Efficacy of epiphytic bacteria to prevent northern leaf blight caused by *Exserohilum turcicum* in maize
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**Abstract** Eight potential biological control agents (BCAs) were evaluated in planta in order to assess their effectiveness in reducing disease severity of northern leaf blight caused by *Exserohilum turcicum*. The assay was carried out in greenhouse. Twenty-six-day-old plants, V4 pheno- logical stage, were inoculated with antagonists by foliar spray. Only one biocontrol agent was used per treatment. Ten days after this procedure, all treatments were inoculated with *E. turcicum* by foliar application. Treatments performed were: C-Et: control of *E. turcicum*; T1: isolate 1 (Enterococcus genus) + *E. turcicum*; T2: isolate 2 (Corynebacterium genus) + *E. turcicum*; T3: isolate 3 (Pantoea genus) + *E. turcicum*; T4: isolate 4 (Corynebacterium genus) + *E. turcicum*; T5: isolate 5 (Pantoea genus) + *E. turcicum*; T6: isolate 6 (Bacillus genus) + *E. turcicum*; T7: isolate 7 (Bacillus genus) + *E. turcicum*; T8: isolate 8 (Bacillus genus) + *E. turcicum*. Monitoring of antagonists on the phyllosphere was performed at different times. Furthermore, the percentage of infected leaves and, plant and leaf incidence were determined. Foliar application of different bacteria significantly reduced the leaf blight between 30–78% and 39–56% at 20 and 39 days respectively. It was observed that in the V10 stage of maize plants, isolate 8 (Bacillus spp.) caused the greatest effect on reducing the severity of northern leaf blight. Moreover, isolate 8 was the potential BCA that showed more stability in the phyllosphere. At 39 days, all potential biocontrol agents had a significant effect on controlling the disease caused by *E. turcicum*. © 2016 Asociación Argentina de Microbiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Eficacia de bacterias epifíticas en la prevención del tizón foliar del maíz causado por Exserohilum turcicum

Resumen Se evaluó a 8 potenciales agentes de control biológico (ACB) en un ensayo in planta, con el objetivo de probar su efectividad en la reducción del daño provocado por Exserohilum turcicum, agente causal del tizón foliar del maíz. El ensayo se llevó a cabo en invernadero. Plantas de maíz de 26 días, en estadio fenológico V4, se inocularon con los potenciales antagonistas por aplicación foliar como espray. Solo un agente de biocontrol fue usado por tratamiento y todos los tratamientos se inocularon con E. turcicum 10 días después, también por aplicación foliar. Los tratamientos desarrollados fueron los siguientes: C-Et: control de E. turcicum; T1: aislamiento 1 (género Enterococcus) + E. turcicum; T2: aislamiento 2 (género Corynebacterium) + E. turcicum; T3: aislamiento 3 (género Pantoea) + E. turcicum; T4: aislamiento 4 (género Corynebacterium) + E. turcicum; T5: aislamiento 5 (género Pantoea) + E. turcicum; T6: aislamiento 6 (género Bacillus) + E. turcicum; T7: aislamiento 7 (género Bacillus) + E. turcicum; T8: aislamiento 8 (género Bacillus) + E. turcicum. La monitorización en la filosfera de los antagonistas se llevó a cabo a diferentes tiempos. Además, se determinó el porcentaje de hojas infectadas y la incidencia en plantas y hojas. La aplicación foliar de diferentes bacterias redujo significativamente la gravedad del tizón del maíz: entre el 30 y el 78% a los 20 días y entre el 39 y el 56% a los 39 días. En el estadio V10 de las plantas de maíz se observó que el aislamiento 8 (Bacillus spp.) causó el mayor efecto de reducción del tizón foliar. Además, dicho aislamiento fue el potencial agente de biocntrol que mostró mayor estabilidad en la filosfera. A los 39 días, todos los potenciales agentes de biocontrol demostraban un efecto significativo sobre el control de la enfermedad causada por E. turcicum.

© 2016 Asociación Argentina de Microbiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Northern leaf blight caused by Exserohilum turcicum (Pass.) Leonard and Suggs (Syn. Helminthosporium turcicum Pass.) is an endemic foliar disease in the maize production area of Argentina [1]. This pathogen has been known for its high prevalence and intensity in recent seasons in late planting of maize [10,21]. Several factors have influenced the increase of disease, such as late planting dates, expansion of the area under reduced tillage [11] and intense and frequent rainfall during the summer months [10,21]. E. turcicum is a pathogen characterized by low spore production, long latency periods and lesions with expanded spots [17]. Disease affects photosynthetic tissues and the increase of lesion size can cause necrosis of the complete leaf. Necrosis and premature leaf death diminishes the capture of solar energy and consequently the translocation of photosynthates necessary for grain filling [31]. The progress of the disease is favored by moderate temperatures and long leaf-wetness periods due to rain or dew, conditions that commonly occur in the central maize area of Argentina, coinciding with the reproductive stages of the crop [19]. A 50% reduction of incident radiation 15 days before and 15 days after flowering may cause a decrease of 40–50% of grain yield [22]. In the central area of Argentina, De Rossi et al. [14] have determined that values of 60% severity caused losses of up to 40% in the yield of susceptible hybrids.

The control techniques used so far are chemical control and genetic resistance [26]. It has been determined that mixtures of triazoles and strobilurins are valid tools for the reduction of yield losses caused by northern leaf blight [12,18,19,33,40]. Moreover, the widespread use of chemicals in agriculture has been a subject of public concern and scrutiny due to possible harmful effects on the environment, their undesirable effects on non-target organisms and possible carcinogenicity of some chemicals [27]. Therefore, the need to develop non-chemical methods to prevent and/or control plant diseases is clear [28]. Genetic resistance with utilization of tolerant hybrids is key to maintaining competitiveness in areas where disease is present [8,39]. The use of selected hybrids must be accompanied by cultural practices avoiding monoculture [22]. Resistance, however, is labor-intensive to score and can only be measured late in the growing season [31].

For these reasons, we believe that there must be a movement to adopt biological control strategies capable of ensuring crop protection. Even more, this protection is achieved when the microorganisms used to antagonize foliar pathogens come from the same ecosystem. Phylospheric microbiota could contribute to the health of plant species through surface protection against pathogens [10]. Bacteria having antagonistic activity against southern leaf blight caused by the fungus Cochliobolus heterostrophus (Drechs.) have been shown in maize [24]. Different non-pathogenic organisms were evaluated as possible antagonists of foliar diseases of crops [26,43]. The phylosphere is an environment that is subject to continuous variations in humidity and temperature, exposure to ultraviolet radiation, and limited
nutrient availability. Hence, bacteria must be stable to fluctuating abiotic factors. Therefore, potential biological control agents require a survival strategy to environmental stresses and maintaining a threshold population on leaf surfaces.

In a previous study we performed selection steps of possible biological control agents of E. turcicum, taking into consideration ecological parameters relevant to the maize agroecosystem. Antagonistic interactions in vitro of bacteria with the pathogen were evaluated using competition for nutrients, antibiosis and the reduction effect on growth parameters. Due to the need to assess the detrimental effects of the potential antagonists selected in vitro against E. turcicum in planta, the objectives proposed in this study were: (a) to evaluate the effectiveness of bacterial antagonists in reducing disease severity in the greenhouse assay, and (b) to evaluate the maintenance of potential biocontrol agents in the phyllosphere throughout the assay.

Materials and methods

E. turcicum

The fungal strain of E. turcicum was previously isolated from maize (DK 190) growing on Campus Santa Julia of National University of Córdoba (UNC), in Córdoba province, Argentina. The isolate was maintained at 4 °C on potato dextrose agar medium (PDA: dextrose 20 g, potato extract 4 g, agar 15 g, distilled water 1000 ml, final pH 5.6 ± 0.2) and in 15% glycerol at −80 °C. E. turcicum isolate was subcultured on PDA plates and incubated at 25 °C for 30 days to enable significant sporulation. The surface of colonies grown on PDA were scraped and diluted in 300 ml of sterile water with vaseline (2%). Inoculum concentration was verified using a Neubauer chamber. An inoculum density of 2 × 10^4 spores/ml in distilled water plus 0.06 g/l of Triton x-100 was obtained.

Potential biological control agents (BCA)

All antagonistic bacteria were isolated from maize leaves with blight lesions from fields of cultivars in Chucul, Rio Cuarto and Vicaña Mackenna, all in Córdoba province, Argentina. The antagonistic ability was previously evaluated in vitro, and eleven potential control agents against E. turcicum were selected. In this study we evaluated the antagonistic effect of eight of these isolates. Isoalte 1 was identified as Enterococcus, isolates 2 and 4 belonged to Corynebacterium, isolates 3 and 5 were included in the Pantoea genus, and finally, isolates 6, 7 and 8 were identified as Bacillus. These isolates were maintained on slants of trypticase soy agar (TSA). Spontaneous mutants resistant to streptomycin 5% and rifampicin 0.5% were obtained.

Bacterial isolates were cultured on trypticase soy broth (TSB) for 24 h at 140 rpm and 25 °C. Afterwards, serial dilutions were performed and plated on TSA to evaluate cell viability and to determine the number of colony forming units per ml (CFU/ml). Cultures of different antagonists were diluted in TSB to obtain inocula of 10⁸ to 10¹⁰ CFU/ml.

Greenhouse assays

The experimental design was completely randomized with three blocks and four replications. Pots of 51 capacity were prepared with a mixture of fertile soil and perlite to promote aeration and water reserve. Four seeds of maize susceptible to northern leaf blight Dekalb 670 were sown per pot, that is, twelve plants per treatment were evaluated. Treatments performed were: C-Et: control of E. turcicum; T1: isolate 1 (Enterococcus genus) + E. turcicum; T2: isolate 2 (Corynebacterium genus) + E. turcicum; T3: isolate 3 (Pantoea genus) + E. turcicum; T4: isolate 4 (Corynebacterium genus) + E. turcicum; T5: isolate 5 (Pantoea genus) + E. turcicum; T6: isolate 6 (Bacillus genus) + E. turcicum; T7: isolate 7 (Bacillus genus) + E. turcicum; T8: isolate 8 (Bacillus genus) + E. turcicum. Only one biocontrol agent was used per treatment. Foliar applications with each biocontrol agent alone served as control.

Twenty-six-day-old plants, V4 phenological stage, were inoculated with antagonists by foliar spray, using an atomizer. Ten days after this procedure, all treatments were inoculated with E. turcicum by foliar application. Plants were covered for 24 h with transparent polyethylene bags simulating a chamber with 100% relative humidity (RH), and then kept in a greenhouse with an average temperature of 20 °C. Artificial light was applied when necessary. Pots were watered daily or as required.

Monitoring of BCA in the phyllosphere

The survival of antagonists on the phylloplane of maize was determined using antibiotic resistance as marker. Monitoring of antagonists on the phyllosphere was performed at time of inoculation (time 0), 48 h post-inoculation (time 1), 24 h after inoculation of pathogen (time 2) and 39 days post-inoculation of antagonists (time 3). A leaf from each pot was weighed and suspended in phosphate buffer to obtain a 1:10 dilution and incubated for 1 h at 180 rpm and 30 °C. Afterwards, serial dilutions were performed in nutrient broth (NB) and plated on nutrient agar (NA) with rifampicin for isolates 3 and 5, and with streptomycin for isolates 1, 2 and 4. A medium without antibiotic was used for isolates 6, 7 and 8, since they were susceptible to them. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 30 °C, and then CFU counts were performed. To analyze the variations of isolates on the phyllosphere, principal component analysis (PCA) with the same classification variable and time was performed. The PCA and biplot graphics are known as generally used techniques for interpreting reductions. Artificial axes of PCA (principal components) plotted observations and/or variables of the optimal properties for the interpretation of the underlying variability and co-variability. The CFU variable of different treatments and different times was analyzed. A scatter plot was obtained with PCA, representing treatment and time observations.

Assessment of disease severity

Fifteen days after inoculation of the pathogen and up to 39 days, the effect of treatments on disease was analyzed by determining the percentage of leaf tissue infected with
E. turcicum using the scale developed by Bleicher. This scale measured on four levels (0: undeveloped, 1: incipient development with lesions lower than 5 cm, 2: medium development with lesions larger than 5 cm, 3: advanced development in most parts of the leaf) allows us to evaluate severity until day 40 post-inoculation.

Furthermore, the impact on plant (percentage of affected plants relative to total plants of the treatment) and incidence in leaves (percentage of leaves with at least one lesion relative to total leaves) were evaluated. Severity of foliar blight until VT phenological stage (39 days post-inoculation) was statistically analyzed with ANOVA, InfoStat 2013. PCA was also performed with treatments as classification variable.

Results

Figure 1 shows the severity of leaf blight in the different treatments evaluated during 39 days after pathogen inoculation. Severity in control treatment varied from 2.1 to 51.5% during 15 to 39 days after pathogen application. Foliar application of different bacteria significantly reduced the leaf blight between 30–78% and 39–56% at 20 and 39 days respectively.

The sum of two principal components (CP1 and CP2) explained 94.6% of the total data variability (Fig. 2). It was observed that isolate 8 showed the greatest effect on reducing the severity of northern leaf blight showing a negative correlation (angles between vectors over 90°) with respect to control treatment (C-Et). Other treatments that showed a significant reduction effect were those using isolates 6, 7 and another group of treatments with isolates 5, 1, and 3. In terms of severity progress, a positive correlation was observed over time. Until day 25 post-inoculation a similar effect was observed. However, as from day 29 the greatest effect on severity was manifested.

Table 1 shows mean leaf blight incidence and severity using different possible biocontrol agents in the middle and at the end of the trials under greenhouse conditions. Data showed that the highest mean severity was recorded in the control treatment at the two times evaluated, whereas the lowest was found in the treatment with isolate 8 at 20 days and with all possible biocontrol agents at 39 days, showing a range of 40–56% of severity reduction.

Isolate 8 significantly reduced plant incidence (27%) at 20 days, but this effect was not maintained until the end of the assay. An increase of leaf incidence and number of lesions for control and treatments with possible biocontrol agents was observed between 20 and 39 days.

All possible biocontrol agents were able to maintain high population sizes in the phyllosphere during time 0 to time 3 (Table 2). Population counts were found in the range of 9,04–4,88 log. Bacillus isolates reduced their count only one log between time 0 to time 1, and this count (6 log) was maintained until time 3. The population count of Enterococcus and one isolate of Corynebacterium was reduced by 3 log, while Pantoea and another isolate of Corynebacterium showed a 5-log reduction at the end of the assay.

The sum of two principal components, for analyzing the maintenance of bacterial population in the phyllosphere, was 89.2% (Fig. 3). Data showed that the bacterial population of isolates 1, 3 and 5 decreased through time. Bacterial isolate 8 was able to maintain its population size more stable, followed by isolates 4 and 7.

A general correlation analysis of the antagonist population and severity of disease at day 39 post-inoculation showed a negative correlation (r = –0.08), not statistically significant (p = 0.726).

Discussion

The foliar application of possible biocontrol agents to maize leaves led to the effective control of northern leaf blight.
Prevention of northern leaf blight

Figure 2  Principal component analysis of different treatments and effect on severity of leaf blight. Variables analyzed: treatments and days. C-Et: control of *E. turcicum*; 1 + Et: isolate 1 (*Enterococcus* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 2 + Et: isolate 2 (*Corynebacterium* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 3 + Et: isolate 3 (*Pantoea* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 4 + Et: isolate 4 (*Corynebacterium* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 5 + Et: isolate 5 (*Pantoea* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 6 + Et: isolate 6 (*Bacillus* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 7 + Et: isolate 7 (*Bacillus* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 8 + Et: isolate 8 (*Bacillus* genus) + *E. turcicum*.

Table 1  Mean leaf blight incidence and severity with different treatments under greenhouse conditions at middle (20 days post-inoculation) and end (39 days post-inoculation) of trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days post-pathogen inoculation</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Plants incidence (%)</th>
<th>Leaves incidence</th>
<th>Severity (%)</th>
<th>Number of lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>C-Et</td>
<td>83A</td>
<td>1.1a</td>
<td>5.0A</td>
<td>20a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 + Et</td>
<td>75C</td>
<td>0.8a</td>
<td>2.0B</td>
<td>12a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 + Et</td>
<td>75C</td>
<td>0.8a</td>
<td>3.5B</td>
<td>18a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 + Et</td>
<td>75C</td>
<td>1.3a</td>
<td>3.4B</td>
<td>16a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 + Et</td>
<td>81B</td>
<td>1.0a</td>
<td>2.7B</td>
<td>15a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 + Et</td>
<td>83A</td>
<td>1.2a</td>
<td>3.3B</td>
<td>14a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 + Et</td>
<td>58D</td>
<td>0.6b</td>
<td>2.6B</td>
<td>8b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 + Et</td>
<td>83A</td>
<td>0.9a</td>
<td>2.5B</td>
<td>11a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 + Et</td>
<td>27E</td>
<td>0.3b</td>
<td>1.1C</td>
<td>6b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>C-Et</td>
<td>92B</td>
<td>1.8a</td>
<td>52A</td>
<td>27a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 + Et</td>
<td>83C</td>
<td>1.3a</td>
<td>26B</td>
<td>18a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 + Et</td>
<td>92B</td>
<td>1.6a</td>
<td>29B</td>
<td>21a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 + Et</td>
<td>100A</td>
<td>1.8a</td>
<td>31B</td>
<td>23a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 + Et</td>
<td>90B</td>
<td>1.5a</td>
<td>24B</td>
<td>24a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 + Et</td>
<td>100A</td>
<td>2.0a</td>
<td>26B</td>
<td>24a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 + Et</td>
<td>100A</td>
<td>1.8a</td>
<td>25B</td>
<td>24a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 + Et</td>
<td>83C</td>
<td>1.6a</td>
<td>23B</td>
<td>20a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 + Et</td>
<td>100A</td>
<td>1.8a</td>
<td>30B</td>
<td>23a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plants incidence: proportion of disease plants of 12 total plants.
Leaves incidence: average value of affected leaves of each plant.
Severity: average value of diseased tissue area of 12 total plants.
Number of lesions: lesions per plant.

Values followed by different letters within a column indicate significant differences between treatments at *p* < 0.10 for each day of post-inoculation and each parameter determined according to DGC test. C-Et: control of *E. turcicum*; 1 + Et: isolate 1 (*Enterococcus* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 2 + Et: isolate 2 (*Corynebacterium* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 3 + Et: isolate 3 (*Pantoea* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 4 + Et: isolate 4 (*Corynebacterium* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 5 + Et: isolate 5 (*Pantoea* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 6 + Et: isolate 6 (*Bacillus* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 7 + Et: isolate 7 (*Bacillus* genus) + *E. turcicum*; 8 + Et: isolate 8 (*Bacillus* genus) + *E. turcicum*.
caused by *E. turcicum* under greenhouse conditions. In this study, the plants treated with any antagonist significantly decreased the severity of the symptoms caused by the pathogen at the end of the assay.

Isolates belonging to the *Bacillus* genus were the most effective in reducing the severity of disease and also the incidence in plants and the number of lesions at 20 days. At 39 days, all potential biocontrol agents showed better effect on severity reduction, obtaining 40 to 56% reduction in this parameter.

Stromberg et al. demonstrated that the reduction in pathogen population density by antagonists was correlated with the reduction in intensity of foliar disease, and therefore biological control was described as pre-symptomatic reduction in pathogen population size caused by antagonists, and not as a result of induced resistance or changes in the odds of cell infection by the pathogen. Consistently, several studies have demonstrated an inhibitory effect of different antagonists against *E. turcicum* due to competition and/or antibiosis. In a previous screening study in vitro, isolates belonging to the *Bacillus* genus (6, 7, and 8) showed dominance of the pathogen at a distance and a reduction of *E. turcicum* growth rate of between 84 and 98%, indicating that it may have an ability to synthesize a diffusible substance with inhibitory capacity. Moreover, a significant negative correlation was observed between *E. turcicum* growth rate and dominance index when the pathogen interacted with selected bacteria. In the present study, isolate 8 showed the highest effect on reducing northern leaf blight in maize plants at the V6 phenological stage, followed by isolates 6 and 7.

*Corynebacterium* spp. (isolate 4) and 3 strains of the *Bacillus* genus reached a 6-log population size at 39 days, with *Bacillus* isolates having the highest population survival. Moreover coincidentally, isolate 8 was the potential biological control agent that showed more stability on the phyllosphere, showing minor variations in the population count at the end of the trial. Evidently, high bacteria count can allow for competitive exclusion of certain species. It is noteworthy that all analyzed BCAs maintained high population levels at day 39. Considering that bacteria were not physiologically adapted, a necessary process to increase their tolerance to fluctuating conditions of the environment, the maintenance of these bacteria in phyllosphere may be by their condition of native bacteria. Beattie and Lindow argue that phyllobacteria can modify their environment to enhance their colonization of plants by increasing local nutrient concentrations or by producing a layer of extracellular polysaccharides either in the surface of leaves or in the interior.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3** Principal component analysis of maintenance of possible biocontrol agents in phyllosphere. Variables analyzed: isolate (C) and time. Time 1 (T1): 48 h post-inoculation; time 2 (T2): 20 days; time 3 (T3): 39 days; C1: *Enterococcus* spp.; C2: *Corynebacterium* spp.; C3: *Pantoea* spp.; C4: *Corynebacterium* spp.; C5: *Pantoea* spp.; C6: *Bacillus* spp.; C7: *Bacillus* spp.; C8: *Bacillus* spp.

---

Table 2 Survival of antagonists (Log CFU/g) onto maize phylloplane at different time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antagonists</th>
<th>Log Time 0</th>
<th>Log Time 1</th>
<th>Log Time 2</th>
<th>Log Time 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>5.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>6.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>6.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>6.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We propose the use of biological control agents as a strategy to prevent the incidence of *E. turcicum* in maize. Although BCAs have a different mode of action to chemical agents, a biological strategy may favor the control of the pathogen performing a second application in V9 and V10 stages included in the critical period of the crop. The critical period of the crop to control this disease extends from V8 (eight leaves unfolded) to R3 (aqueous grain). However, the stage where the probability of response to the application of fungicides is higher, ranging from 15 days before to 15 days after silking (start of panicle or VT and the development of whiskers or R1)\(^5\). In general, the application threshold of chemical agents for common blight corresponds to one lesion less or equal to 1 cm long on each sheet. In this study, all possible biocontrol agents used were able to reduce severity between 40 to 56% in V10. Therefore, we suggest a second application of BCAs in critical periods. Thinking about the use of biological control agents as a strategy for prevention of disease caused by *E. turcicum* in maize, the application was done in plants of 26 days of development (V 4), that is 10 days before the application of the pathogen. Despite the fact that this preventive treatment was effective in reducing severity by between 40 and 56% at the end of the trial, the average leaf affected was greater than 1 in all treatments. Probably, a second application of BCAs in the critical period could reduce the average of affected leaves, plant incidence and number of lesions. Sillon et al.\(^7\) showed 100% incidence of *E. turcicum* in plants of resistant hybrids in Santa Fe, Argentina; however, severity in leaves did not exceed 20%.

It is known that yield losses are greater when infection occurs early and moves to the upper leaves of the plant or on grain filling (R1 to R3). Therefore the slow progress of the disease in relation to crop development reduces the impact of disease. Formento\(^11\) has pointed out that if the infection is delayed until six weeks after stigma fertilization, yield reduction will be minimal compared to an infection that occurs before fertilization.

The physiological adaptation of bacteria is being carried out in order to facilitate their maintenance in the phyllosphere. In addition, different inoculum doses of previously selected antagonists, as well as the number and period of BCA applications will be determined in order to achieve control or delay the development of leaf blight during the critical period of maize growth.
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