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ABSTRACT. While described in the early twentieth century, Gracilinanus emiliae is still one of the least known taxa of its genus, with fewer than 20 specimens in scientific collections. In Brazil, only 2 specimens are known from the vicinity of Belém, Pará, and 2 specimens were recently reported from Amapá. We report here 2 new localities records for this country, which represent a significant range extension in the distribution of this marsupial. The new records corroborate the fact that G. emiliae inhabit primary lowland rain forest and it is present in great portion of the Amazonian border.

RESUMO. Novos registros do elusivo marsupial Gracilinanus emiliae (Didelphimorphia, Didelphidae) da Bacia Amazônica Brasileira e uma extensão de distribuição para a espécie. Embora descrito no início do século XX, Gracilinanus emiliae ainda é um dos táxons menos conhecidos de seu gênero, com menos de 20 espécimes em coleções científicas. No Brasil, apenas 2 espécimes são conhecidos das proximidades de Belém, Pará, e dois espécimes recentemente relatados do Amapá. Relatamos aqui 2 novos registros em localidades deste país, o que representa um aumento significativo na distribuição deste marsupial. Os novos registros corroboram o fato de que G. emiliae habita terras baixas da floresta tropical primária e está presente em grande parte da fronteira amazônica.
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Marsupials are a diversified group of Neotropical mammals that encompasses almost a hundred species (Voss and Jansa, 2009). For several of these species, however, geographic range limits are still poorly known, even for taxa described in the early 20th century. This includes most species of the genus *Gracilinanus*, which is currently divided into 6 valid taxon: *aceramarcae* (Tate, 1931), *agilis* (Burmeister, 1854), *dryas* (Thomas, 1898), *emiliae* (Thomas, 1909), *marica* (Thomas, 1898), and *microtarsus* (Wagner, 1842).

These small opossums are arboreal animals restricted to forested habitats in South America, including Brazil, where 3 species are known to occur: *G. agilis* in the moist and dry forests of central Brazil, *G. microtarsus* in the Atlantic Forest, and *G. emiliae* in lowland Amazon rainforest (Creighton and Gardner, 2008). The former 2 species are relatively common in museum collections (Voss and Jansa, 2009) and their geographic ranges, as well as their taxonomic status, have received considerable attention in recent years (Costa et al., 2003; Geise and Astua, 2009; Loss et al., 2011; Faria et al., 2013), whereas *G. emiliae* has been largely overlooked (but see Voss et al., 2009).

While it was described more than 100 years ago, *G. emiliae* is currently one of the least known species of the genus, with fewer than 20 specimens housed in scientific collections, and "data deficient" status in the IUCN Red List (Brito et al., 2008) and the Brazilian list of threatened species (Chiarello et al., 2008). Until recently, the species was known from only the northern Amazon basin (Voss et al., 2001); however, Voss et al. (2009) reported a record for Nuevo San Juan, Peru, extending its known geographic range by 950 km and indicating that it may be more widely distributed than was originally thought.

In Brazil, some of the records of *G. emiliae* from the Amazon region were derived from the erroneous identification of juvenile specimens of *Marmosa lepida* and from *Hyladelphis kalinowskii* (for details see Voss et al., 2001, 2009, respectively). Two cytogenetic studies reported the occurrence of *G. emiliae* in the Cerrado savanna of Goiás state, Brazil (Carvalho et al., 2002; Pereira et al., 2008). However, most specimens reported by Carvalho et al. (2002)—deposited in the Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro—actually belong to the genus *Cryptonanus* (A. P. Carmignotto, pers. comm.), while the single specimen analyzed by Pereira et al. (2008) is probably lost and, as the authors did not provide any morphological description, this record is doubtful and probably the reason why either record from Goiás are currently not included at the known distribution range of this species (see Creighton and Gardner, 2008; Voss et al., 2009). Finally, the specimen from Parque Nacional de Ubajara, Ceará—deposited in the Universidade Federal do Ceará, Ceará—, reported by Guedes et al. (2005) would need to be reevaluated. However, this individual is lost as there is no *G. emiliae* specimen at the referred collection (Gurgel Filho and Langguth, in press). This specimen might be referent to "*Marmosa* agricolai" Moojen 1943, a typical taxon from the Caatinga biome and that was considered a junior synonym of *G. emiliae* by Gardner and Creighton (1989) but now is referred to the recently described genus *Cryptonanus* (Voss et al., 2005).

Given these considerations, the only reliable records of *G. emiliae* from Brazil are 2 specimens from the vicinity of Belém, in Pará state, and 2 recently reported from Amapá (Silva et al., 2013). The present study reports on material from 2 new Brazilian localities, which represent a significant extension of the known distribution of the species in this country.

One record is derived from a specimen deposited in the Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), and the other is from a live caught specimen. Measurements of the specimens are based on Voss et al. (2009). The museum specimen, MZUSP 11780, comprises a skin and skull (Fig. 1) from Fordlândia (3°50’S, 55°30’W) on the Tapajós River in Pará. This individual, a subadult male collected by A. M. Olalla in March, 1965, presents erupted, but unaligned third and fourth upper molars, consistent with Tribe’s (1990) age class 5. While treated with caution, given the position of Voss et al. (2001, 2009) with regard to juveniles, this specimen is undoubtedly *G. emiliae* due to the presence of all the diagnostic characters of the species: reddish-brown dorsal fur, pure
Fig. 1. Dorsal (top, left), ventral (top, right), and lateral (bottom, middle) views of the skull and lateral view (bottom) of the mandible of a male *Gracilinanus emiliae* specimen (MZUSP 11780) from Tapajós River, Fordlândia, Pará, northern Brazil. Scale bar = 5 mm.

white-colored ventral fur, tail (LT) much longer than body (HBL) with LT/HBL ratio of 1.77 (Table 1), the presence of an anteromedial alisphenoid process on the auditory bullae, interorbital region with beaded supraorbital margins, and the absence of a maxillary fenestra (Fig. 1). The cranial measurements are also highly similar to those of the holotype, which we examined through photographs, and only slightly below the range of values recorded for adult *G. emiliae* specimens (Table 1).

The second studied specimen was captured in Araguaina (7°11'S, 48°12'W), Tocantins state, which refers to an animal captured in a 20-liter pitfall trap during a herpetological survey in a lowland gallery forest in

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MZUSP 11780</th>
<th>BMNH 93910</th>
<th>Voss et al. (2009)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>subadult</td>
<td>subadult</td>
<td>adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>2 males; 1 female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head-and-body length (HBL)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77-87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of tail (LT)</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>142-151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hind foot length</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condylo-basal length</td>
<td>21.36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23.2-23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of upper molars (M1-M4)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.8-5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of M1-M3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2-4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal breadth</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.9-7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal length</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.6-12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least interorbital breadth</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2-4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zygomatic breadth</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.4-14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBL/LT</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.64-1.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
August, 2013. This is an adult individual (third upper premolar fully erupted) and was released, although its description refers clearly to *G. emiliae*: a very small murine opossum with smooth adult pelage; a narrow midrostral streak of pure orange fur contrasting with a unruffled reddish brown dorsal fur, and a tail distinctly much longer than the head-and-body (Fig. 2).

This record from Tocantins represents the southeastern limit of the geographic range of *G. emiliae* (Fig. 3), an extension of approximately 610 km toward the southeastern limit of Amazonia, near a transitional area between Amazonia and Cerrado. Additionally, the record from Fordlândia further reinforce the apparent presence of *G. emiliae* at primary lowland rainforest, and its probably occurrence throughout most of the Amazon basin. Patton and Costa (2003) have suggested that *Gracilinanus* is absent from the Central Amazon.
zon basin, and while none of the new records presented here contradicted this hypothesis, the record from Fordlândia does represent an approximation to the central basin. In addition, the spatial distribution of the known records, which stretch from Peru in the west to French Guiana in the northeast and Tocantins in the southeast, suggests that *G. emiliae* is widely dispersed throughout the basin.

Overall, the distribution pattern of *Gracilinanus* species indicates that the radiation of the genus was closely related to the distribution of forest formations in South America. Based on our current knowledge of the geographic range of *G. emiliae*, we believe that this and other *Gracilinanus* species may prove to occur not only in the central Amazon basin, but also in other poorly investigated forest areas of South America. The results of the present study further reinforce the paucity of our current knowledge on the diversity of Neotropical marsupials, and highlight the need for new inventories, especially in the central and western Amazon basin.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank the curator, Mario de Vivo, and staff member, Juliana Gualda-Barros, for access to the specimens of the MZUSP Mammal Collection; to Stephen Francis Ferrari for the careful review of the manuscript; to Sergio Solari and one anonymous reviewer for the careful review of the manuscript; to Ana Paula Carmignotto for advices and unpublished information. Part of this work was supported by grants from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP – 2010/03969-4). PAR thanks to Ana Paula Carmignotto for advices on a previous version of the manuscript and to Stephen Francis Ferrari for the careful review of the manuscript; to Sergio Solari and one anonymous reviewer for new inventories, especially in the central basin. The authors thank the curator, Mario de Vivo, and staff member, Juliana Gualda-Barros, for access to the specimens of the MZUSP Mammal Collection; to Stephen Francis Ferrari for the careful review of the manuscript; to Sergio Solari and one anonymous reviewer for the careful review of the manuscript; to Ana Paula Carmignotto for advices and unpublished information. Part of this work was supported by grants from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP – 2010/03969-4). PAR thanks to Ana Paula Carmignotto for advices on a previous version of the manuscript and to Stephen Francis Ferrari for the careful review of the manuscript; to Sergio Solari and one anonymous reviewer for new inventories, especially in the central basin. The results of the present study further reinforce the paucity of our current knowledge on the diversity of Neotropical marsupials, and highlight the need for new inventories, especially in the central and western Amazon basin.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank the curator, Mario de Vivo, and staff member, Juliana Gualda-Barros, for access to the specimens of the MZUSP Mammal Collection; to Stephen Francis Ferrari for the careful review of the manuscript; to Sergio Solari and one anonymous reviewer for advice on a previous version of the manuscript and to Ana Paula Carmignotto for advice and unpublished information. Part of this work was supported through grants from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP – 2010/03969-4). PAR thanks CNPq for research stipends (501701/2013-3).

**LITERATURE CITED**


GURGEL FILHO NM and A LANGGUTH. In press. Pequenos Mamíferos do Ceará (Didelphimorphia, Chiroptera e Rodentia). Revista Nordestina de Biologia.


