Two new species of the genus Corydoras (Ostariophysi: Siluriformes: Callichthyidae) from northwestern Argentina, and redescription of C. micracanthus Regan, 1912
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Abstract: The group herein denominated as the Corydoras micracanthus species-group is supported by presenting dorsal and pectoral spines length reduced; caudal fin slightly emarginated; low body depth; parieto-supraoccipital process and nuchal plate not in contact and small eyes for the genus. It comprises Corydoras micracanthus, herein redescribed, and two new species described in the present paper, all from northwestern Argentina. Corydoras gladysae, a new species from the Calchaquí river, is distinguished from other species of the genus by the caudal-fin shape, slightly emarginated and by presenting the shortest dorsal and pectoral spines length (mean = 9.2 % and 14.8 % of SL, respectively). Corydoras petracinii, a new species from the Las Costas river, is distinguished from its congeners by the following combination of characters: dorsal spine short (mean = 16.6 % of SL), pectoral spine short (mean = 18.3 % of SL), body moderately elongate (body depth 29.5 % SL mean), caudal fin slightly forked and trunk flanks with 5–7 subsquare diffused blotches in the middle region. Corydoras micracanthus, from the Mojotoro river basin, is defined by its higher number of dorsolateral body plates (24–26) and trunk color pattern, presenting 4 to 6 subsquare blotches well differentiated in the flanks. The inclusion of these species into the genus Corydoras is discussed.
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Resumen: Dos nuevas especies del género Corydoras (Ostariophysi: Siluriformes: Callichthyidae) del noroeste de Argentina, y la redescripción de C. microcanthus Regan, 1912. El grupo aquí denominado como grupo de especies Corydoras micracanthus es sustentado por presentar una longitud reducida de las espinas dorsal y pectoral, aleta caudal suavemente emarginada, cuerpo bajo, extremo del proceso parieto-supraoccipital sin contacto con la placa predorsal y ojos pequeños para el género. Este grupo comprende Corydoras micracanthus aquí redescripta, y dos especies nuevas descriptas en el presente trabajo, todas endémicas del noroeste argentino. Corydoras gladysae, nueva especie, procedente del río Calchaquí, se distingue de sus congéneres por la forma de la aleta caudal ligeramente emarginada y por presentar la longitud de las espinas dorsal y pectoral muy corta (media = 9,2 % y 14,8 % de LE, respectivamente). Corydoras petracinii, nueva especie, procedente del río Las Costas, se distingue de sus congéneres por la siguiente combinación de caracteres: espina dorsal corta (media 16,6 % LE), espina pectoral corta (media 18,3 % LE), cuerpo moderadamente alargado (altura del cuerpo media 29,5 % LE), aleta caudal suavemente bifurcada y un patrón de coloración con 5-7 manchas subcuadradas en la región media del cuerpo. Corydoras micracanthus, procedente de la cuenca del río Mojotoro, es definida por el mayor número de escudos superiores (24-26) y por el patrón de color del cuerpo que presenta 4 a 6 manchas subcuadradas bien diferenciadas en los flancos. Se discute la inclusión de estas especies dentro del género Corydoras.

Palabras clave: Sistemática, Corydoras micracanthus, Corydoras petracinii, Corydoras gladysae, Salta, Sudamérica.

INTRODUCTION

Corydoras Lacépède, is a genus of the Callichthyidae family widely distributed in Cis-Andean South America (Britto & Lima, 2003), being found from the eastern Andes to the Atlantic Coast, and from Trinidad (Reis, 1998) to the northwestern drainages of the southern mountain range from Ventania system, Buenos Aires, Argentina (Ringuelet et al., 1967). It also has been found in north Patagonia (Baigún et al., 2002). This fish inhabits a wide variety of environments such as shallow marginal areas, flooded zones and small streams (Britto & Lima, 2003), channels and lagoons. Currently, the genus includes 150 valid species, being one of the richest genus of Neotropical fishes in regards to the amount of species. Only 10 of them have been registered as valid for Argentina: Corydoras aeneus (Gill, 1858), C. aurofrenatus Eigenmann & Kennedy 1903; C. carlae Nijssen & Isbrücker, 1983; C. ellisae Gosline, 1940; C. hastatus Eigenmann & Eigenmann, 1888; C. longipinnis Knaack, 2007; C. micracanthus Regan, 1912; C.
paleatus (Jenyns, 1842), C. polystictus Regan, 1912 and C. undulatus Regan, 1912 (López et al., 2003; Knaack, 2007).

Unlike most catfish that have nocturnal habits, the Corydoras species has a diurnal activity (Nijssen & Isbrücker, 1980, 1986; Staruss, 1985) and is one of the more known genera by the fishkeepers since most of the species of this genus are considered ornamental fish (Burguess & Quinn, 1992).

Corydoras micracanthus described by Regan (1912) on the basis of specimens obtained in the province of Salta, Argentina, was only known by the original description and it has not been reviewed again until today possibly because its presence in aquariums and the ichthyological collections is very poor; nevertheless Nijssen & Isbrücker (1980) designated a lectotype and paparelcotypes without making a mention of distinguishing features or redescription.

On the basis of new collections made in the Salta province, in northwestern Argentina, two new species of genus Corydoras are described in this article and C. micracanthus is redescribed. These three species are proposed as a group designated here «C. micracanthus group» characterized by dorsal and pectoral spines short; caudal fin slightly emarginated; body depth low; supraoccipital and nuchal plate not in contact and small eyes for the genus. Additional data of ecology, biology and aquarium behavior are given.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Measurements were taken with a digital caliper (± 0.1 mm) from point to point. To take the morphometric and meristic data we followed Reis (1997) with modifications of Britto (2000). The following additional data was also taken: longer dorsal soft ray. In the description, the frequency of each count (mode) is provided between parenthesis and the value corresponding to holotype is given with an asterisk. The holotypes were deposited in Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales «Bernardino Rivadavia», Buenos Aires, Argentina (MACN) and Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Salta, Argentina (MCNI).

The abbreviations used are: HL: head length; SL: standard length; SD: standard deviation; m.a.s.l.: meters above sea level.

Comparative material


On the basis of new collections made in the Salta province, in northwestern Argentina, two new species of genus Corydoras are described in this article and C. micracanthus is redescribed. These three species are proposed as a group designated here «C. micracanthus group» characterized by dorsal and pectoral spines short; caudal fin slightly emarginated; body depth low; supraoccipital and nuchal plate not in contact and small eyes for the genus. Additional data of ecology, biology and aquarium behavior are given.

SYSTEMATICS

Corydoras gladysae sp. nov.

(Fig. 1)

Holotype. MACN 9232, 35.0 mm LE; Calchaquí river, (S 25° 2' 59" - W 66° 6' 25"), Altitude: 2430 m.a.s.l.) Payogasta, Cachi Department, Salta province, Argentina. Coll. Felipe Alonso, January 2007.
Paratypes. MCNI 712, 4 specimens, 37.5–28.5 mm LE; Calchaquí river, Cachi Department, Salta province, Coll. Gonzo y Martínez, January 19, 2002. MCNI 913, 4 specimens, 37.5–27.6 mm SL; Calchaquí river, (S 25° 7' 17'' and W 66° 9' 35''), Altitude: 2325 m.a.s.l.) Cachi Department, Salta province, Argentina. Coll. Gonzo and Martínez, December 7, 2003.

Diagnosis. Corydoras gladysae is easily distinguished by presenting three unique features for the genus: 1) very short length of the ossified portion of dorsal spine [7.6–10.6% SL (average = 9.2)]; 2) very short length of the pectoral spines [11.9–17.4% SL (average = 14.8)]; and 3) caudal fin shape, slightly emarginated.

In addition, Corydoras gladysae can be differentiated from other species of the genus by the following combination of features: 24–25 dorsolateral body plates (mode = 25); 21–22 ventrolateral body plates (mode = 22); small eye (7.3–12.5% HL) located dorso-laterally on head; dorsal fin rounded shaped; dorsal fin very low height in males and females (average 18.1% SL), body depth (29.0–35.7% SL); posterior expansion of the parieto-supraoccipital process triangular and elongated; separation of the predorsal plate by one or two dorsolateral body plates; trunk and head covered by a thin skin, with tiny dispersed odontodes and caudal fin with four or five series of small dark brown blotches restricted to rays, forming poorly defined vertical stripe pattern.

Description. Morphometric data presented in Table 1. Body short, robust. Snout rounded, pointless. Head profile convex from the snout to eye; approximately straight from eye to parieto-supraoccipital process. Profile of body straight or slightly convex from tip of parieto-supraoccipital process to last dorsal-fin ray. Postdorsal-fin body profile slightly concave to adipose-fin spine; noticeably concave from this point to the caudal-fin base. Ventral profile of body slightly convex from isthmus to anal-fin origin. Profile concave from first anal-fin ray to caudal-fin base. Body roughly cylindrical in cross section at pectoral girdle, gradually becoming more compressed toward caudal fin; maximum body width in humeral region.

Eye round, small for the genus, located dorso-laterally on head; orbit delimited dorsally by frontal and sphenotic, ventrally by infraorbitals; Anterior and posterior nares very close to each other. Anterior naris tubular. Posterior naris non tubular, very close to the orbit anterior margin, only separated by flap of skin. Mouth located ventrally. Maxillary barbel generally reach anteroventral limit of gill opening. One individual presents the left anterior maxillary barbel forked. Mental barbel very short. Small
rounded papillae covering entire surface of all barbels, upper and lower lips. Supraoccipital process externally visible and predorsal plate exposed just in front of first dorsal-fin ray. Posterior expansion of supraoccipital process triangular and elongated, separated from nuchal plate by one or two dorsolateral body plates. Trunk and head covered by a thin skin, with dispersed tiny odontodes.

Dorsal fin shape rounded; its origin just on third dorsolateral body plate. Dorsal spine shorter than first seven dorsal fin rays. Dorsal fin rays I,7 or I,8; third and forth rays are the longest. Anterior border of dorsal spine smooth; posterior border with minute serrations. Adipose fin short with curved spine, approximately triangular in shape; the membrane base extends on 2 or 3 dorsolateral body plates, its origin separated from base of last dorsal-fin ray by 7 to 9 dorsolateral body plates. Anal fin ellipsoid or rounded, its origin located slightly anterior to adipose fin origin in most specimens; and at same distance in some. Anal-fin rays: i,5,i*(2), i,6(3), i,7(1), ii,3,i(1), ii,4(2). Pectoral fin approximately triangular with rounded margins; its origin located just posterior to gill opening. Ossified portion of pectoral spine shorter than firsts branched rays; its posterior border serrated; Pectoral fin reaches pelvic fins origin. Pectoral-fin rays: I,7 or I,8. Pelvic fin ellipsoid, its origin just below third ventrolateral body plates, at vertical through base of second branched dorsal-fin ray; it does not reach anal fin; base of pelvic fin ends just anterior to anus. Pelvic-fin rays: i,5; one specimen i,6. Caudal fin smoothly emarginated, almost truncated; posterior edge rounded. Principal caudal-fin rays: 13 (3), 14*(3), 15(3); upper procurent caudal-fin rays: iv (3), v (3), vi*(3); lower procurent caudal-fin rays: iv (3), v (3), vi*(3).

Dorsolateral body plates: 24(6) to 25*(3); ventrolateral body plates: 21(2) to 22*(7); dorsolateral body plates along dorsal-fin base: 4 (1), 5(4), 6*(2); dorsolateral body plates from adipose fin to caudal-fin base: 3*(2), 4(6), 5(1).

**Color in formol.** Ground color of head grey or dark brown. Opercular reddish grey or brown. Preopercular edge yellowish. Ventral region of head yellowish white. Barbel sometimes with very small blotches. Ground color of trunk brown with small dark blotches distributed in flank and dorsal area. Large dark blotches over lateral line region on body plates sometimes grouped forming a wide discontinuous and irregular stripe. Dorsal region presents three great dark blotches slightly distinguished form ground color; first blotch occupies the base of the dorsal fin first rays, second blotch appears at the posterior end of the dorsal fin; and third blotch in adipose fin base. Ventral surfaces of body yellowish white. Dorsal fin hyaline with dark anterior margin and points or small blotches to rays, sometimes forming one or two incomplete oblique stripe. Caudal fin with four or five series of small dark brown blotches restricted to rays, forming poorly defined vertical stripe pattern. Caudal fin membranes hyaline. Pelvic fin generally hyaline, eventually with small dark brown points or blotches restricted to rays. Adipose fin spine brown. Adipose fin hyaline, sometimes with spot in membrane. Anal fin with spots or blotches in middle zone, sometimes forming one or two short, irregular and oblique strips. Pectoral fin with small dark brown points or blotches restricted to rays with disposition and size similar to dorsal fin. Iris dark grey or black.


Ground color of trunk light brown with small dark brown blotches distributed on flanks and dorsal region. Large dark brown blotches over lateral line region on body plates sometimes grouped forming a wide discontinuous and irregular stripe. Dorsal region presents three great dark blotches slightly distinguished form ground color; first blotch occupies the base of the dorsal fin first rays, second blotch appears at the posterior end of the dorsal fin; and third blotch in adipose fin base. Ventral surfaces of body yellowish white. Dorsal fin hyaline with dark anterior margin and points or small blotches to rays, sometimes forming one or two incomplete oblique stripe. Caudal fin with four or five series of small dark brown blotches restricted to rays, forming poorly defined vertical stripe pattern. Caudal fin membranes hyaline. Pelvic fin generally hyaline, eventually with small dark brown points or blotches restricted to rays. Adipose fin spine brown. Adipose fin hyaline, sometimes with spot in membrane. Anal fin with spots or blotches in middle zone, sometimes forming one or two short, irregular and oblique strips. Pectoral fin with small dark brown points or blotches restricted to rays with disposition and size similar to dorsal fin. Iris dark grey or black.

**Distribution, biology and ecology data.** Currently, this species is only known from
Calchaquí river, in the sub-Andean range system, at Cachi and Payogasta localities, in Cachi Department, Salta, Argentina (Fig. 6). This river is a tributary to the superior river basin of the Juramento river, that ends in Paraná river. According to Gonzo (2003), the Calchaquí river is the main collector of the Calchaquíes Valleys. This river and its tributaries conform a dendritic network of Atlantic drainage with a surface estimated at 14,175 km² and a perimeter of 640 km. Its layout fits into the disposition of mountainous cords and crosses intermountainous valleys of southern course. This river begins at the Nevado del Acay, at 5716 m.a.s.l., where it reaches its maximum height. Its length is 252 kilometers. The drainage network has a remarkable contrast between the East and west the latter with a greater number of affluents of permanent regime, oriented from West to East, and whose volumes are fed by defrosting water (Gonzo, 2003). The diversity of fish of this river is scarce, but most of them are endemic species, of limited distribution, sometimes only in certain sections of the water curse.

Corydoras gladysae was a very rare species in the samplings made and requires a great fishing effort to capture it. The more abundant sympatric species are: Bryconamericus rubropictus (Berg, 1901), Ixinandria steinbachi (Regan, 1906), Heptapterus mustelinus (Valenciennes, 1840), Jenynsia maculata Regan, 1906 and Trichomycterus spegazzinii (Berg, 1897). It is important to remark the permanent presence in this river of an introduced species, the «rainbow trout»: Onchorhyncus mykiss (Walbaum, 1792); this is an active predator that includes small fish in its diet, a reason why it could be affecting the endemic fish populations at the area.

The water from the Calchaquí river at Cachi and Payogasta has a high salinity due to the contribution of tributaries, as well as alkalinity, the pH measured at Payogasta during the month of August was 8.04. Although aquatic vegetation was not observed in the main channel of this river, the margins as well as secondary branches and adjacent drains show aquatic plants and filamentous algae. Gramineae family plants predominate along the borders and a species of this family was even observed growing totally submerged in one of the places where Corydoras gladysae was found.

**Etymology.** This species is dedicated to its first collector Gladys Ana María Monasterio de
Gonzo, Argentine ichthyologist of the Universidad Nacional de Salta (UNSa), who for more than 20 years has been contributing to the knowledge of the diversity, distribution and biology of fishes in the Salta province, Argentina.

Aquariological observations. It is a species that lives in a fast-current river, and in the aquarium it does not swim in open waters. It is not as elegant as other species of the genus and its caudal fin is rarely displayed unfolded. It is not as well as other species of the genus but in the aquarium it does not swim in open waters. It is usually seen staying in holes between stones. Accepts small worms like tubifex and dried food usually seen staying in holes between stones.

Corydoras petracinii sp. nov. (Fig. 2)

Holotype. MACN 9233, 36.0 mm SL; small stream 200 m from its ending into the San Lorenzo river (S 24° 47' 08" – W 65° 18' 10"; Altitude: 1222 m.a.s.l.), Finca Las Costas, around Salta city, Argentina. Coll. F. Alonso and J. Traine, January 2007.

Paratypes. MCNI 1381, 5 specimens, 28.1–38.0 mm SL; all collected together with the holotype.

Diagnosis. Corydoras petracinii differs from all other species of the genus by the following combination of features: 23 dorsolateral body plates; 21 ventrolateral body plates, small eyes (13.2–17.3 % of HL); body moderately elongate (body depth 27.4–33.1 % SL). Dorsal spine length (19.5–12.6 % SL); Pectoral spine length (19.6–16.6 % SL); trunk with 5-7 differentiated subquadrilateral blotches at junction of body plates; caudal fin slightly emarginated, hyaline with joined dark blotches forming 3 or 4 vertical irregular stripe pattern; pectoral, pelvic, and anal fins traslucent without blotches.

Description. Morphometric data is presented in Table 1. Body moderately elongate, robust. Snout rounded, pointless. Head profile convex from the snout to eye; approximately straight from eye to parieto-supraoccipital process. Profile of body straight or slightly convex from tip of parieto-supraoccipital process to last dorsal-fin ray. Post-dorsal fin body profile slightly concave to adipose-fin spine; slightly concave from this point to the caudal-fin base. Ventral profile of body slightly convex from isthmus to anal-fin origin. Profile concave from first anal-fin ray to caudal-fin base. Body roughly cylindrical in cross section at pectoral girdle, gradually becoming more compressed toward caudal fin; maximum body width located in humeral region.

Eye round, small for the genus, located dorsolaterally on head; orbit delimited dorsally by frontal and sphenotic, ventrally by infraorbitals; Anterior and posterior nares very close to each other. Anterior naris tubular. Posterior naris non tubular, very close to the orbit anterior margin, separated only by a thin skin. Mouth located ventrally. Maxillary barbel generally not reach anteroventral limit of gill opening. Mental barbel very short. Supraoccipital process externally visible and predorsal plate exposed just in front of first dorsal-fin ray. Posterior expansion of supraoccipital process triangular and elongated, separated from nuchal plate by minimum space. Trunk and head covered by a thin skin, with dispersed tiny odontodes.

Dorsal fin approximately triangular; its origin just on third or fourth dorsolateral body plate. Dorsal spine shorter than first five dorsal fin rays; the third and fourth rays the longest. Dorsal fin rays 1,8; Adipose fin short with curved spine, approximately triangular shape; the base of membrane extend over two or three dorsolateral body plates, its origin separated form base of last dorsal-fin by seven to nine dorsolateral body plates. Anal fin approximately triangular with rounded posterior side; its origin (drawing vertical line perpendicular to antero-posterior axis) slightly anterior to origin of adipose fin in most specimens, sometimes it is at the same distance. Anal-fin rays: ii,5* (1). Pectoral fin approximately ovoid; its origin located just posterior to gill opening; distal extreme of pectoral fin overpass the origin of pelvic fin in adults. Ossified portion of pectoral spine shorter than first five branched rays. Pectoral-fin rays: I,7, or I,8. Pelvic fin ellipsoid; its origin just below third ventrolateral body plates, at vertical through base of second or third dorsal-fin branched ray; it does not reach anal fin base. Pelvic fin base ends just anterior to anus. Pelvic-fin rays: i,5* (1). Caudal fin slightly emarginated. Principal caudal-fin rays 14* (1); upper caudal fin procurent rays: iii; lower caudal fin procurent rays: iii.

Dorsolateral body plates: 22* (1) or 23 (5); ventrolateral body plates: 20* (1) or 21 (2); dorsolateral body plates on the dorsal fin base: 4 (1), 5 (3), 6* (2); dorsolateral body plates from adipose fin to caudal fin: 2 (1), 3 (2), 4* (2).

Color in life. Ground color of head brown. Opercle dark brown with golden green reflections. Preopercular edge light brown to reddish. Ventral region of head light. Dark brown irregular blotch between opercle and parieto-su-
praoccipital process. Barbel yellowish or light brown.

Ground color of trunk light brown or golden yellow with little darker blotches distributed in flanks and dorsal region. Flanks of trunk present in middle region 5 to 7 subsquare differentiated blotches. Dorsal region presents four dark blotches slightly distinguished form the ground color; first blotch occupies the base of the dorsal fin first rays, second blotch appears at the posterior end of the dorsal fin, third blotch in adipose fin base; and fourth blotch over the caudal peduncle, just before the beginning of the caudal fin. Ventral surfaces of body yellowish white. Dorsal fin with dark anterior margin and little blotches to rays, forming oblique strip. Caudal fin with joined dark blotches forming 3 or 4 vertical irregular stripe pattern. Caudal fin membranes hyaline. Pelvic fins without dots or blotches. Adipose fin hyaline, sometimes with blotch in membrane. Adipose spine with dark brown basal area. Anal fin hyaline, without blotches or dots. Pectoral fins pale yellow or hyaline, occasionally very little spots almost imperceptible. Iris dark grey; peripupilar ring golden orange, pupil black.

**Color in formol.** Ground color of head grayish dark brown. Opercular zone dark reddish grey. Preopercular edge and ventral zone light grey. Barbel brown get lighter toward the ends.

Ground color of trunk light grayish brown with little darker blotches distributed in flanks and dorsal region. Flanks of trunk present in middle region 5 to 7 subsquare differentiated dark brown blotches. Dorsal region presents four dark blotches slightly distinguished form the ground color; first blotch occupies the base of the dorsal fin first rays, second blotch appears at the posterior end of the dorsal fin, third blotch in adipose fin base; and fourth blotch over the caudal peduncle, just before the beginning of the caudal fin. Ventral surfaces of body yellowish white, sometimes dark centre by transparency of internal organs. Dorsal fin with dark anterior margin and little blotches to rays, forming oblique strip. Caudal fin with joined dark blotches forming 3 or 4 vertical irregular stripe pattern. Caudal fin membranes hyaline. Pelvic fins without dots or blotches. Adipose fin hyaline, sometimes with blotch in membrane. Adipose spine dark grey. Anal fin hyaline, without blotches or dots. Pectoral fins pale yellow or hyaline, occasionally very little spots almost imperceptible. Iris dark grey or black.

**Distribution, biology and ecology data.** This species has only been found in its type locality, a nameless stream at 200 m from its ending into the San Lorenzo river (S 24°47'8.94" - W 65° 28'10.61") (Fig.7). This river is part of the Juramento river basin that belongs to a fluvial network that ends in Paraná river at Santa Fe province. This stream, that begins in the hills known as Lomas de Medeiros, goes south to join the San Lorenzo river. It remains dry in almost
all it surface a great part of the year increasing its caudal in the summer, the rainy season. Nevertheless, near its junction in the San Lorenzo river, it has surface water all the year (pers. obs.), probably due to a subterranean water flow from this last river. The Lomas de Medeiros hills were the ancient alluvial cone of Wierna river (Igarzábal & Medina, 1991).

This species was captured together with *Corydoras* cf. *paleatus*, but it is less abundant than this species. Other fish species captured in this habitat were: *Hoplias malabaricus* (Bloch, 1794); *Jenynsia* spp.; *Heptapterus mustelinus*. A great amount of water in the San Lorenzo river at «La Quebrada de San Lorenzo» is used to provide of tap water for the local population which is rapidly increasing. This leads this river to be down stream almost completely dry in winter (dry season), leaving just a few «wells» with water.

Currently there is a highway under construction that passes a few meters alongside this habitat. This situation is destroying it because of the earth extraction from the river and the original water course modification. Also, great amounts of garbage were observed in the surroundings of the rivers. All the alterations of the natural habitat and the proximity of the city together with the poor distribution and frequency of this species puts it and its habitat in a serious danger for its conservation over the long term.

**Etymology.** The species name is dedicated in honor to Roberto Petracini; Argentine fishkeeper, who for decades has been contributing to the development, knowledge and diffusion of Argentinean and South (and Central) American fishkeeping hobby.

*Corydoras micracanthus* Regan, 1912
(Figs. 3-4)

*Corydoras micracanthus* Regan, 1912
Type locality: Salta, Argentina (S 24° 46’ - W 65° 28’). Lectotype: BMNH 1897.1.27.8, designed by Nijssen & Isbrücker (1980:206). Paralectotypes: BMNH 1897.1.27.9-14 (6), ZMA 109951 [ex BMNH 1897.1.27.15]

**Examined material.** BMNH 1897.1.27.9-14, paralectotype, 38.1 mm LE, (only photographs examined, fig.3); MACN 9234, topotypes, 13
specimens, 29.6-37.0 mm SL, Argentina: Salta province, Lesser river, La Caldera Department, (S 24° 40' 39'' – W 65° 28' 33''; altitude: 1463 m.a.s.l.). Coll.: P. Calviño & F. Alonso, January 17, 2006; MACN 9235, 9 specimens, 12.3–35.7 mm SL, same locality as MACN 9234, Col.: F. Alonso, January 2007; MACN 9236, 25 specimens, 27.8–37.9 mm SL, Argentina: Salta province, Gallinato creek, La Caldera Department, (S 24° 40' 59'' – W 65° 17' 32''; altitude 1159 m.a.s.l.). Col: F. Alonso, January 20, 2006; MCNI 498, 5 specimens, 36.7–40.0 mm SL, Argentina: Salta province, La Caldera river, La Caldera Department. Coll.: Barrros and Rosa, February 5, 1995.

**Diagnosis.** *Corydoras micracanthus* is distinguished from all the other species of the genus by the following combination of features: 24–26 (25) dorsolateral body plates; 21–22 ventrolateral body plates; small eyes (9.6–16.5 % of HL); body moderately elongate (body depth 28.1–30.0 % SL); posterior expansion of the parieto-supraoccipital process without contacting the predorsal plate; trunk with 4-6 large dark blotches subsquare at junction of body plates; caudal fin slightly emarginated, with joined dark blotches forming 2 or 3 vertical irregular stripe pattern.

**C. micracanthus** is distinguished from *C. petracinii* by presenting a bigger modal number of dorsolateral body plates (25 vs. 23): by the shape of the dorsal fin, rounded rectangular (vs. triangular); by the dorsal fin first soft ray not branched in 85 % of individuals examined (vs. always branched in *C. petracinii*); by the coloration pattern of the trunk flanks that consists on 4-6 large blotches (vs. 5–7 blotches in *C. petracinii*).

**Description.** Morphometric data is presented in Table 3. Body low and long for the genus. Snout rounded, pointless. Head profile convex from upper-lip to tip of posterior process of parieto-supraoccipital dorsal. Profile of body slightly convex along dorsal-fin base. Postdorsal-fin body profile slightly concave to adipose fin spine; concave from this point to caudal-fin base. Ventral profile of body slightly convex from isthmus to anal-fin origin. Profile concave from first anal-fin ray to caudal-fin base. Body roughly cylindrical or ovoid in cross section at pectoral girdle, gradually becoming more compressed toward caudal fin; maximum body width located in humeral region.

Eye round, small for the genus, located dorsolaterally on head; orbit delimited dorsally by frontal and sphenotic, ventrally by infraorbitals. An-
terior and posterior nares very close. Anterior
naris tubular and posterior naris without tube.
Mouth located ventrally. Maxillary barbel gen-
erally not reach anteroventral opening of gills;
anteor maxillary barbel slightly longer than the
posterior maxillary barbel. Mental barbel very
short. Small rounded papillae covering entire
surface of all barbels, upper and lower lips.
Parieto-supraoccipital process externally visible
and predorsal plate exposed just in front of first
dorsal-fin ray. Supraoccipital process posterior
expansion triangular and elongated, separated
form predorsal plate by minimum space. Trunk
and head covered by a thin skin, with tiny papil-
lae dispersed and odontodes on posterior margin
of body plates.

Dorsal fin shape rounded, its origin just over
second or third dorsolateral body plate. Dorsal
spine shorter than first five dorsal fin rays, pos-
terior border smooth. Dorsal fin rays: 1,7-8; the
third ray is longest; posterior border of dorsal
spine with serrations. Adipose fin approximately
triangular; its origin separated from base of last
dorsal fin ray by 7–10 dorsolateral body plates
(modal=8). Adipose fin preceded by short,
curved, well-ossified spine; base of membrane
over 2 or 3 dorsolateral body plates. Anal fin
approximately triangular with posterior side
rounded; its origin (drawing vertical line perpen-
dicular to antero-posterior axis) slightly anterior
to adipose fin origin in majority of specimens.
Anal fin rays: 7, first half of anal fin first ray is
hard. Pectoral fin approximately triangular with
its interior margin rounded; its origin just pos-
terior to gill opening. Ossified portion of pecto-
ral spine shorter than first three branched rays;
distal extreme of pectoral fin reaches origin of
pelvic fins. Pectoral fin rays: 1,7 or 1,8. Pelvic fin
elliptoid, its origin just under third ventrolateral
body plates, at vertical through base of second
branched dorsal-fin rays; it not reach anal fin
base. Pelvic fin base ends just anterior to anus.
Pelvic fin rays: i,5, one specimen i,6. Caudal fin
slightly emarginated, upper lobe slightly longer
than lower lobe. Principal caudal-fin rays: i,14,i;
upper caudal fin procurent rays: iii; lower cau-
dal fin procurent rays: iii.

Dorsolateral body plates: 24(1), 25(4), 26(1);
ventrolateral body plates: 21(2) or 22 (6); dorso-
lateral body plates on the dorsal fin base: 5(7);
dorsolateral body plates from adipose fin to cau-
dal fin: 4(1) or 5(6).

**Color in life.** Ground color of head brown.
Opercle dark brown with golden green reflec-
tions. Preopercular edge light brown to reddish.
Ventral region of head light. Dark brown irregu-
lar blotch between opercle and parieto-
supraoccipital process. Barbel yellowish or light
brown.

Ground color of trunk light brown or golden
yellow with little darker blotches distributed in
flanks and dorsal region. Trunk with 4-6
subsquare differentiated large brown blotches at
junction of body plates. Dorsal region presents
four dark brown blotches slightly distinguished
form the ground color; first blotch occupies the
base of the dorsal fin first rays, second blotch
appears at the posterior end of the dorsal fin,
third blotch in adipose fin base; and fourth blotch
over the caudal peduncle, just before the begin-
ing of the caudal fin. Ventral surfaces of body
yellowish white. Dorsal fin with dark anterior
margin and little blotches to rays, forming ob-
lique strip. Caudal fin with joined dark blotches
forming 2 or 3 vertical irregular stripe pattern.
Caudal fin membranes hyaline. Pelvic fins with-
out dots or blotches. Adipose fin hyaline, some-
times with blotch in membrane. Adipose spine
with dark brown basal area. Anal fin hyaline,
without blotches or dots. Pectoral fins pale yel-
low or hyaline, occasionally very little spots al-
most imperceptible. Iris dark grey; peripupilar
ring golden orange, pupil black.
**Color in formol.** Ground color of head grayish brown. Opercle dark brown or reddish grey. Preopercular edge light yellow. Ventral region of head light. Dark brown irregular blotch between opercle and parieto-supraoccipital process. Barbel yellowish or light yellow.

Ground color of trunk light brown or pale yellow with little darker blotches distributed in flanks and dorsal region. Trunk with 4-6 subsquare differentiated large dark blotches at junction of body plates. Dorsal region presents four dark blotches slightly distinguished form the ground color; first blotch occupies the base of the dorsal fin first rays, second blotch appears at the posterior end of the dorsal fin, third blotch in adipose fin base; and fourth blotch over the caudal peduncle, just before the beginning of the caudal fin. Ventral surfaces of body pink white. Dorsal fin with dark anterior margin and little blotches to rays, forming oblique strip. Caudal fin with joined dark blotches forming 2 or 3 vertical irregular stripe pattern. Caudal fin membranes hyaline. Pelvic fins without dots or blotches. Adipose fin hyaline, sometimes with blotch in membrane. Adipose spine with dark brown basal area. Anal fin hyaline or pale yellow, without blotches or dots. Pectoral fins pale yellow or hyaline, occasionally very little spots almost imperceptible. Iris dark grey or black.

**Distribution, biology and ecology data.** *Corydoras micracanthus* have only been confirmed herein in rivers and streams belonging to the Mojotoro river basin, at Gallinato stream (S 24° 40’ 39” - W 65° 28’ 33”), Lesser river (S 24°40’39” - W 65°28’33”) and La Caldera river, in Salta province, Argentina (Fig. 6).

After checking out the comparative material, the localizations in Gonzo (2003) of *Corydoras paleatus* for Mojotoro, La Caldera, Lesser and Vaqueros rivers and for Gallinato and Pucheta creeks, Coronel Alfonso Peralta dam (Campo Alegre) and water-drainage channel, would correspond to *Corydoras micracanthus* and not to *C. paleatus*.

The Mojotoro river is part of the active basin of the Bermejo river. It includes a dense fluvial network that drains an area of approximately 850 km², located in the northern region of the «Valle de Lerma». The torrentiality is the main characteristic of these rivers (Igarzábal & Medina, 1991).

The water at these environments generally has a pH near 7, soft and with low salinity. It is usually very crystalline, with an increase of the caudal and turbidity of the water in the rainy season, in summer, mostly on January and February.

Due to the precipitation regime of the zone, with the dry season on winter, the volume of the rivers and streams fluctuate much throughout the year, with its maximum in the summer, estival period from December to April (Igarzábal & Medina, 1991).
The aquatic vegetation is less frequent due to the high torrentiality of these ambients, although in water-drains and some streams of low torrentiality the «watercress» and others aquatic plants are abundant together with marginal plants. These environments seem to be favorable to *Corydoras micracanthus* because they are much more abundant there, which could be due to a greater availability of foods and minor presence of predators like *Hoplias malabaricus* or catfishes that may be present in bigger water courses, and also this species may be more protected from birds sight by the aquatic plants. The more abundant fish species in these ambients are *Jenynsia* spp.; *Characiformes* of small size like *Bryconamericus thomasi* Fowler, 1940; *Trichomycterus spegazzinii*; *Heptapterus mustelinus*; and *Ixinandria steinbachi*.

**DISCUSSION**

The distribution of these three species of *Corydoras* is restricted to small areas in the Argentinian northwest were they are endemic. *C. micracanthus* is the one with the major distribution. This group of species is characterized by a short length of the dorsal and pectoral spines, caudal fin slightly emarginated and small eyes for the genus.

The inclusion of these species in the genus *Aspidoras* Ihering was evaluated at the beginning because they present a short ossified portion of the pectoral and dorsal spines, and a low body height, characteristic features of this genus. However the parieto-supraoccipital bone shape and fontanel corresponds to *Corydoras*. Also, the genus *Aspidoras* presents a diagnostic character defined by a foramen in the supraoccipital which is not present in these species. These last features justified the inclusion of these in the genus *Corydoras*, considering the length of the spines as something more variable, probably due to an adaptation to fast flowing waters. The supraoccipital shape may be an ancestral character with less selective pressure of natural selection. This would make this feature a strong character for the determination of the genus *Corydoras*. Even though with a more detailed study of the genus *Corydoras* and a better definition of it, it is probable that some of these species might be placed in new genus.
In *Aspidoras*, the posterior process of the supraoccipital is short and separated from the nuchal plate by one to three pairs of dorsolateral body plates. *Corydoras* and *Brochis* species, in contrast, typically have the posterior process of the supraoccipital well developed and fully contacting the nuchal plate, with the tips of the bones sometimes overlapping (Britto, 2000).

In *Corydoras difluviatilis* Britto & Castro (2002), *C. micracanthus* and *C. gladysae* the posterior process of the supraoccipital although well developed, does not contact the nuchal plate, leaving a small gap between these bones, which is covered by fleshy skin. *C. difluviatilis* is distinguished from the species herein denominated the *C. micracanthus* group by a relatively pointed snout (vs. relatively rounded snout).

This absence of contact between supraoccipital and nuchal plate is an ancestral character state for the *Callichthyidae* family. Considering this and the distribution of the species of the *C. micracanthus* group, plus *C. difluviatilis*, these possibly form a basalmost monophyletic group within the genus *Corydoras*.

These three species herein denominated the *C. micracanthus* group live above 1000 m.a.s.l. and had been registered almost up to 2500 m.a.s.l., in the case of *C. gladysae*. These fish as other benthonic fish of the area such as *Ixinandria steinbachi* (Loricariidae), has a greenish brown color in the flanks and dorsal regions of body, very similar to the ground color of the detritus and algae that grows over and covers the stones at the bottom. These fish also have dark brown blotches that get confused, when seen, with the shadows generated by the hollows in between the stones (pers. obs.). It has been observed that when these fish feel threatened they quickly look for refuge between the stones and remain steady, which could make them virtually «invisible» to predators such as birds or other fish, making these features a camouflage strategy (crypsis). Cryptic coloration is an anti-predative feature (Wickler, 1968; Lowe-McConnel, 1987) that allows to these individuals not to give any signals to predators. Axenrot & Kullander (2003) observed in many *Corydoras* species that the fish, after an initial evasive reaction to the threat, remain steady.

### TABLE 2. Morphometric data of holotype and paratypes of *Corydoras petracinii* sp. n. SD: standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corydoras petracinii sp. n.</th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total length (mm)</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34.9-46.0</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard length (mm)</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28.1-38.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Percents of Standard length

| Body depth                  | 33.1     | 6 | 27.4-33.1 | 29.5 | 2.1 |
| Body width                  | 27.9     | 6 | 23.6-27.9 | 25.3 | 1.6 |
| Predorsal distance          | 46.7     | 6 | 42.4-46.7 | 44.7 | 1.9 |
| Prepelvic distance          | 47.8     | 6 | 43.4-47.9 | 46.4 | 1.7 |
| Preanal distance            | 78.3     | 6 | 74.6-79.21| 77.5 | 1.7 |
| Predipose distance          | 78.3     | 6 | 77.6-82.6 | 80.1 | 1.9 |
| Dorsal spine length         | 15.8     | 6 | 12.6-19.6 | 16.6 | 2.3 |
| Longer soft dorsal ray length| 24.4    | 1 | 24.4-24.4 | 24.4 |    |
| Pectoral spine length       | 18.1     | 6 | 16.6-19.6 | 18.3 | 1.2 |
| Longer soft pectoral ray length| 23.9   | 5 | 23.2-27.4 | 25.2 | 1.7 |
| Adipose spine length        | 8.3      | 6 | 7.6-9.9   | 8.7  | 1.0 |
| Caudal peduncle Depth       | 14.4     | 6 | 12.4-15.0 | 13.6 | 1.0 |
| Distance between dorsal and adipose fins | 20.6 | 6 | 14.6-22.8 | 19.1 | 3.0 |
| Dorsal fin base distance    | 15.6     | 6 | 15.2-22.8 | 17.8 | 2.7 |
| Maximum cleithral width     | 16.7     | 6 | 16.6-21.7 | 18.7 | 2.3 |
| Head length                 | 38.6     | 6 | 36.8-42.3 | 39.2 | 1.8 |
| Maxilar barbels length      | 15.0     | 6 | 10.0-19.2 | 13.7 | 3.5 |

#### Percents of Head length

| Head depth                  | 84.9     | 6 | 70.3-84.9 | 74.3 | 5.3 |
| Head width                  | 74.1     | 6 | 63.6-74.1 | 69.3 | 4.0 |
| Least interorbital distance | 32.4     | 6 | 30.7-33.9 | 32.0 | 1.3 |
| Horizontal orbit diameter   | 13.7     | 6 | 13.2-17.3 | 14.5 | 1.4 |
| Snout length                | 42.5     | 6 | 33.9-42.45| 39.0 | 3.1 |
| Least internareal distance  | 16.6     | 6 | 14.3-19.0 | 17.1 | 1.7 |
These fish propel themselves almost exclusively with their tail, not using their pectoral fins as other fish. In fact, the pectoral and dorsal fins have little mobility. The pectoral fins are in a ventral location and slightly rotated, forming an approximate plane parallel to the anteroposterior axis. These fins, together with the dorsal fin have the function of directing and maintaining swimming direction. The pectoral fins also bring more adhesion to the substrate, and help the fish to swim through the bottom in the rapid-flowing water. It has been observed that when these fish swim fast they do it in straight lines and taking sharp curves, generally near the bottom but not in contact with it. When they are feeding they do it slowly, its body inclined with the head pointing towards the bottom exploring with its barbels the substrate looking for food. When they remain steady they usually stand at the bottom on the pectoral and ventral fins, leaving the tail and rest of the body free from the contact with the bottom. This may let them start swimming faster than if they were in contact with the body ventral side on contact with the bottom.

The short length of the fish’s spines and the low body height are probably an evolutive convergence related with the great torrentiality of the environments where they live. This is supported because even though these species have these features in common they do not seem to be so closely related when you examine other features such as, i.e., the quantity of the lateral plates in *C. micracanthus* vs. *C. gladysae*. Also the caudal fin, slightly emarginated, is a typical feature of the species that need a strong propulsion and are not constantly swimming. This hypothesis is also supported because the species with more reduced spines live in the faster flowing rivers.

*Corydoras gladysae* was previously cited by Gonzo (2003) as *Corydoras micracanthus* (non Regan, 1912) although *C. gladysae* differs from *C. micracanthus* by a less amount of dorsolateral body plates (23 vs. 25), a shorter dorsal spine (media: 9.2 % SL vs. 13.9 % SL), approximately straight dorsal profile of the head from the eye to the parieto-supraoccipital process (vs. convex dorsal profile of the head in *C. micracanthus*), by the presence of dots or dark brown blotches in the pectoral, pelvic and anal fin (vs. absence of dots or blotches in the pectoral, anal and pelvic fins in *C. micracanthus*) and by the brown.

**TABLE 3.** Morphometric data of topotypes of *Corydoras micracanthus*. SD: standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corydoras micracanthus, topotypes</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total length (mm)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38.4-48.9</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard length (mm)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29.6-37.0</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Percents of Standard length**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body depth</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28.1-30.0</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body width</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23.7-26.2</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predorsal distance</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43.8-45.8</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepelvic distance</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.9-48.1</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preanal distance</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>70.3-79.3</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preadipose distance</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>76.5-83.0</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal spine length</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.6-16.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer soft dorsal ray length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.4-20.7</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectoral spine length</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.9-16.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer soft pectoral ray length</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.4-28.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipose spine length</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.7-8.5</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal peduncle Depth</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.0-15.2</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between dorsal and adipose fins</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.8-25.6</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal fin base distance</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.6-19.6</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum cleithral width</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.2-16.6</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head length</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34.8-38.9</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Percents of Head length**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head depth</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>67.4-73.8</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head width</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>66.7-75.0</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least interorbital distance</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35.6-38.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal orbit diameter</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.6-16.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snout length</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.4-47.4</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least internareal distance</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.7-27.2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ground color of the body with small dark blotches in the flanks and dorsal region (vs. four to six big subsquare blotches in the middle area of flanks in *C. micracanthus*).

The type locality of *C. micracanthus* is in the Salta province region. Regan (1912) did not specify any river or a more precise localization. *C. paleatus* is cited from Salta province by several authors (Gonzo et al., 1998; Barros et al., 2001; Nieva et al., 2001; Gonzo 2003). Only *Corydoras* specimens from Las Costas and Arenales rivers from Salta were determined as *Corydoras cf. paleatus* (Fig. 5).

*Corydoras gladysae* clearly differs from *C. paleatus* by a shorter dorsal spine (media: 9.2 % SL vs. 27.1 % SL), by shorter pectoral spines (media 14.8 SL vs. 27.0 % SL) that do not reach the pelvic fins (vs. widely overpass the pelvic fin origin), by the diameter of the eyes, much smaller in relation to the head length (media 10.7 SL vs. 21.9 % SL), by the caudal fin shape, slightly emarginated in *C. gladysae* (vs. caudal fin notably emarginated in *C. paleatus*), by the presence of dots or small brown blotches in the pectoral, pelvic and anal fins (vs. notable grey blotches in the pectoral, pelvic, and anal fin in *C. paleatus*) and by the different body color pattern.

*Corydoras petracinii* differs from *C. gladysae* by presenting a longer dorsal spine (12.6–19.6 % SL vs. 7.6–10.6 % SL, respectively); longer pectoral fin (16.6–19.6 vs. 11.9–17.4 % SL, respectively); caudal fin shape a bit more emarginated (vs. slightly emarginated); by the triangular shape of the dorsal fin (vs. rounded) and by the body flanks and caudal fin color pattern. *Corydoras petracinii* is distinguished from *C. micracanthus* by presenting a lower modal number of dorsolateral body plates (23 vs. 25); by the triangular dorsal fin shape (vs. rounded rectangular); by the dorsal fin first soft ray branched (vs. not always branched in *C. micracanthus*), and by the body flanks color pattern with 5–7 small diffuse dark blotches (vs. 4–6 big blotches in *C. micracanthus*). *C. petracinii* could be confused with *C. paleatus* females, and especially with *Corydoras cf. paleatus* that lived in sympathy with *C. micracanthus*. Nevertheless, *C. petracinii* clearly differs by the slightly emarginated caudal fin (vs. notably emarginated in *C. paleatus*); by the color pattern of the pectoral, pelvic and anal fin, transparent without blotches (vs. presence of dark blotches in *C. paleatus*), by the lower body height (27.3–30.4 vs. 29.9–34.7 % SL) and by the supraoccipital process without contact with the predorsal plate (vs. in contact or overlapped in *C. paleatus*).
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