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**ABSTRACT:** In 1946 twenty-five pairs of *Castor canadensis* were introduced into the Isla Grande of Tierra del Fuego (Argentina). Thanks both to environmental conditions favorable for colonization and an absence of effective control measures, today the estimated abundance is about 100 000 individuals. We have conducted molecular analysis of beavers from three areas of the Archipelago of Tierra del Fuego, in order to characterize the genetic structure of the invasive population. Our results from AMOVA ($F_{st} = 0.104, p < 0.001$) suggest that geographical barriers and large distances could limit gene flow among the populations. In the Tierra del Fuego National Park subpopulation, we found a positive and significant autocorrelation out to 800 m ($r = 0.193, p = 0.003$) and the greatest haplotype diversity ($\delta = 0.83$), which can probably be explained by the control plan used in this area or by natural selection combined with greater habitat diversity. We propose using the information about the demographic spatial dynamics and the spatial genetic structure in this invasive population to design an effective control strategy.

**RESUMEN:** Castor norteamericano exótico (*Castor canadensis*): la distribución de la variación mitocondrial en el archipiélago de Tierra del Fuego. En 1946 veinticinco parejas de *Castor canadensis* fueron introducidas en la Isla Grande de Tierra del Fuego (Argentina). Gracias a las condiciones ambientales favorables para la colonización y a la ausencia de medidas de control eficaces, en la actualidad hay aproximadamente unos 100 000 individuos. Para caracterizar la estructura genética de la población invasora, se llevaron a cabo análisis moleculares en tres subpoblaciones de castores del Archipiélago de Tierra del Fuego. Los resultados del AMOVA ($F_{st} = 0.104, p < 0.001$) sugieren la presencia de barreras y de grandes distancias geográficas que podrían limitar el flujo génico entre las poblaciones. Particularmente, en la subpoblación del Parque Nacional de Tierra del Fuego, se encontró una autocorrelación positiva y significativa hasta los 800 m ($r = 0.193, p = 0.03$) y la mayor diversidad haplotípica ($\delta = 0.83$). Esto último, podría deberse al plan de control que se estaba llevando a cabo en esta área o al efecto de la selección natural combinada con una mayor diversidad de hábitat. Finalmente, en este trabajo proponemos utilizar la información sobre dinámica demográfica-espacial y la estructura genético-espacial de la población invasora para diseñar una estrategia de control más efectiva.
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INTRODUCTION

Biological invasions, climate change and habitat fragmentation are among the leading threats to the maintenance of global biodiversity (e.g., Sala et al., 2000; Sakai et al., 2001; Travis and Park, 2004; Lizzaralde et al., 2008a; Novillo and Ojeda, 2008) and the main cause of species extinctions in island ecosystems (Clout and Veitch, 2002). Such invasions sometimes have considerable impact on local and regional economies (Sala et al., 2000; Vázquez, 2002; Lizzaralde et al., 2008a). Studies of colonization processes and exotic population control are thus major topics of concern for conservation biologists and a priority concern for wildlife management (Abdelkrim et al., 2005).

The introduction of exotic wildlife has become a serious problem in Argentina, and Patagonia is particularly vulnerable to invasive species. Most of the introduced exotics have subsequently become established there (Bonino, 1995; Novillo and Ojeda, 2008). In the Archipelago of Tierra del Fuego (ATDF), exotic species represent more than a 67% of the current biota (Poljak et al., 2007). Colonization of this insular area by the North American beaver, Castor canadensis, which has benefited from the absence of both predators and competitors, has had a major ecological impact (Bonino, 1995; Lizzaralde and Escobar, 2000; Jaksic et al., 2002). Twenty-five breeding pairs of beavers from Alberta, Canada were introduced into the Claro River in the northeast part of Fagnano Lake, in the Argentinean part of Isla Grande of Tierra del Fuego in 1946, as part of a governmental initiative to promote fur exploitation by introducing exotic furbearers (Godoy, 1963). Since that time, the beaver population has grown exponentially, and has expanded to the rest of the ATDF (Lizzaralde, 1993), thanks both to favorable environmental conditions and an absence of effective control measures (Lizzaralde and Elisetch, 2002; Lizzaralde and Venegas, 2002). By the 1960s, the population had expanded across the Beagle Channel and progressively colonized the Chilean islands of Navarino, Hoste, Picton, Nueva and Lenox. In 1989, the Chilean Navy reported the first beaver colonies on Dawson Island (Anderson et al., 2009). We have previously mentioned a high population density, ranging from 0.2 to 5.85 colony sites per km in the drainage basins, with a radial rate of spread of 2-6 linear km/yr (Lizzaralde, 1993; Lizzaralde et al., 2004, 2008a). Despite control efforts, the population has increased considerably; today, there are approximately 100 000 individuals, and about 98% of the basins are now occupied by beavers (Coronato et al., 2003; Lizzaralde et al., 2008a, 2008b). Recently, initial foci of colonization have been detected on the Brunswick Peninsula in Chile, dating from at least 1994 (Anderson et al., 2006; Skewes et al., 2006; Anderson et al., 2009), confirming that the species was capable of occupying that sector of continental Patagonia. According to Jaksic et al. (2002), beavers have dispersed from Argentina to Chile across the Beagle Channel (ca. 7 km wide) of their own accord. Beaver invasion is fast becoming a pressing problem in Argentina and Chile. Beavers significantly reduce riparian forest canopy up to 30 m away from streams (Anderson et al., 2006) and modify stream morphology and hydrology by building dams, which leads to retention of sediment and organic material in the stream channels (Lizzaralde et al., 1996, 2008b).

Although the ecological and economic effects of invasive species have sometimes been evaluated (Jaksic and Fuentes, 1980; Bonino, 1995; Kolar and Lodge, 2001; Jaksic et al., 2002; Vázquez, 2002), our understanding of changes to genetic structure and the evolutionary dynamics of invasive species is still guided more by traditional theory (Kolbe et al., 2004; Frankham, 2005; Lindholm et al., 2005) than by empirical evidence (but see Hampton et al., 2004; Bryan et al., 2005). There has not been much attention devoted to the genetic structure of invading mammals (Abdelkrim et al., 2005; Leis et al., 2008), but Lizzaralde et al. (2008a) provide preliminary information on the genetic structure of beaver populations in the ATDF, demonstrating the fixation of new
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haplotypes and several nucleotide changes over a short period of time, subsequent to the original establishment of the founder population from North America. Here, we report the results of molecular analysis (using mitochondrial DNA) of beavers from three populations of the ATDF, as a means of characterizing the genetic structure of this invasive population. Mitochondrial DNA can be used as a good phylogenetic marker in the context of mammalian evolution, and in general for vertebrate phylogenetic analysis (Saccone et al., 2000). In particular, mitochondrial DNA markers have been shown to be effective to begin to reveal the present genetic structure and history of populations (Avise, 1998). Mammalian mitochondrial DNA shows strict maternal inheritance, no recombination, and its Control Region (D-loop) is characterized by particularly rapid evolution. These features make it an excellent model for studying the evolutionary relationships among mammals at different divergence levels (Brown et al. 1986, Saccone et al. 2000).

Genetic structure can be studied at both the macro-geographic scale (among populations), using $F$-statistics (or analogues) and the micro-geographic scale (within populations), by means of spatial autocorrelation analysis. Micro-geographic (fine-scale) spatial analysis has been used to distinguish the genetic structure of several species (Temple et al., 2006; Smouse et al., 2008). With fine-scale spatial genetic analysis, we can study the genetic footprint of restricted dispersion over small spatial scales, inside single subpopulations (Hardy and Vekemans, 1999; Rousset, 2000; Vekemans and Hardy, 2004; Nussey et al., 2005; Valbuena-Carabaña et al., 2007). Such analyses have been particularly useful for plants, which typically have restricted gene flow and genetic structure on a very local scale (Wright, 1943, 1978). Although it seems unlikely that animal species with high dispersal rates would show fine-scale-spatial-genetic-structure, there are exceptions (beavers among them) that might well exhibit very local genetic structure, due to short dispersal distances, strong sociality or territoriality (see also Epperson, 1990; Baker et al., 2000; Peake ALL et al., 2003; Foerster et al., 2006; Mora et al., 2007; McEachern et al., 2007).

Accordingly, our goal is to analyze the genetic structure and diversity of the beaver population of the ATDF on a small spatial geographic scale.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

Study sites are located on two islands of the ATDF, Isla Grande (52° 27’ 04” S, 69° 32’ 08” W and 55° 03’ 00” S, 66° 31’ 52” W, Argentina) and Isla Dawson (53° 60’ 00” S, 70° 38’ 00” W, Chile). Isla Grande is the largest of thousands of islands belonging to the archipelago; it shows environmental characteristics that have been previously described in some detail by our research team (Lizarralde, 1993; Martinez Pastur et al., 2006; Lizarralde et al., 2008a). According to Lizarralde (1993), study sites on Isla Grande are characterized by high productivity, with southern beech forest (*Nothogafus* sp.) and a vast complex basin network, while Isla Dawson has low species richness but high habitat diversity, consisting of a heterogeneous mosaic of ecosystems in close proximity, due to abrupt topography and complex geography (Anderson et al., 2006). Here, we have analyzed populations from three study sites: (1) Fagnano Lake (FLA, Argentina); (2) Tierra Del Fuego National Park (TNP, Argentina); and (3) Isla Dawson (IDC, Chile) (Fig. 1). A total of 111 beaver samples were collected from study sites by park guards, hunters and field collectors (52 from FLA, 38 from TNP and 21 from IDC). The last set was provided by Nicolás Soto (Servicio Agrícola Ganadero de Chile).

**Laboratory Protocols**

We extracted DNA from fresh tissues (liver, muscle or spleen) and dried skin, using the sodium dodecyl sulfate-proteinase K/phenol-chloroform/RNase method and concentrated the extracted DNA by ethanol precipitation (Sambrook et al., 1989). We have referenced tissues and other data associated with each specimen directly to each voucher specimen and have stored them, along with field catalog number, in the official collection of the Laboratory of Molecular Ecology (Centro Regional de Estudios Genómicos, Florencio Varela, Argentina).
We amplified the mitochondrial control region (D-loop, 503 bp) with universal primers: Thr-L15926 (5’-CAATTCCCCGTTCTTGTAACCC-3’), located in the neighboring tRNA-pro gene, and DL-H16340 (5’CCTGAAGTAGGAACCAGATG-3’), following Vilà et al. (1999). We performed the amplification of the double-stranded product in 25 μl total reaction volume, with two polymerase chain reaction (PCR) thermal profiles, using Thermus aquaticus DNA-polymerase in a THERMO HYBAID MBS 0.2S. A 25 μl of PCR mixture contained 50-100 ng of DNA, 1.25 U of Taq DNA Polymerase (Promega™, GenBiotech), 2.5 μl of 10 x Taq polymerase buffer, with (NH₄)SO₄, 1.5 mM of MgCl₂, 200 μM of each dNTP and 5 μM of each primer. Thermal profiles of D-loop consisted of denaturation for 30 sec at 94°C, annealing for 30 sec at 55°C, and extension for 30 sec at 73°C; we repeated this cycle 40 times.

We purified and sequenced double-stranded PCR products in both directions, using the amplification primers. We sequenced on an ABI Prism Automated 3130 XL (Applied Biosystems™) sequencer at the Division of Sequencing Services, Universidad Nacional de Buenos Aires (UBA, Buenos Aires, Argentina). We edited the sequences visually, and managed and aligned them with CHROMAS 2.3 (Technelysium Pty. Ltd. 1998-2004, available from http://www.technelysium.com.au), using the CLUSTAL W software (Thompson et al., 1994). We then optimized the alignments manually.

**Statistical Analyses**

We calculated divergence among subpopulation (Fₛₚ), along with haplotypic (π) and nucleotide (δ) diversity, using ARLEQUIN Version 3.0 software (Weir and Cockerham, 1984; Excoffier et al., 1992, 2005).

In order to investigate the possibility of hierarchical population structure, we conducted an Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA; Excoffier et al., 1992), using ARLEQUIN Version 3.0 (Excoffier et al., 2005). Although Fₛₚ estimates the genetic-population structure, providing a sense of the impact of dispersal between discrete subpopulations, it is insensitive to more restricted dispersal within populations. Thus, we have also conducted spatial autocorrelation analysis (SAA), using GenAIEx 6.1 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006), in order to identify the patterns of genetic affinity over shorter distances, a better gauge of local dispersal (Smouse and Peakall, 1999; Peakall et al., 2003; Smouse et al., 2008).

For SAA, we restricted attention solely to the TNP subpopulation, where we have (n = 38) previously sampled animals, each with precise geographic coordinates recorded in the historical register (Lizarralde, 1993; Lizarralde and Elisetch, 2002; Martinez Pastur et al., 2006; Lizarralde et al., 2008a). We defined six distance classes with
approximately equal numbers of pairs: 0-0.8 km, 0.8-2 km, 2-3.5 km, 3.5-5 km, 5-8 km, 8-11 km.

RESULTS

Sequence Divergence

We identified seven unique D-loop haplotypes among 111 individuals of *C. canadensis*, six of which (Hap A-F) have been reported earlier (GenBank Accession numbers: AY787822-27) by Lizarralde et al. (2008a). We have also deposited the sequence of an additional haplotype (H) in GenBank (Accession number: EU476079). After alignment, there were six segregating sites (Table 1), all located at the 3’-end of the amplified fragment.

The TNP subpopulation shows the greatest haplotype diversity ($\delta = 0.83$), with all seven haplotypes (A, B, C, D, E, F and H) present. Haplotype diversity was smaller ($\delta = 0.57$-0.59) in the other subpopulations (Table 1). Nucleotide diversity was also higher in TNP ($\pi = 0.35$) than in IDC. Haplotype B had the highest frequency, averaged over the ATDF ($\bar{q}_B \approx 0.44$); haplotype D had an average frequency of $\bar{q}_D \approx 0.23$; and haplotype H had an average frequency of $\bar{q}_H = 0.19$. All subpopulations shared haplotypes B, D and H, and two of the three shared haplotype F, but haplotypes A, C and E were restricted to TNP (Table 1; Fig. 2).

Patterns of Genetic Diversity

Our AMOVA partition of mitochondrial variation among the 111 ATDF samples (three subpopulations) yielded $F_{st} = 0.104 (p < 0.001)$; that is to say ~11% of the total variation was attributable to population divergence, with 89% of the variation found within subpopulations. There has been non-trivial genetic divergence since initial occupation in 1946; diversification over space has accompanied demographic growth and territorial expansion across the ATDF, a predictable outcome of the early colonization of new habitat by a small founder population. We had limited statistical power, of course, because there were only three pairwise comparisons, but all of them were significant, $F_{st}$ (TNP vs. FLA) = 0.063 ($p = 0.018$), $F_{st}$ (TNP vs. IDC) = 0.166 ($p = 0.018$) and $F_{st}$ (FLA vs. IDC) = 0.124 ($p = 0.001$).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>GenBank accession number</th>
<th>53</th>
<th>128</th>
<th>142</th>
<th>215</th>
<th>226</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>FLA</th>
<th>TNP</th>
<th>IDC</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hap A</td>
<td>AY787822</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hap B</td>
<td>AY787823</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hap C</td>
<td>AY787824</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hap D</td>
<td>AY787825</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hap E</td>
<td>AY787826</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hap F</td>
<td>AY787827</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hap H</td>
<td>EU476079</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SE_p$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SE_\delta$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pattern Analysis

A spatial autocorrelation analysis (SAA) of individual genotypes (Smouse and Peakall, 1999) was carried out for the TNP subpopulation. Even with $n = 38$, the autocorrelogram is estimated with considerable sampling noise, and shows oscillation of positive and negative autocorrelations. The $r$-values are positive and significant out to 800 m ($r = 0.193, p = 0.003$) and at 8 km ($r = 0.120, p = 0.005$), showing that genetic affinity is not spatially random.

DISCUSSION

Population structure

The settlement of a restricted number of founders in new areas often yields a founder effect, reducing genetic variation within the founding population and increasing genetic differentiation between it and the original population in absence of gene flow (Wang et al., 2008). Although we still have no pre-colonization estimate of population structure of beavers from the native range in North America for comparison, it is noteworthy that there is substantial variation within individual sub-populations (89%) and small (though significant) differentiation among them (11%) in the ATDF. Lizardalde et al. (2008a) pointed out that a maximum of 25 mitochondrial lineages could have been the founders of the ATDF invasive population, from which only seven lineages are reported here. Of course, there may not have been 25 distinct lineages represented among the founders, but it is also possible that some lineages, initially present, have subsequently become extinct, due to stochastic loss or selection, during the process of colonization and expansion.

Using an island model, Wright (1978) suggested that values of $F_{st} = 0.25$ would indicate great differentiation among subpopulations and the range 0.15-0.25 indicates moderate differentiation. In practice, $F_{st}$ values are rarely larger than 0.5 and are often very much less. The complex network of aquatic habitats throughout the ATDF predicts that beavers might be moving freely throughout the region as one large panmictic population. However, our results indicate low but significant divergence among subpopulations ($F_{st} = 0.104, p < 0.001$). The manner in which animals use a landscape is determined both by their habitat requirements and social structure; thus, populations may exhibit spatial genetic structure even in the absence of physical barriers (Latch et al., 2008). In this work, we found significant divergence between Isla Dawson and the subpopulations of the Isla Grande (FLA and TNP; $F_{st} = 0.124-0.166$), suggesting a substantial geographic barrier to gene flow (perhaps coupled with a founder effect), presumably represented by the oceanic channel between Isla Grande and Isla Dawson (Hoffmann, 1985). On the other hand, the moderate $F_{st}$ value between the subpopulations of the Isla Grande (TNP vs. FLA, $F_{st} = 0.063$) could be due to dispersal capabilities and large distances between the subpopulations (ca. 100 km).

Spatial Genetic Structure Within TNP

In TNP, autocorrelation was positive and significant ($p < 0.05$) for the first distance class (0-800 m), and the fifth (5-8 km) but for the
other distance class, genetic affinity fluctuates around zero and is not reliably related to distance. It is clear, however, that proximal pairs are (on average) more related than are spatially more distant pairs, a typical signature of restricted dispersal and territoriality. The results of this research are congruent with our earlier studies, showing that the beaver population in the TNP was genetically structured (Lizarralde et al., 2008a). This particular spatial genetic structure might be linked, first, to the territorial organization of beavers (800 m) and second to the migration of dispersers (subadults) constrained to travel far from the home-range of adults (5-8 km) to establish new colonies. Beavers become mature later than other rodents (2-3 years old), so subadults disperse and must settle far away to avoid costly aggressive interactions (Fustec et al. 2001). For example, European beaver might require a home-range averaging 7.9 km (Nolet and Rosell, 1994), while in C. canadensis distance travelled along the stream from 8 to 16 km (Leege 1968).

The elevated variability within the TNP population can probably be explained by (1) the greater habitat diversities in the TNP or (2) the control management used in the National Park over the last several years. Control of beaver in the National Park began in 2001 with the objective of diminishing the beaver population expansion through selective extraction of beavers. Extirpation of beavers from particular areas and destruction of dams would produce ‘open patches’ that could be colonized by individuals from neighboring areas, creating a highly patchy pattern of recolonization and genetic variability.

**Management implications**

The effectiveness of control may depend on identification of possible control points from their spatial genetic structure (labeled “eradication units” by Abdelkrim et al., 2005) i.e. evidences of migration between subpopulations can be revealed by studying genetic structure. Studies to detect possible invasion paths of the species towards continental Chile and Patagonia will be carried out using microsatellites to analyze key populations going through the invasion process. Developing control strategies based on molecular data turns out to be not only efficient in the long term, but also creative, due to spatial genetic structure characteristics. A management priority is thus to first eradicate beavers from the newly created contact zone (Peninsula Brunswick, Isla Dawson, Chile) so as to break down the connectivity between potential beaver management units separated by big rivers or oceanic channel and to prevent re-invasion of this area. Concentration of eradication effort in such subpopulations may reduce the colonization of the Continental Patagonia. Our findings of genetic structuring of invasive species and future studies in landscape features restricting gene flow can help to guide eradication and management efforts to slow down the invasion rate.
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