bodies. If the non-dimensional time is defined as \( \tau = t/T \) in \([0, 1]\) and \( T \) is the interval of interest (parameter to be chosen), the scalar equations of motion may be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{x}_i &= G \left( \sum_{k=i+1}^{NB} \frac{m_k (x_k - x_i)}{R_{ik}^3} \right) - G \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{m_k (x_k - x_i)}{R_{ik}^3} \\
\ddot{y}_i &= G \left( \sum_{k=i+1}^{NB} \frac{m_k (y_k - y_i)}{R_{ik}^3} \right) - G \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{m_k (y_k - y_i)}{R_{ik}^3} \\
\ddot{z}_i &= G \left( \sum_{k=i+1}^{NB} \frac{m_k (z_k - z_i)}{R_{ik}^3} \right) - G \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{m_k (z_k - z_i)}{R_{ik}^3}
\end{align*}
\]

(27)

\( G \equiv T^2G^*, \quad G^* = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{N m}^2/\text{kg}^2 \) is the gravitational constant and \( m_i \) are the masses of each body. The prime denotes derivative w.r.t. \( \tau \). Additionally the following notation was introduced

\[ R_{ij} = |\mathbf{R}_{ij}| = \sqrt{(x_j - x_i)^2 + (y_j - y_i)^2 + (z_j - z_i)^2} \]

in which conventionally \( j > i \) and \( \mathbf{R}_{ij} \) is the relative position vector.

To obtain the algebraic recurrence let us expand functions \( x_i, y_i, z_i \) according to notation (1) with \( a_{ik} \equiv A_{ik}, \quad a_{ik} \equiv B_{ik} \) and \( a_{ik} \equiv C_{ik} \) respectively and \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, NB \). Similarly we introduce the representation of \( x^2, y^2, z^2 \), from (2), as \( a_{2k} \equiv a_{ik}, \quad a_{2k} \equiv b_{ik} \) and \( a_{2k} \equiv c_{ik} \), respectively. Other series of type (1) are also necessary: \( x_i x_j, y_i y_j, z_i z_j, R_{ij}, \text{ and } 1/R_{ij}^3 \) represented with coefficients \( \sigma_{ijk} \).

The following A.C. conditions applied to the func-
tion $x_i$ are true

$$[x_i^2] = [x_i]^2 ; \quad \text{a) } [x,x_j] = [x_i] [x_j] ; \quad \text{b) }$$ (28)

Analogously with functions $y_i$ and $z_i$. Other A.C. conditions must be satisfied

$$[R_{ij}^2] = [R_{ij}]^2 = [x_i^2] + [x_j^2] - 2 [x_i x_j] + [y_i^2] + [y_j^2] - 2 [y_i y_j] + [z_i^2] + [z_j^2] - 2 [z_i z_j]$$ (29a)

$$[R_{ij}] = [R_{ij}^2] [R_{ij}]$$ (29b)

For the sake of brevity the recurrence expression for all the coefficients introduced above are not included. They are derived from the A.C. conditions resulting in equations of the type (4) or (5). Finally the solving equations are

$$\varphi_{2k} A_{i(k+2)} = G \left( \sum_{n=m+1}^{NB} m_n X_{ink} \right) - G \left( \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} m_w X_{wik} \right) \quad (30a)$$

$$\varphi_{2k} B_{i(k+2)} = G \left( \sum_{n=m+1}^{NB} m_n Y_{ink} \right) - G \left( \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} m_w Y_{wik} \right) \quad (30b)$$

$$\varphi_{2k} C_{i(k+2)} = G \left( \sum_{n=m+1}^{NB} m_n Z_{ink} \right) - G \left( \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} m_w Z_{wik} \right) \quad (30c)$$

in which $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N - 2$. The next definitions were introduced

$$X_{rsk} = \sum_{p=0}^{k} \sigma_{rsp} (A_s (k-p) - A_r (k-p)) \quad (31a)$$

$$Y_{rsk} = \sum_{p=0}^{k} \sigma_{rsp} (B_s (k-p) - B_r (k-p)) \quad (31b)$$

$$Z_{rsk} = \sum_{p=0}^{k} \sigma_{rsp} (C_s (k-p) - C_r (k-p)) \quad (31c)$$

The necessary steps to find the solution are the following

1. A value of $T$ is chosen;

2. Given the initial conditions, i.e. $\vec{r}_i(0)$ (position) and $\vec{r}_i'(0)$ (velocity) the values of $A_0$, $B_0$, $C_0$, $A_{i0}$, $B_{i0}$ and $C_{i0}$ are known;

3. The calculation is thus performed using Eqs. (30) and (31) during a number of steps which depend on the value of $T$ and the duration of the experiment;

4. The position $\vec{r}_i(\tau)$ and velocity $\vec{r}_i'(\tau)$ are finally obtained for each body ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, NB$).

The case of four bodies ($NB = 4$) was numerically solved. Figure 3 shows the relative position among four bodies of masses $m_1 = 1$, $m_2 = 1$, $m_3 = 5$ and $m_4 = 1$, respectively. The spheres denote the initial position of the bodies, which along with the velocities are given in Table 2. The experiment was run during 750000 s taking 5000 steps of $T = 150$ s each. See also Filipich et al. 2001b.

C. Lorenz equations

Lorenz (see for instance Strogatz, 1994) discovered in 1963 the chaotic motions of a model of convection rolls in the atmosphere. The behavior of this problem is such that very small changes in the initial conditions lead to different solutions, but as Lorenz showed, bounded in a three dimensional butterfly-shaped domain. Here the power series are used to solve the fol-
Following system of differential equations

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{u} &= \sigma^*(v - u) \\
\dot{v} &= r^*u - v - uw \\
\dot{w} &= uw - b^*w
\end{align*} \]  

(32)

where \( \sigma^* , r^* , b^* > 0 \) are parameters; \( u = \dot{u}(t); v = \dot{v}(t); w = \dot{w}(t) \). After introducing the variable \( \tau = t/T \), the following series are adopted

\[ [u] = \sum_{i=0}^{N} A_i \tau^i; \quad [v] = \sum_{i=0}^{N} B_i; \quad [w] = \sum_{i=0}^{N} C_i \tau^i \]  

(33)

After the replacement of the series in the differential system the recurrence system is obtained

\[ \begin{align*}
A_{i+1} &= \frac{\sigma}{\varphi_{1i}} (A_i - B_i) \\
B_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{\varphi_{1i}} (r A_i - TB_i - TS_i) \\
C_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{\varphi_{1i}} (T Z_i - b C_i)
\end{align*} \]  

(34)

where \( \sigma = \sigma^* T, r = r^* T, b = b^* T \) and the Cauchy products are

\[ S_i = \sum_{p=0}^{i} A_p C_{i-p}; \quad Z_i = \sum_{p=0}^{i} A_p B_{i-p} \]

Numerical results were obtained with initial conditions \( u_0 = 0, v_0 = 1, w_0 = 0, T = 0.1, \sigma^* = 10, r^* = 28, b^* = 8/3 \). \( N = 20 \). The time trajectory is shown in Fig. 4 and the phase plane in Fig. 5. The well-known strange attractor is reproduced without numerical perturbation. Being very sensitive to initial conditions these problems pose a challenge to any numerical tool.

D. Forced Duffing equation

The well-known nonlinear equation extensively known as Duffing oscillator is governed by (see for instance Thompson and Stewart, 1986):

\[ \begin{align*}
\ddot{u} + p^* \dot{u} + q^* u^3 &= B^* f(t) \\
u(0) &= U_0; \quad \dot{u}(0) = V_0
\end{align*} \]  

(35)

It is a helpful low-dimensional mathematical model to understand the behavior of more complex dynamic systems. In mechanical engineering this equation may model the response of a forced beam with large deflections. Ueda (1980) identified the chaotic behavior of the response for certain range of the parameters. In this equation \( u = \dot{u}(t) \). After non-dimensionalization the equation reads

\[ v'' + pv' + qv^3 = B f(\tau); \quad v(0) = U_0; \quad v'(0) = V_0\]  

(36)

in which \( p = Tp^*; q = T^2 q^*; B = T^2 B^*; V_0 = TV_0^* \) and \( v' = dv/d\tau \). Now let us apply expansions (1) to \( v \) and (2) to \( v^3 \) and (6)) to the driving force \( f(\tau) \). Also the derivatives of \( v \) may be written as

\[ [v'] = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varphi_{1i} a_{1(i+1)} \tau^i \]  

(37)

\[ [v''] = \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \varphi_{2i} a_{2(i+1)} \tau^i \]  

(38)

and finally the differential equation is transformed into

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \tau^i [\varphi_{2i} a_{2i} + 2 + p\varphi_{1i} a_{1i} + 1 + q a_{3i} - B \phi_i] = 0 \]  

(39)
A recurrence relationship may be found by stating the A.C. It is possible to find all the values of the coefficients starting from the initial condition. That is, from Eq. (4) or (5)

$$a_{2i} = \sum_{p=0}^{i} a_{1p} a_{1(i-p)}; \quad a_{3i} = \sum_{p=0}^{i} a_{2p} a_{1(i-p)}$$

(40)

Then from Eq. (39) and since $a_{10} = U_0$ and $a_{11} = V_0$ the following recurrence expression is obtained

$$a_{1(i+2)} = \frac{B\phi_i - p\varphi_1 a_{1(i+1)} - qa_{3i}}{\varphi_{2i}}$$

(41)

An illustrative example was numerically solved with the following data: $U_0 = 3, V_0^* = 4, p^* = 0.05, q^* = 1, f(\tau) = \cos(\omega\tau T)$, $B^* = 7.5, N = 20$. The arbitrary time of interest was chosen $T = 0.35$ s. Fig. 6 depicts the time trajectory and the corresponding phase plot is shown in Fig. 7. These results are coincident with the ones reported by Thompson and Stewart, 1986. As is known, this problem is extremely sensitive to initial conditions changes. This feature is one possible condition for chaos. Consequently the numerical behavior of the employed methodology is relevant to the reliability of the results.

**E. STRONGLY NONLINEAR OSCILLATOR**

Let us introduce the following differential equation

$$\ddot{x} + \omega^2 x + \epsilon \dot{x} x^2 \sin 2t = 0$$

(42)

This equation is related to rotor dynamics. Mahmoud, 2001 studied it with an extended average theorem algorithm. It may represent the scalar part of a complex equation governing a damped nonlinear system. Examples of this behavior appear also in robots and shells as reported in Mahmoud and Aly, 2000.

When $\epsilon$ is not restricted to be a small number this equation represents a strongly nonlinear oscillator. The non-dimensionalized equation is

$$\ddot{x} + Ax + Bx'x^2 \sin 2T\tau = 0$$

(43)

where $x = x(\tau)$, in $[0, 1]$, $\tau = (t - t_0) / T$ is the non-dimensional time, $t_0$ is the initial time, $T$ is a time interval to be selected and $(\cdot)' = d(\cdot) / d\tau$. The constants in the differential equation (43) are $A = (\omega T)^2$ and $B = \epsilon T$. In order to solve the equation by means of the power series, function $x$ and its derivatives are expanded as follows

$$[x] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \varphi_{1k} \tau^k;$$

$$[x'] = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \varphi_{1k} a_{1(k+1)} \tau^k$$

(44)

$$[x''] = \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} \varphi_{2k} a_{1(k+2)} \tau^k$$

The nonlinear terms are tackled similarly. The successive coefficients of the involved functions are obtained with repeated applications of the basic recurrence

$$[x^2] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_{2k} \tau^k;$$

$$[x'x^2] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \phi_k \tau^k$$

(45)

$$[x'x^2 \sin 2T\tau] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \lambda_k \tau^k$$
expressions after imposing the $A.C.$ of the type (3), (4), (5) and (6).

In order to handle a step-wise algorithm the solution is extended to $P$ steps of length $T$. Then we define $\tau_p$ in $[0,1]$ and $p = 1, 2, \ldots, P$. The sine function is now expanded as

$$\sin 2T(\tau_p + p - 1) = \cos 2T(p - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{N} q_k \tau^k + \sin 2T(p - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{N} r_k \tau^k$$

(46)

where $\{q_j\}$ and $\{r_j\}$ are known coefficients of the sine and cosine functions. At this stage the differential equation (43) is written for each step

$$\varphi_{2k} a_{1(k+2)} + A a_{1k} + B \lambda_k = 0$$

$$\quad(k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N - 2)$$

(47)

The initial conditions in each step give place to the starting values $a_0 = x_0\phi$ and $a_1 = x_\phi'$. The algorithm is complete after the necessary $A.C.$ of type (3), (4) and (5).

A numerical example was carried out setting $T = 0.125$ s, $\omega^2 = 0.306$, $\epsilon = 5$, $t_0 = \pi$, $x_0 = -0.5$, $x_\phi' = 0$, $N = 50$. The same example was solved in Mahmoud, 2001 with an averaging method, as an extension to the approach for weakly nonlinear systems. Mahmoud reports the time trajectory in a range $[\pi, 28.14]$ in which only two waves are observed. His results are close to the numerical solution (Runge-Kutta 4th. order) though a difference is noticeable in the plot despite the short interval depicted. Fig. 8 shows the trajectory found with the above described algebraic recurrence plotted in the time domain $[\pi, 375]$. One may infer that the response is quasi-periodic given the modulation of the curve.

In Fig. 9 the same trajectory is plotted in a smaller range together with a numerical solution found using the integration scheme known as forward Euler, implemented in the software MAPLE V as the default algorithm to solve differential equations. The second solution starts to diverge before the 30 s. The corresponding phase diagram is depicted in Fig. 10.

In order to have more elements to confirm the supposedly quasiperiodic behavior, a Poincare map is plotted in Fig. 11. It was found for 750 s of duration of the experiment and a sampling time of $\pi$. A diamond shaped array of points is observed. Although it might seem that for a longer time of experiment the points would fill the curve (feature of a quasiperiodic response), this is not the case since after a transient behavior the system settles down to a fixed finite number of points which corresponds to a periodic response of several periods.

F. CONCLUSIONS

A methodology to find the analytical solution of nonlinear differential equations has been presented. The technique makes use of the well-known power series with a systematic handling of nonlinearities and vari-
able coefficients. In order to find the arbitrary powers of the time functions, the Cauchy products are taken two by two. The differential equation is satisfied in each step unlike other numerical schemes which make use of truncated series. The convergence of the method allows to extend the duration of the numerical experiments making possible a long time analysis of the response. Other numerical techniques may show divergence at early stages of the time domain.

Several examples are derived in detail. The well-known forced Duffing equation and the Lorenz attractor are solved. Also, the illustrations include the motion of a projectile including the air resistance and the wind pressure, and the orbiting of N bodies under the action of forces inversely proportional to the square of the distance among them. Finally the equation governing a strongly nonlinear oscillator was tackled with the methodology.

The answer is, in all cases, given by a power series with known coefficients. Then the user may have not only the numerical result but the “analytical” expression of the solution.

Although this power series technique is very old, the availability of symbolic algebraic manipulation packages might facilitate the systematization of its application.
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