Quantification of Cardiac Ventricular Repolarization and its Spatial Dispersion through the Surface Electrocardiogram
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SUMMARY
The ventricular repolarization dispersion (VRD) is determined basically by the heterogeneity of the action potentials in different myocardial regions. Usually the heart responds to certain physiopathological states by producing a VRD increase, which may lead to a malignant ventricular arrhythmia and/or sudden death. For 25 years, the VRD has been quantified with several indexes obtained by computational ECG processing, in order to identify patients with high cardiovascular risk. These indexes are based on the detection of T wave changes in duration or morphology in presence of heart diseases. A revision of the spatial dispersion indexes and their potential as supporting tool for the diagnosis of cardiac risk is presented in this work.
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Abbreviations >

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_{nl}$</td>
<td>Total angle principal component-to-T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{cos}$</td>
<td>Total R T cosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>Malignant ventricular arrhythmia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{wl}$</td>
<td>T wave loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>Dilated cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>Implantable cardioverter defibrillator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCM</td>
<td>Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{comp}$</td>
<td>Repolarization complexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$QT_{c}$</td>
<td>QT interval dispersion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$QT_{cor}$</td>
<td>Corrected QT interval dispersion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APD</td>
<td>Action potential duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRD</td>
<td>Ventricular repolarization dispersion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVD</td>
<td>Singular value decomposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>Electrocardiogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCG</td>
<td>Vectocardiogram</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BACKGROUND
Electrocardiography was one of the first medical diagnostic applications of digital computers. (1) Automated analysis of ECG data is an active area of ongoing research, as a means of improving diagnosis and prediction of heart diseases. (2)

Quantification of ventricular repolarization dispersion (VRD) is one of the goals of the digital analysis of ECG data, as increased VRD has been associated with risk of malignant ventricular arrhythmias (MVAs) and sudden death (SD). (3)

There is abundant experimental and clinical evidence of the association between an increased dispersion of action potential duration (APD) and the incidence of MVAs and/or SD. The underlying mechanisms are reentrant circuits, increased automatism, influence of the autonomous nervous system and substrates associated with heart diseases. These anomalies are seen in situations of ischemia, (4) hypothermia, (5) electrolyte imbalance, (6) long QT syndrome (LQTS), (7) premature beats (8) or effects of the autonomous nervous system. (9) For example, in congenital LQTS, cardiac SD is the first...
manifestation of the disease in 10% of asymptomatic patients. (10)

Cardiac SD is defined as the sudden loss of heart function and may occur preceded by or in the absence of prodromes. A recent study performed in our country (11) in 642 021 subjects reported 1274 deaths, suggesting that coronary artery disease is a risk factor for SD.

In patients at high risk of MVAs and/or SD, the current therapeutic options are antiarrhythmic drugs, implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) or the combination of both. (12) Antiarrhythmic therapy should be monitored to prevent arrhythmias associated with acquired LQTS. (13)

For this reason it is important to identify patients at risk and to assess the cost-effectiveness of treatment interventions, combining the criteria used for treatment decision making with a thorough analysis of the ECG.

Currently there are experimental and clinical studies that validated the digital analysis of the ECG as a support tool to assess cardiac risk. (2)

The analysis of the VRD through ECG records can detect local or global modifications of the electrical gradient under pathological conditions at risk of for VMAs and/or SD.

The difference in the duration and shape of the action potential (AP) in the anterior, posterior and inferior wall of the left ventricle and between both ventricles contributes to regional inhomogeneity in ventricular repolarization (VR). In addition, the difference in recovery times of endocardial cells, M cells and epicardial cells generates transmural inhomogeneity. In consequence, regional and transmural dispersion characterize repolarization as a “spatial” phenomenon. Even more, VR depends on heart rate and on beat-to-beat changes presenting “temporal” characteristics.

This review deals with the principal “spatial indexes” of VRD based on the quantification of “intervals” and “morphology” of VR.

**COMPUTATIONAL ECG PREPROCESSING**

ECG data is stored in the computer; baseline noise (breathing movements and/or patient movements) electrical interference (50 Hz) and muscular contraction are removed by digital filtration.

An algorithm detects the QRS complex. Finally, a delineator algorithm (14) detects the onset, peak and end of P waves, QRS complex and T waves beat-by-beat within a “window” defined by the previous QRS complex.

The analysis of “repolarization intervals” (section 3) is performed after measuring the QT interval, T-wave onset, T-wave end and then the signals extending from the T-wave onset to the T-wave end. Segmentation of repolarization is used to analyze “T wave morphology” (section 4) in fixed or variable windows (ST-T complex or T wave) depending on the RR interval. Repolarization windows are calculated as the earliest and latest reliable T-wave onset and end between all leads. (15)

**SPATIAL DISPERSION INDEXES ASSOCIATED WITH REPOLARIZATION INTERVALS**

This section described markers of spatial heterogeneity associated to T wave intervals and their usefulness to stratify cardiac risk.

**QT interval dispersion**

QT interval dispersion ($QT_D$) is the difference between the maximum and minimum durations of the QT interval in the ECG. Originally, $QT_D$ was determined in multilead recording systems (16) and thereafter in the standard 12-lead ECG. (17)

Day et al. (18) proposed that if each lead of the ECG recorded regional activity, $QT_D$ might estimate the local dispersion of the myocardium, associating the dispersion reflected in the ECG with cell dispersion. Based on this hypothesis, they quantified increased VRD in patients with myocardial infarction who were treated with antiarrhythmic drugs. (19)

Then, they compared the $QT_D$ in hearts with normal sinus rhythm and with controlled ventricular stimulation, and concluded that the $QT_D$ reflected regional differences in ventricular recovery time (20) (Table 1). Estimation of $QT_D$ is simple and constitutes a non-invasive marker of MVA.

Higham et al. (21) compared VRD during sinus rhythm and ventricular pacing and found a great correlation between VRD measured with monophasic action potentials (MAPs) and with $QT_D$. Zabel et al. (22) recorded MAP and standard ECG using rabbit hearts and observed that $QT_D$ correlated well with the dispersion of ventricular recovery times and with the APD (Table 1). Thereafter, they confirmed these results in human beings in ECG records performed 24 hours after recording MAPs; (23) they observed a simultaneous increase in $QT_D$ and a differential increase in the duration of epicardial MAPs.

Bender et al. (24) studied the rate-corrected QT interval dispersion ($QT_D^{cr}$), defined as the difference between the maximum and minimum durations of the rate-corrected QT interval during acute myocardial infarction. They demonstrated the presence of favorable outcomes with amiodarone therapy as they found an absence of modification of the $QT_D^{cr}$ in patients treated with high doses of the antiarrhythmic drug during the acute phase of acute myocardial infarction.

Other authors described $QT_D$ as a marker of risk for arrhythmias due to LQTS, (7) for proarrhythmic effect of class III antiarrhythmic drugs, (25) in patients with acute myocardial infarction (26), hypertrophy (27) and torsade de pointes. (28)
### Tabla 1. Results of some indexes quantifying ventricular repolarization dispersion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autor and year</th>
<th>Index (units)</th>
<th>Condition Nº 1</th>
<th>Condition Nº 2</th>
<th>Condition Nº 3</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daand, et al. (1992)</td>
<td>QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt; (ms)</td>
<td>22 ± 2 (9 NSB)</td>
<td>80 ± 4&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; (9 PV)</td>
<td>23 ± 6 (9 NSB)</td>
<td>SST vs Nº 2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18 ± 2 (9 NSB)</td>
<td>87 ± 6&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; (9 PV)</td>
<td>18 ± 2 (9 NSB)</td>
<td>CI = 300 ms</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zabel, et al. (1995)</td>
<td>Correlation with its respective p value (non-dimensional)</td>
<td>0,59 (p &lt; 0,001) (50 B and 52 DS)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt; vs recovery time disp.</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,61 (p &lt; 0,001) (50 B and 52 DS)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt; vs APD disp.</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,81 (p &lt; 0,001) (50 B and 52 DS)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;pe&lt;/sub&gt; vs APD disp.</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badilini, et al. (1997)</td>
<td>QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt; (ms)</td>
<td>33,3 (25 N)</td>
<td>61,4 (17 LQTS)</td>
<td>62,7 (NS) (30 AMI)</td>
<td>SST LQTS vs AMI</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;st&lt;/sub&gt; (non-dimensional)</td>
<td>LQTS &gt; AMI&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; (flat T&lt;sub&gt;st&lt;/sub&gt;) (17 LQTS and 30 AMI)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priori, et al. (1997)</td>
<td>QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt; (ms)</td>
<td>35 ± 9 (40 N)</td>
<td>80 ± 42&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (36 LQTS)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>SST</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</td>
<td>13 ± 3 (40 N)</td>
<td>34 ± 12&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (36 LQTS)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>SST</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, et al. (1998)</td>
<td>QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt; (ms)</td>
<td>41 ± 18 (121) (N 1,29)</td>
<td>40 ± 20&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; (12xyz) (N 1,29)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>SST</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacFarlane, et al. (1998)</td>
<td>QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt; (ms)</td>
<td>29,1 ± 10,2 (121) (N 1,220)</td>
<td>27,5 ± 10,8&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; (12xyz) (N 1,220)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>SST</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kors, et al. (1999)</td>
<td>QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt; (ms)</td>
<td>54,2 ± 27,1 (for narrow T&lt;sub&gt;st&lt;/sub&gt;) (382 N + 838 DD)</td>
<td>69,5 ± 33,5&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; (for rounded T&lt;sub&gt;st&lt;/sub&gt;) (382 N + 838 DD)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>SST</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acar, et al. (1999)</td>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;st&lt;/sub&gt; (non-dimensional)</td>
<td>0,52 ± 0,29 (76 N)</td>
<td>0,35 ± 0,52&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt; (63 HCM)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>SST</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</td>
<td>15,56 ± 6,16 (76 N)</td>
<td>23,56 ± 10,85&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt; (63 HCM)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>SST</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complexity (%)</td>
<td>4,82 ± 2,37 (76 N)</td>
<td>7,76 ± 4,23&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt; (63 HCM)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>SST</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malik, et al. (2000)</td>
<td>QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt; (ms)</td>
<td>33,6 ± 18,3 (78 N)</td>
<td>47,0 ± 19,3&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt; (68 HCM)</td>
<td>57,5 ± 25,3&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt; (81 AMI)</td>
<td>SST HCM and AMI vs N</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;st&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</td>
<td>0,029 ± 0,031 (78 N)</td>
<td>0,067 ± 0,067&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt; (68 HCM)</td>
<td>0,112 ± 0,154&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt; (81 AMI)</td>
<td>QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt; vs T&lt;sub&gt;st&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correlation with its respective p value (non-dimensional)</td>
<td>-0,0446 (p = NS) (78 N)</td>
<td>0,2805 (p = NS) (68 HCM)</td>
<td>0,0771 (p = NS) (68 HCM)</td>
<td>QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt; vs T&lt;sub&gt;st&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuller, et al. (2000)</td>
<td>Correlation (non-dimensional)</td>
<td>0,91 (epicardial RMS) (52 records)</td>
<td>0,84 (total ECG RMS) (52 records)</td>
<td>0,81 (optimal ECG RMS) (52 records)</td>
<td>recovery time vs T&lt;sub&gt;WD&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,46 (epicardial RMS) (52 records)</td>
<td>0,47 (total ECG RMS) (52 records)</td>
<td>0,11 (optimal ECG RMS) (52 records)</td>
<td>recovery time vs QT&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, QT\textsubscript{n} generates controversies. Lee et al. (29) and MacFarlane et al. (30) (Table 1) demonstrated independently that QT\textsubscript{n} calculated using the synthesized 12 leads from the orthogonal XYZ leads (which did not show the effects of regional heterogeneity) had the same magnitude than the QT\textsubscript{n} measured using a standard 12-lead ECG. By the same time, Kors et al. (31) (Table 1) found a high correlation between the QT\textsubscript{n} and the T-wave loop (TW\textsubscript{L}), demonstrating that QT\textsubscript{n} might be a three dimensional (3D) attribute of TW\textsubscript{L} morphology rather than an effect of the local VRD (Figure 1). If all the information of repolarization is in the 3D TW\textsubscript{L}, the QT\textsubscript{n} might be due to the different projections of the heart vector on the different lead axes. In consequence, TW\textsubscript{L} projections on the different lead axes present different differences in QT intervals, reflecting as QT\textsubscript{n} due to loss of information and not to the effect of real VRD. There are technical limitations to define the end of the T wave, such as algorithms that overestimate or underestimate its measurement, the existence of U-wave and low amplitude waves (32) (Figure 2).

**T wave duration**

Once T wave onset and T wave end have been determined, (14) T wave duration is calculated (T\textsubscript{wd}). Increased T\textsubscript{wd} indicates a differential shortening or lengthening of the AP in some myocardium areas, reflecting ventricular heterogeneity.

Fuller et al. (33) (Table 1) used isolated-perfused canine hearts and assessed VRD by changing temperature, cycle length and the activation sequence. They measured T\textsubscript{wd} from the root-mean-square (RMS) curve obtained from 64 epicardial electrograms,192 ECG surface leads, 6 standard precordial ECG leads, and 6 optimal leads. They found that recovery times from epicardial potentials strongly correlated with T\textsubscript{wd} computed from the RMS series but, conversely, the correlation was low between the recovery times from epicardial potentials and QT\textsubscript{i} from surface ECG leads, standard precordial leads and optimal leads.

Another research study performed on an isolated rabbit heart model measured T\textsubscript{wd} from multilead ECG recordings during control dispersion (34). A T wave obtained from multilead recordings showed that T\textsubscript{wd} quantified VRD better than QT\textsubscript{i}, T\textsubscript{wr} and T wave amplitude. The T\textsubscript{wd} was a good marker of risk for increased VRD induced by supplying d-Sotalol (DS) or by premature ventricular stimulation (PVS).

**T wave-peak -to-end duration**

T wave-peak -to-end duration (T\textsubscript{pe}), calculated from T-wave peak position to T-wave end, is a marker of transmural VRD. In a model of myocardial wedge
preparation (35) the peak of the T wave represents the end of the repolarization in the epicardium, the end of the T wave reflects the end of repolarization in M cells and the descending limb of the T wave is associated with the repolarization in the endocardium. $T_{PD}$ is a measurement of transmural dispersion; however, it is difficult to associate with the standard ECG, as $T_{PD}$ is a concept derived from the ECG of the wedge preparation. However, some studies have quantified the transmural dispersion (36) from the ECG. In addition, $T_{PD}$ may replace measurement of $T_{WD}$ during ischemia, as measurement of T wave onset is unstable when the ST-segment is modified. (15)
**Other indicators of spatial dispersion**

The amplitude and symmetry of the T wave, and the relationship between its areas have been proposed to be arrhythmogenic markers. During ischemia, changes in the symmetry and amplitude of the T wave (37) were consistent with the computational model (38) that simulated ischemia. Other studies found changes in the amplitude, area and symmetry of the T wave related to exercise stress test, (33), antiarrhythmic drugs and PVS, (40) compared to controls.

**Spatial dispersion indexes associated with T wave shape**

The Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) is a mathematical transformation based on the correlation between signals obtained in this case from the standard ECG. In general, SVD is applied to the eight ECG leads reciprocally independent (I, II, V<sub>1</sub>-V<sub>6</sub>) and the information is reconstructed in an optimal orthogonal space of eight pseudo-leads (S<sub>1</sub>...S<sub>8</sub>) as illustrated in Figure 3. In that space, S<sub>1</sub> will contain the maximal energy or eigenvalue (λ<sub>1</sub>) in that direction, S<sub>2</sub> will contain the maximal energy (λ<sub>2</sub>) perpendicular to S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> will contain the maximal λ<sub>2</sub> perpendicular to the first two pseudo-leads and so on. The components S<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> define the dipolar cardiac electrical vector component containing around 98% of the total energy (λ<sub>1</sub>λ<sub>2</sub>λ<sub>3</sub>), while the components S<sub>4</sub>...S<sub>8</sub> contains the remaining 2% of energy which cannot be represented through the dipolar model (λ<sub>4</sub>...λ<sub>8</sub>).

**T wave residuum**

T wave residuum (T<sub>WR</sub>) estimates the relative energy of the non-dipolar components related to the total energy. The dipolar component is the electrical 3D representation, while the non-dipolar component is related to the local heterogeneity of the myocardium that is not represented in the cardiac electrical vector. One study (41) was designed to compare QT<sub>D</sub> with T<sub>WR</sub> in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and acute myocardial infarction (AMI), and in normal subjects. The T<sub>WR</sub> was useful to discriminate between normal and abnormal subjects, and there was a low correlation between QT<sub>D</sub> and non-dipolar components (Table 1). A retrospective study (42) with 10 years of follow up performed in dead and live patients with heart disease reported that T<sub>WR</sub> and absolute T wave residuum (unnormalized T-wave morphology dispersion) were good predictors of mortality (Table 1). In addition, in a model of animal heart, the T<sub>WR</sub> increased during PVS. (43)

![Fig. 3: Standard ECG (left panel) and the 8 pseudo-leads obtained by applying singular value decomposition (right panel). See the maximal amount of energy concentrated in the first three directions S<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> after applying SVD.](image-url)
Wave front direction of repolarization

Ventricular gradient ($V_o$), investigated by Wilson et al. 75 years ago (44) is the vector resulting from instantaneous ventricular vectors of depolarization and repolarization and can detect primary T wave changes concealed by secondary modifications. (44) This phenomenon can occur under circumstances of ischemia associated with paroxysmal tachycardia, bundle branch block (45, 46), ventricular hypertrophy (47) or Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome; in these conditions a positive T wave may be abnormal and a negative T wave may be normal. In these cases, estimation of $V_o$ provides a solid basis for the analysis of the surface ECG. (48)

Total R T cosine ($T_{CRT}$) is a new descriptor of repolarization heterogeneity that quantifies the deviation between the directions of ventricular depolarization and repolarization. It revives the old concept of ventricular gradient. $T_{CRT}$ is estimated as the cosine angle between the direction of the QRS complex and the T wave dominant vectors in an optimal orthogonal space obtained by the SVD from the surface ECG. Negative values of $T_{CRT}$ express that the T-wave loop is opposite to the QRS loop, while positive values represent normal cardiac activation and recovery. $T_{CRT}$ is better than the QT$_C$ to predict risk following a myocardial infarction (49) and SD. (50) It is also useful to quantify the circadian variations of heterogeneity (51) and to discriminate between normality and abnormality in HMC (Table 1). In the same way, estimation of the total angle principal component-to-T($T_{PC}$) is useful to study repolarization excluding depolarization as it can discriminate between control or normality in the SVD space (34) (Table 1).

Recently, $T_{CRT}$ was compared to $V_o$ (55) in healthy volunteers and in patients with heart disease (with exercise-induced ST-depression) during Valsalva maneuver. Ventricular gradient and $T_{CRT}$ detect changes in the angles in depolarization and repolarization front waves and contain non-redundant information, probably related to the methodology used for calculation.

Repolarization complexity

As the clinical use of Frank lead system is minor, leads XYZ of the vectocardiogram (VCG) can be obtained by applying Dower inverse transformation (54) or SVD to standard 12-lead ECG.

Under normal conditions, the morphology of $T_{WL}$ is determined by the three eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ relative to the principal axes: $S, S, S$. In addition, it is equivalent to the vector calculated from integrated T-wave amplitudes of the XYZ leads containing the dipolar energy. (41)

When VRD increases, its eigenvalues change and this variation can be quantified through the repolarization complexity ($C_{XL}$), mathematically defined as $\lambda_2\lambda_3$.

In general, the energy of $T_{WL}$ is concentrated in its preferential plane (the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$) and the $\lambda_1\lambda_2$ index allows quantification of the roundness of the T-wave loop. A completely planar T-wave loop will have a $\lambda_1 = 0$.

The $R_c$ differentiates LQTS patients from control subjects (55) and patients with cardiovascular risk. (56) Relative changes of $\lambda_2$ and $\lambda_3$ regarding total energy are also considered $R_c$; Table 1 shows increased $R_c$ in patients with LQTS and during PVS in an experimental model in animals. (43)

Several studies demonstrated that inhomogeneities of repolarization change the morphology of the $T_{WL}$. A loss of planarity and increased roundness in the $T_{WL}$ was seen in patients with LQTS and in those following a myocardial infarction compared to controls, while $QT_x$ in XYZ could not discriminate between controls and patients with these conditions (57) (Table 1). During coronary angioplasty, the area and the complexity of the T-wave loop are more reproducible compared to the dominant angle of the $T_{WL}$.

Recently, several VCG parameters obtained from SVD and VCG obtained by Dower (58) were compared; the conclusion was that they are different not only in how they are calculated but also in their results.

CONCLUSIONS

Several indexes can quantify spatial VRD based on different intervals or on the morphology of the T wave.

Standard 12-lead ECG contains the regional information of VR, but the real dispersion of ventricular repolarization is not likely to be obtained through the assessment of $Q_{T_x}$. It is evident that the technical limitations and the projection of the cardiac vector to the linear leads is a restriction for the interpretation of the $QT_x$ and hampers the comparison among studies. $TW_x$ evaluated on an integrated signal, might be measuring the dispersion of the apex-to-base gradient, transmural gradient or a combination of both; it should be studied in humans and in diverse conditions. In addition, $T_{PE}$ was measured in few clinical studies and resulted controversial for LQTS and ischemia, (36) while its symmetry and area have been proposed as arrhythmogenic markers although it is not used widely.

Both $T_{WR}$ and $T_{CRT}$ detected patients with intermediate and high risk who could benefit from implantation of an ICD. However, the association between the physiopathological mechanisms and these indexes needs further evaluation.

Multilead systems were used to assess $R_c$ for certain diseases. It is currently analyzed in standard leads and although some commercial devices include measurement of $R_c$, its role in clinical practice is not well defined yet.

Analysis of $T_{WL}$ is better than 12-lead ECG to detect abnormalities of VR or to determine certain
diagnosis. Additional studies are needed for the development and validation of new parameters in order to reveal local singularities of the $T_{\text{disp}}$ in diseases and incorporate it as a diagnostic tool in routine electrocardiography.

The literature proposes different markers for risk stratification of cardiovascular mortality by ECG analysis; some of them are limited to predict risk, others are promising but have not been validated clinically yet, while some others have been validated as indexes of mortality. However, it would be important to perform a consensus with the participation of experts in order to unify the criteria for estimating the different parameters of spatial VRD, and to establish the most significant indexes and their prognostic value for the different heart diseases. Expert committees have established recommendations for the use of other computerized ECG measurements, such as high-resolution or signal-averaged electrocardiography for the analysis of late potentials (59) or heart rate variability (60).

**RESUMEN**

Cuantificación de la dispersión espacial de la repolarización ventricular cardiaca a través del electrocardiograma de superficie

La dispersión de la repolarización ventricular (DRV) está determinada esencialmente por la heterogeneidad de los potenciales de acción en diferentes regiones del miocardio. Con frecuencia el corazón responde a ciertos estados fisiopatológicos con la producción de un incremento de la DRV, fenómeno éste que puede devenir en una arritmia ventricular maligna y/o en la muerte súbita. Hace 25 años, con el objetivo de identificar a pacientes de riesgo cardíaco, se comenzó a cuantificar la DRV con diversos índices obtenidos por procesamiento computacional del electrocardiograma. Estos índices se basan en la detección de cambios en la duración o en la forma en la onda $T$ en presencia de cardiopatías. En este trabajo se presenta una revisión de los índices de dispersión espacial y su potencialidad como herramienta de apoyo al diagnóstico de riesgo cardíaco.
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